THE DEMOCRAT.

O. C. HEMPSTEAD, Editor.

Montrose, ... August 31, 1848.

For President,
GEN. LEWIS CASS,
OF MICHIGAN.

For Vice President,
GEN. WM. O. BUTLER,
OF KENTUCKY.

FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER,
ISRAEL PAINTER,
Of Westmoreland County.

County Nominations.

FOR REPRESENTATIVES,
SAMUEL TAGGART, of Middletown,
" Wyoming.

FOR SHERIFF,
C. M. GERE, of Brooklyn.

FOR PROTHONOTARY,
F. M. WILLIAMS, of Springville.

FOR REGISTER AND RECORDER,
C. L. BROWN, of Friendsville.

FOR COMMISSIONER,
DAVID O. TURRELL of Liberty.

FOR CORONER,
JOHN BAKER, of Clifford.

FOR AUDITOR,
GEO. BALDWIN, of Great Bend.

The News from Ireland which we chronicle to-day will be sought with deep interest. The reported battle at Sleevenamon, at which 6,000 British troops were slaughtered, published in the city papers, (and which we see reported in the Register of to day) turns out to be a hoax. Ireland's day has not, it seems yet arrived, and alas! we almost fear it is far distant.

Our Position—The Reasons Therefor.

The editor of the Taylor organ of this place took occasion last week to make some remarks upon our position, past, present and prospective, in relation to the Presidency, and to cast some reflections which seem to demand a passing reply, lest silence on our part might be construed into an endorsement of his imputations.

Passing over everything else contained in his brief paragraph for the present, we will modestly remind our cotemporary that the charge of being "*whipped in*" comes with a *bad grace* from a man whom common fame has placed in leading strings ever since his first introduction to the editorial chair. We repel the imputation that he has so ungenerously and falsely made, and hurl it back upon himself as infinitely more deserving of it. No "hunker leader," or "leaders," has influenced our course in the matter in question a hair's breadth—not any other man or "clique" of men. Our course, such as it has been, has been our own, voluntarily assumed and voluntarily maintained, and our present position is that of our own choosing, uninfluenced and undictated by any individual "hunker," or "clique" beneath the canopy of heaven. Thus much by way of explanation, and we now proceed to consider another imputation akin to the foregoing.

That we have hesitated and pondered amid the excitement and contest that has been going on in our own party in relation to the candidates for the Presidency, not knowing which way the scale would ultimately poise, and sincerely desiring to go with the majority whichever way it should be, we do not deny; nor can we conceive anything improper in such a course. But that we have "toned" our paper in harmony with the Barnburner organs of N. York, or advocated Mr. Van Buren's election, are imputations notoriously untrue, and known to be so by our accuser. There is not that man living who can substantiate that charge in any particular—with this exception, that we have advocated the Wilmot Proviso, which they make the corner stone of their organization, which we still advocate, and shall continue to advocate till the day of our death, and which, if we are not egregiously in error, has been advocated by our cotemporary up to the time of the nomination of Gen. Taylor by the Philadelphia Convention. If this is advocating the success of the Barnburner ticket, we advocate it still; and we submit to all who will consider the matter, whether our sagacious neighbor has not until very recently been found in the same dilemma in which he fancies he has found us.

It is true, as our cotemporary alleges, (and this is about the only truth in his whole article) that we "came out pretty strongly against the proceedings of the Buffalo Convention" on the first week after they made their appearance. We had a reason too, for so doing—we felt relieved—breathed easier. The action of that body was such as to disgust many, we may say nearly all, of the staunchest, fiercest Van Buren Democrats in our county, and to give an earnest that the party here would soon settle down, as a general thing, upon a conviction that sound policy dictated the support of the Baltimore nominations. So believing, we so acted; nor have we yet seen aught to change that conviction, or to make us to rue or alter the course we adopted. And if it will be of any satisfaction to our neighbor, or in the least quiet his anxieties in our behalf, we can frankly disclose our motives for so doing. They are—

1. Because the usages of the party require it. The Baltimore ticket as the regularly nominated ticket of the party. It was placed before the people in accordance with the time-honored usages of the party—usages which all experience has proved indispensable to its harmony and success, and the consequent advancement of its cherished principles. Under such circumstances we could not consent to abandon the candidates of the party for the amalgamated Buffalo mess—which was constituted of elements so discordant and discordant, as to give no evidence of ever being able to accomplish its professed object even should it be successful at the polls.

