

# WARRANTS

I will guarantee that my Kidney Cure will cure 90 per cent. of all forms of kidney complaint and in many instances the most serious forms of Bright's disease. If the disease is complicated send a four-ounce vial of urine. We will analyze it and advise you free what to do.

## KIDNEY CURE

UNCLE SAM'S HOT BATHS.

THE HOT SPRINGS OF ARKANSAS—VIA SOUTHERN RAILWAY.

Will radiate from your system the lingering effects of grip and other ailments caused by the severe winter, and influenza, rheumatism, neuralgia, catarrh, stomach, kidney, liver and nervous disorders, paralysis, blood and skin diseases, and chronic and functional derangements. The mountain climate of Hot Springs is cool and delightful in summer. 100 hotels open the year around.

## LIVERITA

THE UP-TO-DATE LITTLE LIVER PILL CURES

Biliousness, Constipation, Dyspepsia, Sick-Headache and Liver Complaint.

SUGAR COATED. Sold by all druggists under the name of LIVERITA. Sold by McKel's drug store, Shenandoah, Pa.

## PENNYROYAL PILLS

Chamberlain's English Diamond Brand.

Original and Purely Vegetable. Cures Biliousness, Constipation, Dyspepsia, Sick-Headache and Liver Complaint.

## Dr. Humphreys'

Specifies act directly upon the disease, without exciting disorder in other parts of the system. They Cure the Sick.

1—Fever, Congestion, Inflammation, 25  
2—Worms, Worm Fever, Worm Colic, 25  
3—Teething, Colic, Crying, Wakefulness, 25  
4—Diarrhea, of Children or Adults, 25  
5—Coughs, Colds, Bronchitis, 25  
6—Neuralgia, Toothache, Faceache, 25  
7—Headache, Sick-Headache, Vertigo, 25  
8—Catarrh, of the Bladder, 25  
9—Dyspepsia, Indigestion, Weak Stomach, 25  
10—Suppressed or Painful Periods, 25  
11—White, Too Profuse Periods, 25  
12—Croup, Laryngitis, Hoarseness, 25  
13—Salt Rheum, Eruptions, 25  
14—Rheumatism, Rheumatic Pain, 25  
15—Narthritis, Chills, Fever and Ague, 25  
16—Catarrh, of the Bladder, 25  
17—Whooping-Cough, 25  
18—Kidney Diseases, 25  
19—Nervous Debility, 1.00  
20—Urinary Weakness, Wetting Bed, 25  
21—Grip, Hay Fever, 25

## LEHIGH VALLEY RAILROAD

IN EFFECT MAY 14, 1909.

Passenger trains leave Shenandoah for Penn Haven Junction, Mauch Chunk, Lehighton, Stratington, White Hall, Catawissa, Allentown, Bethlehem, Easton, New York and Philadelphia at 5:28, 7:00 a. m., 12:32 and 5:17 p. m.

For Allentown, White Haven and Pottsville, 5:30, 10:15 a. m., 12:32 and 5:17 p. m.

For Lancaster, York, Carlisle, Harrisburg, Philadelphia, 5:30, 10:15 a. m., 12:32 and 5:17 p. m.

## TANSY PILLS

A SURE, SAFE AND PAINLESS REMEDY FOR ALL FORMS OF BILIOUSNESS, CONSTIPATION, DYSPEPSIA, SICK-HEADACHE AND LIVER COMPLAINT.

For sale at Pottsville's drug store, 25 Centre street.

## WATSON'S TANSY PILLS

A SURE, SAFE AND PAINLESS REMEDY FOR ALL FORMS OF BILIOUSNESS, CONSTIPATION, DYSPEPSIA, SICK-HEADACHE AND LIVER COMPLAINT.

For sale at Pottsville's drug store, 25 Centre street.

# A SQUADRY OF COFFINS

In the Tornado Stricken Town of New Richmond, Wis., FIRST REPORTS EXAGGERATED.

The List of Dead Probably Not Over Seventy-five or Eighty—Planning to Rebuild the Town—The Deaths in Herman Number Ten.

