A Hint for Wives.

A certain married woman of Woonsecket, R. I., sat up until midnight the other night watching for her husband to come home, and thinking up some appropriate remarks to make upon his arrival. When at last she was tired out she went to her room, where she found her husband in bed fast asleep. The wife, instead of apologizing for the injustice she has done her innocent husband, refused to speak to him at all, and up to the time of the latest report she continued to treat him with cruel coldness. The Recheser Union makes this domestic episode the text of severe commentary on the proneness of wives to jump to hasty conclusions concerning the whereabouts of husbands at night, and suggests that, before they sit up until late hours with injurious thoughts, they search the house theroughly. The poor man may be peacefully sleeping on the premises all the time—in bed, or under the table, or somewhere. Some times browbeaten husbands are forced to take refuge under beds, like the poor gentleman who declared to his wife, who was searching for him with a broomstick and bidding him come forth: "So long as I have the spirit of a man, madam, I shall not come out from under this bed."

BRYAN'S ADDRESS

The Democratic Candidate's Formal Acceptance of the Presidential Nomination. The Full Text of His New York Speech.

Mr. Chaibman, Gentlemen of the Committee and Fellow Citizens—I shall at a future day and in a formal letter accept the nomination which is now tendered by the notification committee, and I shall at that time touch upon the issues presented by the platform. It is fitting, however, that at this time, in the presence of those here assembled, I speak at some length in regard to the campaign upon which we are now entering. We do not underestimate the forces arrayed against us, nor are we unmindful of the importance of the struggle in which we are engaged; but, relying for success upon the rightconsess of our cause, we shall defend with all possible vigor the positions taken by our party. We are not surprised that some of our opponents, in the absence of better argument, resort to abusive epithets, but they may rest assured that no language, however violent, no invectives, however vehement, will lead us to depart a single hairbreadth from the course marked out by the national convention. The citizen, either public or private, who assails the character and questions the patriotism of the delegates assembled in the Chicago convention assails the character and questions the patriotism of the delegates assembled in the Chicago convention assails the character and questions the patriotism of the millions who have arrayed themselves under the banner there raised.

It has been charged by men standing high in business and political circles that our platform is a menace to private security and public safety, and it has been asserted that those whom I have the honor for the time being to represent not only meditate an attack upon the rights of

The Chicago platform has been condemned by some because it dissents from
an opinion rendered by the supreme court
declaring the income tax law unconstitutional. Our critics even go so far as to apply the name anarchist to those who stand
upon that plank of the platform. It must
be remembered that we expressly recognize the binding force of that declaion so
long as it stands as a part of the law of
the land. There is in the platform no suggestion of an attempt to dispute the authority of the supreme court. The party is
simply pledged to use "all the constitutional power which remains after that decision or which may come from its reversal
by the court as it may hereafter be constituted." Is there any disloyalty in that
pledge? For a hundred years the supreme
court of the United States has sustained
the principle which underlies the income
tax. Some 20 years ago this same court
sustained without a dissenting voice an income tax law almost identical with the
one recently overthrown. Has not a future
court as much right to return to the judicial precedents of a century as the present
court had to depart from them? When
courts allow rehearings, they admit that
error is possible. The late decision against
the income tax was rendered by a majority
of one after a rehearing.

While the money question overshadows
all other questions in importance, I desire
it distinctly understood that I shall offer
no apology for the income tax plank of the
Chicago platform. The last income tax
law sought to apportion the burdens of
government more equitably among those
who enjoy the protection of the government. At present the expenses of the felcral government, collected through internal
revenue taxes and import duties, are especially burdensome upon the poorer classes
of society. A law which collects from some
citizens more than their share of the taxes
and collects from other clitzens less than
their share is simply an indirect means
of transferring one man's property to another man's pocket, and while

ors those who, through the more polite and less hazardous means of legislation, appropriate to their own use the proceeds of the toil of others. The commandment, "Thou shalt not steal," thundered from Sinai and reiterated in the legislation of all nations, is no respecter of persons. It must be applied to the great as well as the small, they are bendered to the great as well as the weak, to the corporate person created by law as well as the person of flesh and blood created by the Almighty. No government is worthy of the name which is not able to protect from every arm uplifted for his injury the humblest citizen who elives beneath the flag. It follows as a necessary conclusion that vicious legislation must be remedied by the people who suffer from the effects of such legislation and not by those who enjoy its benefits.

The Income Tax Decision.

The Chicago platform has been condemned by some because it dissents from an opinion rendered by the supreme courf leclaring the income tax have unconstitutional. Our critics even go so far as to apply the name anarchist to those who estand upon that plank of the platform. It must be remembered that we expressly recognize the binding force of that decision so long as it stands as a part of the law the contract would be a more just standard; there is no such thing as a standard of value for future payments, stelled in the platform of the lained. There is in the platform, it must be remembered that we expressly recognize the binding force of that decision so long as it stands as a part of the law the platform of the lained that the platform is must be remembered that we expressly recognize the binding force of that decision so long as it stands as a part of the law the platform of the lained that a multiple standard wherein the unit is based upon the selling prices of a mine is based upon the selling prices of a mine is based upon the selling prices of a mine is based upon the selling prices of a mine is based upon the selling prices of a mine is based upon the selling pr

the bolders of other forms of propositional control and under advantage of the control and under advantage of the control and the project of protectly already in fixed investments have a right the project of the right to man the ballet to propose the right to man the propose to the right to right to right to the right to the right to right t The state of the s