2. We "came out pretty strongly against" the Buffalo ticket because to support it, would, in our opinion, be equivalent to indirectly supporting Gen. Taylor—a consequence and a responsibility which we are sure no good Democrat will be willing to hazard. We have watched the aspects of political movements and events—of the movements and prospects of the Barnburners, with whom our excellent neighbor would be glad to make us fraternize,—with much interest and anxiety, and we confess we can see not the remotest prospect of their success beyond the limits of New York, and some Whig States in New England. What inducement then can there be for any Democrat of Pennsylvania, or of any other State than those mentioned, to give the least countenance to the Buffalo ticket. None, emphatically none. It would be the most suicidal policy he could pursue. Admit that he may be a free soil man after the "straightest sect," as we claim to be, the question will still press itself as before, what is to be gained by this desertion? What advantage will accrue to the principles of free Soil? Gain! The "boot will assuredly be on the other foot." The chances of Taylor would thereby be strengthened, and a *slave-holder*, who received his nomination at the hands of the *propagandists*, BECAUSE OF HIS KNOWN OPPOSITION *to the principles of inhibition*, would be thereby foisted upon the American people, to experiment upon their liberties and interests for four years to come. This is what free soil men in Pennsylvania would gain: is the "game worth the candle?" Are there any Democrats prepared thus to strike hands with the open advocates of Taylor? Is there one who has the least regard for Democratic principles in the aggregate, who will be a "guest at such an entertainment?" We trow not. And prompted by this conviction, were there no other reasons, we should have decided as we have. We can not consent to be *partis est criminis* to the election of such an ignoramus as Zachary Taylor. We presume it is not exactly according to our Taylor neighbor's liking, but we cannot help it—it is the course of duty, and we shall pursue it, strong in the conviction that the mere gratification of him and his few remaining Taylor allies in this county is a compensation too meagre and inadequate by half for the sacrifice required, or even to be entitled to decent respect.

No, kind sir, you cannot decoy us into such company. We are free soil, first, last, and always; but we are for something else with it—we are for Democratic principles in the aggregate, as they have been supported by the party since Jefferson's days, and against which the abettors of Taylor are now arranged. We are opposed to all affiliation with whiggery or its multitudinous sins and heteredoxies. We adhere to the principles of the Wilmot Proviso with undying fastness, and shall continue to advocate them on all reasonable occasions; but in doing this we cannot lose sight of *other* principles, which have stood the test of experience, and are intimately allied to our country's dearest interests. That is an important one, we admit, but it is not the only item in the creed of a great party. It is not the Alpha and Omega of our political faith.

Briefly, then, let us recapitulate and be understood. We shall support Cass and Butler, 1st, because they are the regularly nominated candidates of the party, the usages of which it is essential that we should maintain; and 2d, because there is not the least prospect of the success of a Van Buren ticket in Pennsylvania, and therefore to support it would be indirectly supporting Taylor. This we aver no Democrat will do knowingly, or ask us to do. It would place the sceptre in the hands of our common enemy and give resurrective life and energy to principles we execrate. In a word, it would be sublimated folly, nay madness, for which we could offer no apology; and be accompanied with sacrifices vastly disproportioned to the object sought. We are not prepared to adopt that policy and make those sacrifices, nor shall we counsel others to do so. We are sure of the concurrence of all considerate Democrats in the course of duty we think marked out for us. We shall continue to urge our policy upon all, therefore, in full confidence, earnestly yet respectfully, and *if* there *should be* any who cannot receive our counsel, we shall not quarrel with them. Does our neighbor now understand us? and will he, should he feel called upon in the superabundance of his wisdom and kind care for us hereafter to allude again to our course, give *our own* reasons therefor in lieu of the hallucinations, exaggerations and misconceptions of his own brain?