New Richmond, Wis., June 15.—Thirty-two new graves were made yesterday in the two little cemeteries at opposite sides of the town, a constant succession of funerals threading a passage through the littered streets all day long. There is still a large number of unburied dead, and but for the fact that a large number of coffins failed to arrive yesterday it is likely the number of funerals would have been close to 50. The number of known dead was increased by one, Henry Larson, a boy about 14 years of age, the son of a lumberman and one of the injured died. This, with four bodies recovered yesterday, makes the list of known dead 60, while over 20 are still missing and have undoubtedly perished. The search for bodies continues without abatement.

Contrary to general expectations, there were no bodies found during the day in the basement of the Williams dry goods store. It was predicted when the work of clearing out the debris was begun that at least 20 bodies were still in the cellar of the Williams store, but at nightfall a large portion of the place had been gone over and nothing was found to indicate that any more dead were in the ruins.

Ten bodies still remain unidentified. Four bodies were found yesterday, those of Mrs. Kate Hawkins, Willie Hughes, John Prior and John Larsen. The Hawkins family, consisting of Mr. and Mrs. Hawkins and four children, is wiped out with the exception of Mr. Hawkins, who is seriously injured, and his recovery is very doubtful. The body of Patrick Early, a boy of 12 years, is in the mass of wreckage in which the body of Prior was found. The injured boy died yesterday when Mrs. Joseph Brockway and Thomas Stevens.

The work of clearing up the town was undertaken in a systematic manner yesterday morning, and decided progress was made. The numerous dead horses that were lying around were all buried early in the day. All the fires which had been smoldering for 36 hours were extinguished and a number of cellars in which dead bodies were supposed to be were cleared up.

Supplies have been coming in from all directions, and there is no scarcity of anything to eat. Bedding is not too plentiful, but blankets are needed. Food was so plentiful yesterday that the relief committee made a large sum of money by selling sandwiches and bread. All the funds derived from this source of revenue was turned over to the general fund, and from this source alone nearly \$300 was derived. Ten cents were collected for the purchase of coffee and the same amount for a very deperate sandwich. The cashier, moreover, made it his business to be forgetful of returning change, and in numerous cases he worked off his sandwiches at 50 cents apiece. When objections were offered the cashier would tell it was for the public good.

The relief committee has received tenders of money from various sources amounting to about \$5,000. Enough additional money is in sight, it is said, to supply all immediate demands for cash. A building and loan association plan has been talked of for rebuilding the town.

A number of tents have been put up for the wounded, and it is proposed to move as many as possible of the injured into them and relieve the crowded condition of the hospitals, which are rather limited in capacity. No more deaths are expected.

## Robbed the Grave.

A startling incident of which Mr. John Oliver of Philadelphia, was the subject, is narrated by him as follows: "I was in a most dreadful condition. My skin was almost yellow, eyes sunken, tongue coated, pain continually in back and sides, no appetite—gradually growing weaker day by day. Three physicians had given me up. Fortunately, a friend advised trying 'Electric Bitters' and to my great joy and surprise, the first bottle made a decided improvement. I continued their use for three weeks, and an a new well man. I know they saved my life, and robbed the grave of another victim. No one but I should fail to try them. 50 cents per bottle at A. Wasyly's drug store.

## Russian Ambassador Going to Europe

Washington, June 15.—Count Cassini, the Russian ambassador, left here yesterday for New York, whence he will sail next Tuesday for Europe. He will remain until fall, dividing his time between Paris and Carlsbad. Mr. De Wollant will be Russian chargé d'affaires during the absence of the Russian ambassador.

## Shake Into Your Shoes

Allan's Foot-Ease, a powder for the feet. It cures painful, swollen, smarting, nervous feet, and instantly takes the stink out of corns and bunions. It's the greatest comfort discovery of the age. Allan's Foot-Ease makes tight or new shoes feel easy. It is a certain cure for sweating, callous and hot, tired, aching feet. Try today. Sold by all druggists and shoe stores. By mail for 35c in stamps. Trial package FREE. Address, Allen S. Olmsted, Le Roy, N. Y.

## Diamonds Stolen From Stateroom

Fall River, June 15.—Mrs. Mary and Miss Edith Howe, wife and daughter of Dr. Herbert M. Howe, of Philadelphia, who reached here yesterday by the steamer Puritan, en route to their summer home at Bristol, reported that their stateroom had been robbed of \$500 worth of diamonds. Edward Levy, a stateroom passenger, was detained and searched, and about half of the missing property was found on him.

## CASTORIA

For Infants and Children.

