



THIS QUEEN OF Sewing Machines

Only \$19.50

Warranted for Five Years

GREAT BARGAINS OFFERED IN

FURNITURE

To cash buyers or on the installment plan. Come and see the largest stock in the county to select from at prices that defy competition.

J. P. WILLIAMS & SON, 13 SOUTH MAIN STREET SHENANDOAH, PA

ARNHEIM, THE TAILOR, BROADWAY & NINTH ST., NEW YORK.

I have accepted the exclusive agency for this section of the country. A full and complete line of samples may be seen at my store at any time upon calling. It is not necessary to go into detail concerning Arnheim's style, fit and prices as they need no introduction to the public. They speak for themselves. Give us a call and be convinced.

MAX LEVIT,

Up-To-Date Hatter and Gents' Furnisher, No. 15 East Centre Street.

FALL OF 1897.

NEW AND COMPLETE LINE OF

Carpets, Oil Cloths, Window Shades,

Linoleums, Rugs, New Draperies and Covers

Special Sale of

Bargains in all kinds of

TABLE LINENS.

REMNANTS.

J. J. PRICE'S, North Main St., Shenandoah, Pa.

THE PINNACLE OF EXCELLENCE

is a way-up point of elevation not easily reached, but :: ::

COLUMBIA BEER.

THE ONLY SHENANDOAH BEER

Is up there, and has been up there ever since its existence. So do not wonder at the popularity of Columbia, but call for Columbia and see that Columbia is presented to you.

TO ALL BUYERS OF

CARPETS and OIL CLOTHS

It will pay you to purchase your supply of these goods from us. We have the largest assortment of Carpets in all grades and yet at old prices. Oil Cloths and Linoleums cheaper than elsewhere.

Don't be Mistaken but Come at Once.

P. J. MONAGHAN, 30 S. MAIN ST., SHENANDOAH.

PAINTING AND DECORATING!

WALL PAPERS.

Thomas H. Snyder, 23 South Jardin St., Shenandoah, Pa.

New Evaporated Fruit

SEASON 1897.

New Evaporated Peaches, Apricots, Pears and Pitted Plums.

New Prunes—large and medium size.

New Valencia Raisins, New Seedless Raisins,

New Seeded Raisins, New Cleaned Currants.

New Citron, Orange and Lemon Peel.

New California Honey, 3 lb. 25c.

New Paper Shell Almonds, 16c. lb.

A Full Stock of New Mackerel, 1897 catch, consisting of

NEW NO. 1 SHORE MACKEREL,

... NEW NO. 2 MACKEREL,

NEW NO. 1 NORWAY MACKEREL,

... NEW IRISH MACKEREL.

Large and Medium sizes—White and Fat.

SHREDDED CODFISH.

At KEITER'S.

STORY OF A VETERAN.

A Long Foot Journey Follows a Visit to Friends.

Among the visitors to town last night was an old, venerable looking man, accompanied by a woman of much younger appearance and a boy about four years old. The man carried a large satchel, the woman's arms were laden with bundles in newspapers and the child carried a black kitten. The trio presented themselves at the office of Chief Burgess Tabor and the man, who gave the name of James Cain, made application for a night's lodging for the party. He said the woman was his wife and the child his son. He produced papers showing he was a veteran of the rebellion and stated that he served four years in battles with the Union army in his head he carries a silver plate that replaces a part of his skull which was carried away by a shell at Skutumpah. Cain said that several weeks ago he started from his home in Catawissa with his wife and child to visit friends at Columbia, Lancaster county, in the hope that he might secure some employment at the latter place, but was disappointed. While at Columbia he was taken ill and the money he paid to doctors reduced his capital to such an extent that he was obliged to pay car fare only part way on the return trip. The party got as far as Manassas and was waiting in the rest of the way. They spent Tuesday night at Mahanoy City and Chief Bowman secured lodgings for them. Through the assistance of Chief Burgess Tabor and Mr. John P. Williams they were assured a supper and lodgings last night and a breakfast this morning. To-day they resumed their journey to Catawissa.

Breen's Bialto Cafe.

Oyster soup, free, to-night. Hot lunch to-morrow morning.

Eisenhower's Case Postponed.

Application was to have been made before the Board of Pardons at Harrisburg yesterday for the commutation of the sentence of Theodore Eisenhower, but his counsel secured a postponement of the argument until the third Wednesday in November. His counsel, Hon. Charles H. Titman, was prepared to go before the Board yesterday, but additional testimony has been discovered, and in order to have all testimony in hand a continuance was asked for and granted. There seems to be little doubt but that the application for the commutation of the condemned man's sentence to life imprisonment will be granted.

Bickert's Cafe.

Our free lunch to-night will consist of rice and tomato soup. Cream soup to-morrow morning.

Funeral.

The funeral of George Taylor, who died on Monday from Miners' asthma, took place from the family residence on West Apple alley at two o'clock this afternoon. The remains were taken to the Primitive Methodist church, Rev. James Moore, the pastor, officiating at the service. Interment was made in the Old Fields cemetery. The members of Gen. Harrison Lodge No. 251, Knights of Pythias, and Shenandoah Lodge No. 155, Improved Order of Red Men, were in attendance. The pallbearers were Allen Edmondson, E. L. Jones and Stephen Trengrove, of the Knights of Pythias, and Edward Capper, William T. Evans and Thomas D. Bantshaw.