LUZERNE COUNTY.—The Democrats of Luzerne have settled upon the following county ticket:

For Congress—Sam'l P. Collins.
" *Assembly*—S. Benedict, J. W. Goff.
" *Register*—David J. Koon.
" *Commissioner*—Jacob Besecker.

We are right glad to see our friend Collins, of the *Farmer*, so well cared for by the Democracy of old Luzerne whom he has so long, ably and faithfully served in an editorial capacity. He is a radical Democrat, of the right progressive stamp, and it would do us real good to hear of his nomination by the Congressional Conference, and consequent election. Luzerne county sends three, Wyoming one and Columbia two delegates to the Conference. The latter has instructed for Hendrick B. Wright.—Wyoming has not chosen her delegate.

SOUTH CAROLINA.—The Democrats of South Carolina we are glad to see, have at length concluded that it is their duty to support the Baltimore nominees for the Presidency. We think it about time. This will ensure the State for Cass and Butler. The Charleston *Mercury* has an able article defining its position.

Caving In.

It will be seen from the following communication which has been handed us, that the hot-house Whig ticket is already caving in. This is what might have been expected. Few men have such an itching for notoriety as to induce them to run for an office with no prospect ahead but sad defeat. We should not be surprised if this were not the last declination we were called on to publish. Hand them in, and push on the Democratic column:

[COMMUNICATED.]

MR. EDITOR—*Dear Sir*—I observe by the last number of the "Susquehanna Register" that the Whig Convention of Tuesday night last made free to use my name, without authority or warrant, for the office of Auditor. You will confer a favor upon me by stating in the columns of your journal, that, while expressing my gratitude for the partiality of that body, I must most respectfully decline the nomination.

S. W. TRUESDELL.

Liberty, August 26. 1848.

A Base Fabrication Nailed.

Several of the City papers, and the Whig papers generally, have for the last two or three weeks been making merry over an alleged paragraph in the Washington *Union*, which stated that the editor of that paper had in his possession a recent letter from Gen. Cass in which he pledged himself, if elected President, to veto the Wilmot Proviso, should it pass Congress, &c. We noticed the subject last week; but not being in possession of the facts as they were, gave, as we have since learned, a wrong reply to the matter, which we are happy to be able to correct now. The following paragraph from the *Union* itself will set the matter aright, and brand the inventors of the story with infamous calumny. The editor says:

It is due to the public to state that ☞ *we have received no such letter,* ☞ *nor has any such letter been exhibited to us.* We have received no letter, despatch, or message from Gen. Cass, since he left Washington; nor have we addressed a single one to him. *We have seen no letter that he has written to any other person; nor have we heard of any such letter.* We understand, indeed, that General Cass has not written a political letter since his acceptance of the Baltimore nomination.

Now what will these desperate, despicable Taylor calumniators have to offer? What next will our neighbor of the organ in this county prate about? Oh! Taylorism, thou art indeed desperate—thy name is Frailty!

The Taylorites in Despair.

The *New York Globe* quotes a paragraph from the *Courier & Enquirer*, a leading Taylor paper in the State, which certainly indicates the most melancholly forebodings. The article is captioned "Plain talk to true Whigs." It admonishes Whigs of the "danger of shutting their eyes to facts," and says it is "madness to sleep when the enemy is at our door." Hear it mourn and soliloquise over the danger:

"We believe that, in the existing state of the public mind—without efforts on the part of the Whigs not yet put forth, Lewis Cass is almost certain to be elected President in November next. We are compelled to this belief, most reluctantly, by what we see and know of the state of public feeling, and lack of proper effort. Doubt it, deny it, wish it otherwise as we may, the Whig party especially in the North, is apathetic, indifferent, inactive—compared with what it should be, and with what it must be, if it expects to win the victory. No one needs to be told that an election—especially a Presidential election, upon which depend so much power and patronage, cannot be carried now-a-days without exertion, and that of the most determined and energetic character. It is useless to say that the intelligence and patriotism of the people, will impel them to judge and to vote aright. So they will, provided the proper means are taken to instruct their judgement, and to stimulate their patriotic zeal,—but not otherwise.

Is'nt there apprehensions of danger for you? No wonder Taylorites tremble. They must be blind indeed not to be able to see the "hand writing on the wall." They are as sure to be "gloriously licked" as the 7th day of November, 1848, is to come. That they should have the hysterics now, therefore, is not surprising.

