THE TIMES, NEW BLOOMFIELD, PA., FEBRUARY 1, 1881.



the following somewhat incoherent account of a duel which was fought in his

are half in the dark about the result of the duel in question, but we shall leave the decision to our readers: "A duel was lately fought in Texas by Alexander Shott and John S. Nott. Nott was shot and Shott was not. In this case it is better to be Shott than Nott. There was a rumor that Nott was not shot, and Shott avows that he shot Nott, which proves either that the shot Shott shot at Nott was not shot, or that Nott was shot notwithstanding. It may be made to appear on trial that the shot Shott shot shot Nott, or, as accidents with fire-arms are frequent, it may be possible that the shot Shott shot, shot Shott himself, when the whole affair would resolve itself into its original elements, and Shott would be shot, and Nott would be not. We think, however, that the shot Shott shot shot not Shott, but Nott; anyway, it is hard to tell who

A Dutchman being asked why he called his son John, said the reason was "pecause dat is his name." But these chaps named below had other reasons :

A young fellow call his sweetheart Revenge, because she is sweet. And a young married man calls his motherin-law Delay, because she is dangerous. A Boston man calls his wife Fact, because she is a stumborn thing. And the fourth wife of a district attorney calls him necessity because he knows no law. A Cincinnati man names his coachman Procrastination, because he stole his watch. We call a beautiful school-m'am we used to go to, Experischool-m'am we used to go to, Experi-ence, because she was a dear teacher.— A Yonkers man calls his wife Frailty, because Shakespeare says, "Frailty,thy name is woman." Ell Perkins calls his wife Honesty, because he says it is the best policy. A New Haven man calls his wife Duty for Duty calls. Another man here calls his wife 'Stranger,' for she 'took him in.'