Buy Your Clothing

From The Famous Clothiers. You deal direct with the manufacturer, and you save the middleman's profit.

Got Off Easy.

A week ago last Saturday George Seckelski, of Gilberton, came to town and paid a visit to friends on West Oak street. While with them he became involved in a fight in which he was severely beaten, that he was unable to leave the house of his friends until yesterday, when he went before Justice Shoemaker and swore out a warrant for the arrest of Peter Litwin and Anthony Lakowski. A hearing was had last night and the accused pleaded guilty to a charge of assault and battery. Upon consent of the accused they settled the case by paying the cost and doctor's bill.

Get one of those pretty oil cloth rugs for under your heating stove, at Fricke's carpet store. 10-13-14

Children and Matches.

Some children playing with matches set a lounge on fire in a house at the corner of Jardin and Line streets this morning and a fire alarm was sounded. The lounge was carried out of the house and the services of the fire department were not required.

Kendrick House Free Lunch.

Grand Army bean soup will be served, free, to-night to all patrons.

Obituary.

Bernard Carr, Sr., aged about 50, of Schuylkill Haven, was struck by the Buffalo express a short distance north of Auburn yesterday morning and instantly killed. Carr was the rear brakeman of a Mine Hill crew and was on his way to Port Richmond. Mrs. Margaret Grimes, a well known resident of town, died at her home on West Oak street this morning, after a long siege of Bright's disease. She was the wife of Anthony Grimes, the shoemaker. Several children also survive.

Don't Get Faked.

Always see where you can get the most for your dollar. Try The Famous Clothiers.

Will Commence Work at Once.

Mr. J. Golden, the leading clothier of town, will in a few days put a large force of carpenters at work remodeling the rear of his building and the third floor and expects that by the first of the new year he will be ready to do all his own manufacturing of clothing. In another column will be found his ad. for tailors.

At Repchuski's Arcade Cafe.

Liver and onions, free, to-night. Hot lunch to-morrow morning.

Postponement.

Owing to the very serious illness of Dr. G. F. Matter, who is the financial secretary of the M. E. church, the choir of the church gives notice that the musical and literary entertainment for which so many tickets have been sold will be given on Friday evening, Nov. 5th. Tickets bearing date of October 21st, will be received at that time.

Don't be Blinded

By advertisements, but go right to the Famous Clothiers and see if they can't save you 40 cents on each dollar of your purchase.

Foot Ball.

The Shenandoah eleven and the Mahanoy City team will play a game of foot ball on Saturday at the latter place. The game will be called at 8 p. m.

Lots! Lots! Lots!

Are to be sold at the Famous Clothing House, not building lots but lots of clothing at prices which can't be beat anywhere.

WHO TELLS THE TRUTH?

Witnesses Contradict Each Other in the Tabor Case.

MANAGER CANFIELD TESTIFIES!

His Story Differed Materially From Sayd- lowski's in Many Respects—Canfield Yells of Instructions He Gave Tabor on Cash Gathering. Many Direct Contradictions.

The lawyers for the creditors of A. P. Tabor spent several hours yesterday in examining witnesses to show that the sale made by Mr. Tabor to Mrs. Justyna Seydlowski was a part of a scheme to defraud them and from the testimony adduced it is apparent that in several instances they succeeded in contradicting the evidence given by both Mr. Tabor and Mr. Seydlowski, but at the same time some of the evidence strengthened the claims made by the defendants in two respects, first, that Tabor was in financial trouble just before the sale and the Seydlowskis had money to loan, if not to burn.

During the hearing there was an incident that gave Lawyer Burke a chance to work in a humorous job on Lawyer Whalen. There was a fall of tin cans on the street and all in the office except Lawyer Whalen and Stenographer Patterson made a rush to the windows. After seats had been resumed Lawyer Whalen asked if the people of Shenandoah always became so much excited over such a little occurrence. Lawyer Burke said that he became nervous because it was about the hour that his son was out for exercise and he feared for his safety, adding "I can understand why Mr. Whalen could have no alarm on that score." As Mr. Whalen is a bachelor the rally was received with laughter in which the latter joined with heartiness and said, "No, my son is some distance away."

John Canfield, the Shenandoah manager for Swift & Co., was on the stand for some time yesterday afternoon. He testified that Mr. Tabor was indebted to Swift & Co. to the tune of \$1,500.10. He said he knew Anthony Seydlowski at the latter's residence and knew him as "Anthony" until after the pending proceedings. This part of the testimony will be connected with the fact that one of the judgment notes given by Tabor was made out to Joseph Seydlowski and Tabor and Seydlowski both swore that was a mistake, and they did not know a man named Joseph Seydlowski.

While on the stand yesterday Mr. Tabor swore that Mr. Canfield did not offer him 24 cents a pound for the tallow the former had on hand and that the offer was not declined because Tabor wanted to give it to his father-in-law.

While Mr. Canfield was on the stand he was asked about the alleged offer and testified: "I had a conversation with Tabor about the tallow about two weeks before the transfer. He came down crying about not being able to get his money and things going against him, and about me not giving him credit. He spoke of his tallow. I said tallow to-day is worth three cents a pound. I will take all you have got and will give you cents a pound for it." He said "No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,000 from him. I said we had been good enough to trust him for \$1,500 and he ought to protect me, but he said his father-in-law would give him \$1,000 more than Swift & Co. would give me for it. He said 'No, I want that to protect my father-in-law. I borrowed \$1,0