"The cry is Still they Come."

The letters from Gen. Taylor seem to multiply on every hand, and if we may judge from the tone of the Taylor press, to multiply trouble and sorrow in the Whig camp. No less than three of these precious missives, in all of which he declares his independence of party and of party obligations, and in one of which he asserts that he would have accepted the Baltimore Convention nomination had it been tendered to him, &c. (for which see another column,) we find in a single number of the Tribune. We do not doubt this declaration in the least—yea he would "have jumped at the chance."

But, by the way, this letter seems to be a "wet blanket" to the Taylorites several of the more rabid of their press pronouncing it a "forgery." The *Tribune*, however avouches for its genuineness, as do also several other Whig papers, and we doubt not with entire accuracy. Hence the trouble. It is "hard rowing" to try to persuade Whigs to support Taylor, when he is so perfectly indifferent about their support, and spurns a party nomination. He is "too loose" entirely for their purpose.

Greeley Defined his Position.

This distinguished desciple of Henry Clay, hater of Taylor and opponent of slavery extension has at last defined his position. He says:

"We would like to see our candidate for President openly, heartily, frankly in favor of Protection to Home Industry, River and Harbor Improvement, and so on. But we will waive all this, and agree to support Gen. Taylor heartily from the moment in which he plants himself openly and frankly on FREE SOIL. Is that asking too much?"

This tells the tale. Horace Greely, unless he abandons the position that he has voluntarily assumed and eats his own words, will not support Gen. Taylor more than we shall, and we are quite certain we shall not.

Gen. Taylor—Still Another Letter.

The *Charleston Evening News* publishes the following extract of a letter from Gen. TAYLOR to a friend in Charleston. The letter is without date, but by its reference to the Philadelphia Convention, it will be seen that it was written recently:

"I never had any aspirations for the Presidency, nor have I now, farther than the wishes of my friends are concerned in the matter; nor would I have it on any other terms than I stated when the subject was first agitated, which is, that my acceptance must be without pledges of being trammelled in any way, so that I could be the President of the whole *Nation* and not of a *Party*.

"I have accepted the nomination of the Philadelphia Convention, as well as the nomination of many primary assemblies gotten up in various sections of the Union, in some instances, irrespective of party; *and would have accepted the nomination of the Baltimore Convention, had it been tendered on the same terms.* I am now a candidate for the Chief Magistracy: and if it should be my good or bad fortune to be elected, I trust my course will be such for the most part, as regards the management of our National affairs, as will meet the approbation of my fellow-citizens. Should they fail to do so, they will, I flatter myself, have the charity to attribute my errors to the head and not to the heart. Very respectfully, your friend,

Z. TAYLOR.

Yet another Letter from Taylor.

The Charleston *Mercury* contains the following from the Whig candidate for the Presidency, which may be termed "*one* of the latest." It was written to the President of the Democratic meeting in Charleston on the 26th of July, in favor of *his* nomination for the Presidency, and Gen *Butler's* for the Vice Presidency. The reader will bear in mind that since that time the Democrats of Charleston have held another meeting and resolved to support *Cass* and Butler:

BATON ROGUE, LA., Aug. 9, 1848.

SIR: I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your communication of the 26th ultimo, officially announcing to me my nomination for the Presidency by a large meeting of the Democratic citizens of Charleston, South Carolina, held at that city on the 26th ult., and over which you were the presiding officer.

This deliberate expression of the friendly feeling existing towards me among a large and respectable portion of the citizens of your distinguished State, has been received by me with emotions of profound gratitude; and though it be but a poor return for such a high and unmerited honor, I beg them to accept my heartfelt thanks.

Concluding that this nomination, like all others which I have had the honor of receiving from assemblages of my fellow-citizens in various parts of the Union, has been generously offered me, without pledges or conditions, it is thankfully accepted; and I beg you to assure my friends, in whose behalf you are acting, that should it be my lot to fill the office for which I have been thus nominated, it shall be my unceasing effort, in the discharge of its responsible duties, to give satisfaction to my countrymen.