The Kind You Have Always Bought Bears the Signature of *Charles H. Pottsville*

Spanish Senate Codes Islands.

Madrid, June 15.—The senate yesterday adopted the bill ceding the Caroline islands, the Ladrones and the Pelew islands to Germany.

Tell Your Sister

A beautiful complexion is an impossibility without good pure blood, the sort that only exists in connection with the good digestion; a healthy liver and bowels. Karl's Clover Root Tea acts directly on the bowels, liver and kidneys keeping them in perfect health. Price 25 cents and 50 cents. Sold by P. D. Kirilin on a guarantee.

# CASTORIA

For Infants and Children.

The Kind You Have Always Bought Bears the Signature of *Charles H. Pottsville*

of The Kind You Have Always Bought.

# CASTORIA

THE CENTAUR COMPANY, NEW YORK CITY.

900 DROPS

Vegetable Preparation for Assimilating the Food and Regulating the Stomachs and Bowels of INFANTS AND CHILDREN

Promotes Digestion, Cheerfulness and Rest. Contains neither Opium, Morphine nor Mineral. NOT NARCOTIC.

Recipe of *DR. H. SAMUEL PITCHER*

Perfect Remedy for Constipation, Sour Stomach, Diarrhoea, Worms, Convulsions, Feverishness and LOSS OF SLEEP.

THE SIGNATURE OF *Charles H. Pottsville*

NEW YORK.

At 6 months old 35 Doses—35 CENTS

EXACT COPY OF WRAPPER.

"A HAND SAW IS A GOOD THING, BUT NOT TO SHAVE WITH."

# SAPOLIO

IS THE PROPER THING FOR HOUSE-CLEANING.

## DR. E. C. WEST'S Nerve and Brain TREATMENT

The Original. All Others Imitations.

Is sold under a positive Written Guarantee, by authorized agents only, to cure Weak Memory, Dizziness, Wakefulness, Fits, Hysteria, Quickness, Night Losses, Evil Dreams, Loss of Countenance, Nervousness, Lassitude, all Brains, Vascular Errors, or Excessive Use of Tobacco, Opium, or Liquor, which leads to Misery, Consumption, Insanity and Death. At store or by mail, 45c a box; six for \$3; with Written Guarantee to Cure or Refund Money. Sample Package, containing five days' treatment, with full instructions, 25 cents. One sample only sold to each person. At store or by mail.

### Red Label Special Extra Strength.

For Impotency, Loss of Power, Lost Manhood, Sterility or Barrenness. At a box; six for \$5, with Written Guarantee to cure in 30 days. At store or by mail.

Sold at Kirilin's Drug Store.

## THE WOMAN WHO COUGHED

### A Wreck From Grippe, Catarrh and Asthma.

## RESTORED WITH BRAZILIAN BALM

I was known as "The Woman who Coughs," for I coughed incessantly. I was a school teacher till my health failed. Catarrh had eaten away the partition in my nose. It had produced Bronchitis, Asthma, dreadful Stomach troubles and weak kidneys. In fact it had gone all through my system, making me an easy victim for the Grippe which left me a wreck in mind and body. This was the condition the doctors left me in, after dosing me with opium, quinine, etc. Then I bought cough cures without end but they only made me worse. I was in despair till I got hold of Brazilian Balm and Toxicola Tablets. They acted like magic from the first, and in a few weeks I was cured and better than I had been in years. Talk about wonderful remedies, Brazilian Balm and Toxicola saved my life.

Miss L. L. Clark, 917 Horton St.

You get more doses of Brazilian Balm for the money than any other remedy. 25, 50 cents and \$1.00 a bottle at druggists. With every \$1.00 bottle you get one month's treatment of Toxicola Tablets free; the best tonic, nerve and stomach builder in the world.—Dr. J. Jackson & Co., Mfg. Chemists, Ind.

## Shenandoah Drug Store, Wholesale and Retail Agents.

"YOU'LL GET ALL THAT'S A-COMING TO YOU."