With the assurances of my highest esteem, I have the honor to be, your obedient servant,

Z. TAYLOR.

To W. B. PRINGLE, Esq.

Among the numerous letters Gen. Taylor has written (and their name is Legion) there seems not one that has carried half the consternation and dismay to the Taylor wing of the party that the foregoing has. It is emphatically a bomb-shell, and is doing terrible execution, placing those who pretend to support him as a Whig decidedly *hors du combat*. Mortification, disgust, indignation, are no longer concealed or suppressed. The idea of a Whig candidate for the Presidency—the Whig *leader* in fact—being "profoundly gratified" with the nomination of a Democratic meeting, and accepting said nomination *on the same conditions that he accepted others*, has something in it superlatively ridiculous even to them, and they do not hesitate to say so. Alas! Poor Taylor. The suicides grave is certainly thine to fill.

The First Response.

Excitement among the Whigs—Gen. Taylor's Letter accepting the South Carolina Nomination Denounced.

ALBANY, Aug 29.

A large meeting of the Whigs was held here last evening, to take into consideration the letter of Gen. Taylor accepting the nomination made in South Carolina, for the office of President, on the same ticket with Gen. Butler.—William Parmelee presided, assisted by James Kidd and others, as Vice Presidents. The letter was read, and Messrs. John A Collier, H. B. Haswell, Judge Carpenter, of Ostego, and Dewitt Benedict, Jr., spoke strongly in denunciation of Gen. Taylor's reply as insulting to Mr. Fillmore and the Whigs of the North.

A committee on resolutions was appointed to report on Monday evening, in order to give time for consideration.

The feeling of the meeting was decidedly in favor of the demonstrations made by the speakers.

A FACT.—The Whig press in the South unitedly advocate the election of Gen. Taylor on the ground that he is known to be opposed to the Wilmot Proviso. They at the same time stigmatize Gen. Cass as a "Northern Abolitionist." These are *facts* which challenge denial from any respectable quarter.—Proviso Whigs, think of it.

THE majority for John Wentworth in the Chicago district, Illinois, is upwards of 3000. This is the man the *Register* and other Whig papers proclaimed defeated.

THE five States that held their elections the fore part of this month, elected 14 members of Congress, of which 13 are Democrats. This is Taylor enthusiasm with a vengeance.

Connecticut Coming.

The New Haven *Register* says: "We set down now the six votes of Connecticut as sure for Lewis Cass—and we can point to many Whigs who will say 'amen to that.' The Whig party of Connecticut will not go for Taylor.—We say to our brother Democrats, the day is ours—ours, not only throughout the Union, but here in Connecticut! One and all then to the work—Connecticut for Cass and Butler."

THE Whigs of Lancaster district have nominated the notorious Thad. Stevens, for Congress.

Proceedings of Court.

Wednesday, Aug. 23. Grand Jury returned True Bills vs. John Moran for Larceny, John Malony for Assault and Battery, Charles Hogan for Assault and Battery, George Compton for Rape. Also a presentment vs. the New York & Erie Rail Road Co. for erecting an unsafe bridge.

Thursday. Bills Ignored vs. Jacob Denny and DeWitt C. Roberts for Subornation of Perjury; vs. Patrick Fallaher, Prosecutors pay the costs.

CAUSES TRIED.—Com'th vs. Norris Fargo for colluding with debtors: Bentley and Lusk for Com'th, R. B. Little, R. R. Little and J. C. Truesdell for Def't—Verdict Not Guilty. Com'th vs Nathan Wells, Indict. for Perjury: Chamberlin for Com'th, R. R. Little, Lusk and Brisbane for Def't—Verdict Not Guilty.—Com'th vs. Patrick Hollerin, Indict. for Riot: Chamberlin and Truesdell for Com'th, Streeter for Def't—Verdict Not Guilty.

CIVIL LIST.—Sprout vs. Bard, Debt: Little & Streeter for Pl'ff., Dimock, Bentley and Lusk for Def't—Verdict for Pl'ff for $3,00. Bancroft, Koons & Co. vs. Harris, et. al., Debt: Bentley & Richards for Pl'ffs, Turrell and Case for Def't—Verdict for Pl'ffs for $870,00.

Monday, 2d week. Court occupied with road cases.

Tuesday. Case vs. Seemans, Ejectment: Bentley & Richards for Pl'ff, Little & Streeter for Def't—Verdict for Def't. Williams vs. Low, Jury sworn, Plea withdrawn and Judgment for Pl'ff for $321,66. Spalding vs. Tilden, Jury sworn, Plea withdrawn and Judgment for Pl'ff for $121,66.

Wednesday, 10 o'clock. Jury just empaneled to try Brundage vs. Wells, Slander: Little, Streeter, Bentley and Lusk for Pl'ff; Wright, Richards, and Chamberlin for Def't. Jury, except those empanneled in this case discharged.

Analysis of the Vote at the County Convention.

Some considerable complaint has reached us since our last because the report of the ballots for the several candidates did not contain the "ayes and noes" of each delegate as has been the custom for the last three years. We will say to all who have been thus disposed to censure, that the fault was not ours, but arose from an incorrect manner of keeping the tally by the Secretaries. Upon recurring to his minutes the next day after the Convention, the Secretary who made out the report, found it utterly impossible to decipher each man's vote correctly and furnish the proceedings in time for last week's paper, and so, of necessity, gave them as they were printed. He has since taken the matter in hand, and after two day's toil has arrived at the following, which he thinks a tolerably correct, if not perfect, analysis of the vote:

For Sheriff.

1ST BALLOT.

Messrs. Stevens, of Clifford, Baker, Washburn, Tucker, Carpenter, Chandler, of Herrick, Jones, Pickering, Cobb, Olmstead, Mitchell, Lathrop, Thomas, of Springville, Messenger, Wrighter.—15 voted for *Wm. C. Ward.*

Messrs. Adams, Carter, Tyler, Curtis, Smith, Stephens of Dimock, Shay, Webb, Taylor, Hancock, Faurot, Ross, Simmons, Read, Fargo, Stewart.—16 voted for *John Young.*

Messrs. Buffum, Griffin, Tiffany, Williams, Stanley, Burke, Meehan, Leet, Keys, Chandler of Gibson, Baldwin, Thomas of Great Bend, Dimock, Farnam, Powers, Hawley, Stanford, Brown, Bisbee, Gaige, Murphy—21 voted for *C. M. Gere.*

Messrs. Merriman and Stevens of Franklin, —2 voted for *S. U. Hamilton.*

2D BALLOT.

Messrs. Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Washburn, Tucker, Carpenter, Chandler of Herrick, Jones, Pickering, Cobb, Olmstead, Mitchell, Lathrop, Thomas of Springville, Messenger, Wrighter—15 voted for *Wm. C. Ward.*

Messrs. Adams, Carter, Tyler, Curtis, Smith, Stephens of Dimock, Shay, Webb, Taylor, Hancock, Faurot, Ross, Simmons, Read, Fargo, and Stewart—16 voted for *John Young.*

Messrs. Buffum, Griffin, Tiffany, Williams, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Stanley, Burke, Stephens of Franklin, Meehan, Leet, Lathrop, Keys, Chandler of Gibson, Baldwin, Thomas of Great Bend, Dimock, Farnam, Powers, Hawley, Stanford, Brown, Bisbee, Cobb, Gaige and Murphy—26 voted for *C. M. Gere.*

Mr. Merriman voted for *S. U. Hamilton.*

3RD BALLOT.

Messrs. Washburn, Tucker, Carpenter, Pickering, Chandler of Herrick, Jones, Olmstead, Mitchell, Thomas of Springville, Messenger, Wrighter—11 voted for *Wm. C. Ward.*

Messrs. Adams, Carter, Tyler, Curtis, Smith, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Stanley, Burke, Stephens of Franklin, Meehan, Leet, Keys, Chandler of Gibson, Carpenter, Baldwin, Thomas, Webb, Chandler of Herrick, Dimock, Jones, Pickering, Hawley, Stanford, Brown, Bisbee, Cobb, Ross, Olmstead, Mitchell, Stewart, Lathrop, Thomas of Springville, Murphy, Gaige, Messenger, Wrighter—38 voted for *F. M. Williams.*

Messrs. Tyler, Curtis, Smith, Stephens of Dimock, Hancock, Simmons, Read, Fargo—8 voted for *M. L. Catlin.*

Messrs. Carter, Tiffany, Washburn, Tucker, Taylor, Faurot, Farnam, Powers—8 voted for *J. T. Langdon.*

For Register & Recorder.