If, when contemplating a trip to any point West or Southwest of the Mississippi River, you will purchase tickets via the Missouri Pacific Ry., or Iron Mountain Route (which are on sale at all principal ticket offices in the United States), you will have all the comforts and luxuries of modern railway equipment, and the finest opportunities for viewing all of nature's museums and marvels of Utah, Colorado, Kansas, Arkansas, Texas, and New Mexico, California, etc. Excursion tickets to all principal points at greatly reduced rates. On account of the National Educational Association meeting at Los Angeles in July, we will make special low round trip rates. When contemplating a trip West or Southwest, write us for full information and rock bottom figures. W. E. Hoyt, G. E. P. Agent, J. P. McCann, T. P. Agent, 391 Broadway, New York. 4-22-09

## NATIONAL EDUCATIONAL ASSOCIATION.

REDUCED RATES TO LOS ANGELES, CAL., VIA PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD.

For the National Educational Association Convention to be held at Los Angeles, Cal., July 11 to 14, the Pennsylvania Railroad Company will sell excursion tickets via direct routes from points on its line, to Los Angeles, Cal., and return, at rate of single fare for the round trip, plus \$2.00 membership fee. These tickets will be sold, good going June 24 to July 7, and when stamped by Joint Agent at Los Angeles, good to return, arriving at final destination, until September 5.

For further information apply to ticket agents.

Coming Events.

June 21.—Ice cream festival, under the auspices of the Young Men's Union's Association, in Robbins' hall.

July 3.—Ice cream festival, under auspices of the Star Foot Ball team, in Robbins' hall.

July 4.—Ice cream festival, under auspices of the Returned Soldiers of the Cuban war, in Robbins' opera house.

Florida Short Line.

The New York and Florida Express, via Southern Railway, leaving Broad street station, Philadelphia, daily at 5:34 p. m. carries through Pullman sleeping cars to Augusta and Savannah, Ga., Jacksonville and Tampa, Fla., via Charlotte and Columbia. This is the short line to Georgia and Florida. All information cheerfully furnished by Charles L. Hopkins, District Passenger Agent, 828 Chestnut street, Philadelphia.

# HAVEMEYER ON TRUSTS.

The Sugar Magnate Testifies Before the Industrial Commission. ATTACKS THE CUSTOMS TARIFF.

Washington, June 15.—Mr. Henry O. Havemeyer, president of the American Sugar Refining Company, was examined by the industrial commission yesterday in connection with its investigation of trusts. His testimony related almost wholly to the sugar industry, and he opened with a vigorous attack upon the customs tariff, which he declared was the "mother of all trusts," becoming such by providing "an inordinate protection to manufacturers." This attack was made in a written statement, which the witness had prepared before making his appearance before the committee, and which he read in a ringing voice.

Mr. Havemeyer's statement asserted that 10 per cent. was as high as any tariff should be. He contended that sugar is discriminated against in the tariff in the interest of the Louisiana cane growers, the beet sugar makers and the sugar growers of the Hawaiian Islands. To these interests, he contended, the United States contributed \$24,000,000, which was taken out of the pockets of the people. What Congress should have done was to have put an internal revenue tax on the American production of sugar. The representations made in Congress concerning the trust, he declared, were untrue, and it was a fact that the people suffered from trusts that must blame the protective tariff, of which the trusts were merely the machinery.

Mr. Havemeyer declared that corporations are under no obligations whatever to any of the states for their existence. Quite the reverse. The



HENRY O. HAVEMEYER.

states are under obligations to them. If the plant of these industries consolidated was capable of being put on wheels and moved from state to state, you could not find a more active bidding for them. It is not an unusual thing for certain localities to guarantee free taxation for 20 years, free water, and in some instances give the land to corporations to have them organize under their statutes and locate in their states.

Hostility to capital meets with its own condemnation. This is illustrated by the situation in New York, where a discrimination against capital has prevented its employment and driven it elsewhere, resulting in a greatly increased tax rate, and a tendency to increase the number of the unemployed.

The true "communism of self" is the customs tariff bill. It says to the people: "Here is the law we have enacted for your robbery. Do not complain of it, but do your utmost to attack and injure the machinery engaged in extracting from you what we legislate shall be taken from you. Keep up the clamor while the voters on the tariff bill take advantage of the noise to enact laws that cause your impoverishment and thus contribute to the greed and avarice of the few."

There is a prevailing hostility to the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust taxation. All this is probably unconstitutional. It is directly against the interest of the very class the poorer every one wants money. It is the abuse of money, not its possession, which is opposed to public interest. This hostility finds its outlet in hostile legislation, in unequal and unjust