1ST BALLOT.

Messrs. Stephens of Dimock, Webb, Taylor, Washburn, Hancock and Olmstead—6 voted for *Silas F. McKune.*

Messrs. Buffum, Griffin, Tiffany, Merriman, Stanley, Burke, Stephens of Franklin, Meehan, Shay, Leet, Keys, Chandler of Gibson, Thomas of Great Bend, Baldwin, Tucker, Carpenter, Dimock, Hawley, Stanford, Brown, Mitchell, Bisbee, Cobb, Fargo, Lathrop, Gaige and Murphy—27 voted for *Charles L. Brown.*

Messrs. Tyler, Curtis, Simmons and Read—4 voted for *A. N. Bullard.*

Messrs. Adams and Carter—2 voted for *Edward Dawson.*

Messrs. Williams, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Chandler of Herrick, Jones and Pickering—6 voted for *Alexander Burns.*

Messrs. Smith, Faurot, Farnam, Thomas of Springville, Stewart, Powers, Ross, Messenger and Wrighter—9 voted for *F. P. Hollister.*

2D BALLOT.

Messrs. Smith, Stephens of Dimock, Taylor, Washburn and Webb—5 voted for *Silas F. McKune.*

Messrs. Buffum, Griffin, Adams, Carter, Tiffany, Stanley, Burke, Merriman, Stephens of Franklin, Meehan, Shay, Leet, Keys, Chandler of Gibson, Baldwin, Thomas of Great Bend, Tucker, Carpenter, Dimock, Jones, Pickering, Hancock, Faurot, Powers, Hawley, Stanford, Brown, Bisbee, Cobb, Simmons, Read, Stewart, Olmstead, Fargo, Lathrop, Gaige and Murphy—37 voted for *Charles L. Brown.*

Messrs. Tyler and Curtis—2 voted for *A. N. Bullard.*

Messrs. Williams, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Chandler of Herrick, Mitchell, Messenger and Wrighter—7 voted for *Alexander Burns.*

Messrs. Farnam, Thomas of Springville, and Ross—3 voted for *F. P. Hollister.*

For Commissioner.

1ST BALLOT.

Messrs. Buffum, Griffin, Tiffany, Williams, Burke, Leet, Keys, Farnam, Powers, Brown, Bisbee, Stewart and Gaige—13 voted for *John D. Murphy.*

Messrs. Tyler, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Smith, Stephens of Dimock, Meehan, Chandler of Gibson, Shay, Washburn, Jones, Pickering, Hancock, Cobb, Ross, Messenger and Wrighter—16 voted for *Elisha Griffis.*

Messrs. Merriman, Baldwin, Webb, Hawley, Stevens of Franklin, Thomas of Great Bend, Stanford, Lathrop and Thomas of Springville—9 voted for *David O. Turrel.*

Messrs. Adams, Carter, Curtis, Carpenter, Stanley, Tucker, Taylor, Simmons, Read and Fargo—10 voted for *Latham Gardner.*

Messrs. Chandler of Herrick, Dimock, Faurot and Olmstead—4 voted for *Shubael Dimock.*

Waller Washburn received 1 vote, and Mr. Murphy did not vote.

2D BALLOT.

Messrs. Buffum, Griffin, Williams, Chandler of Gibson, Burke, Leet, Keys, Farnam, Powers, Brown, Bisbee, Ross, Thomas of Springville—13 voted for *John D. Murphy.*

Messrs. Tyler, Baker, Smith, Stephens of Dimock, Meehan, Shay, Washburn, Hancock, Mitchell, Fargo, Thomas of Springville—11 voted for *Elisha Griffis.*

Messrs. Tiffany, Merriman, Thomas of Great Bend, Stevens of Franklin, Baldwin, Hawley, Webb, Jones, Pickering, Stanford, Cobb, Olmstead, Lathrop—13 voted for *David O. Turrell.*

Messrs. Adams, Carter, Curtis, Carpenter, Stanley, Tucker, Simmons, Read, Stewart—9 voted for *Latham Gardner.*

Messrs. Stephens of Clifford, Chandler of Herrick, Dimock, Faurot, Olmstead, Messenger and Wrighter—7 voted for *Shubael Dimock.*

3D. BALLOT.

Messrs. Griffin, Williams, Burke, Leet, Keys, Chandler of Gibson, Farnam, Brown, Powers, Bisbee, Gaige, Messenger and Wrighter—13 voted for *John D. Murphy.*

Messrs. Buffum, Tyler, Stanley, Meehan and Washburn—5 voted for *Elisha Griffis.*

Messrs. Tiffany, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Merriman, Stevens of Franklin, Baldwin, Taylor, Thomas of Great Bend, Webb, Pickering, Jones, Hawley, Stanford, Cobb, Olmstead, Lathrop and Thomas of Springville—17 voted for *David O. Turrell.*

Messrs. Adams, Carter, Curtis, Smith, Shay, Stephens of Dimock, Tucker, Carpenter, Ross, Hancock, Simmons, Read, Mitchell, Fargo and Stewart—15 voted for *Latham Gardner.*

Messrs. Chandler of Herrick, Dimock and Faurot—3 voted for *Shubael Dimock.*

4TH BALLOT.

Messrs. Griffin, Williams, Burke, Chandler of Gibson, Leet, Keys, Farnam, Powers, Bisbee, Brown, Gaige, Murphy, Messenger, Stevens of Clifford, Wrighter, Tiffany, Merriman, Baker, Stevens of Franklin, Baldwin, Thomas of Great Bend, Webb, Taylor, Jones, Hawley, Pickering, Stanford, Cobb, Olmstead, Lathrop and Thomas of Springville—31 voted for *David O. Turrell.*

Messrs. Buffum, Tyler, Stanley, Washburn, Meehan, Chandler of Herrick, and Dimock—7 voted for *Elisha Griffis.*

Messrs. Adams, Carter, Curtis, Stephens of Dimock, Smith, Shay, Tucker, Carpenter, Ross, Hancock, Faurot, Simmons, Read, Mitchell, Fargo and Stewart—16 voted for *Latham Gardner.*

For Coroner.

Messrs. Tiffany, Williams, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Merriman, Leet, Washburn, Carpenter, Stevens of Franklin, Baldwin, Thomas of Great Bend, Tucker, Webb, Taylor, Chandler of Herrick, Dimock, Hancock, Faurot, Stanford, Farnam, Powers, Hawley, Cobb, Read, Simmons, Lathrop and Thomas of Springville—28 voted for *John Baker.*

Messrs. Buffum, Griffin, Adams, Carter, Tyler, Curtis, Stanley, Burke, Smith, Stephens of Dimock, Meehan, Shay, Keys, Jones, Brown, Pickering, Bisbee, Ross, Olmstead, Mitchell, Fargo, Stewart, Gaige, Murphy, Messenger and Wrighter—26 voted for *M. T. Wilvey.*

For Auditor.

Messrs. Buffum, Tyler, Curtis, Tiffany, Williams, Stevens of Clifford, Baker, Merriman, Stephens of Franklin, Shay, Chandler of Gibson, Washburn, Thomas of Great Bend, Webb, Tucker, Taylor, Chandler of Herrick, Dimock, Jones, Pickering, Hancock, Faurot, Farnam, Powers, Hawley, Stanford, Brown, Simmons, Bisbee, Cobb, Read, Olmstead, Mitchell, Fargo, Lathrop, Thomas of Springville, Messenger and Wrighter—38 voted for *George Baldwin.*

Messrs. Griffin, Adams, Carter, Stephens of Dimock, Smith, Stanley, Burke, Meehan, Leet, Keys, Baldwin, Carpenter, Ross, Stewart, Murphy and Gaige—16 voted for *Benjamin Glidden.*

SANTA ANNA.—Santa Anna and his wife are living near Kingston, Jamaica, in magnificent style.