

The Self-Bidden Guest.

By Emeric Hulme-Beaman.

Lady Featherstone enjoyed, not unjustly, the reputation of being one of the most eclectic hostesses in London, and had for some years occupied that position in society to which her birth, her wealth, her accomplishments, and, last but not least, her undoubted beauty entitled her. At Lady Featherstone's house—at her little social assemblies, her soirees, her occasional dinner parties—one might be sure of meeting only those people upon whom the cachet of unquestioned breeding had been set.

A woman herself of unerring taste, of the most delicate ethical discrimination, and of a fastidious fancy, she was never able to tolerate in others the least deflection from her own high standard of criticism and culture. She was clever enough to admire clever people, yet not stupid enough to pass for being clever herself; she was a widow with two young daughters, a large income, and, as it seemed, a considerable capacity for enjoying it; and people said—those people who always "say" things—that the fact of this large income ceasing on her remarriage explained the other fact of Lady Featherstone remaining a widow. To give up several thousand a year, an assured position in society, and her liberty would be much to expect of a woman in return for the questionable advantage of a second husband. So people said. They knew that Lady Featherstone had made a brilliant marriage at an early age; that she was enviable because she was rich, and happy because she was enviable. But, oddly enough, Lady Featherstone was not always happy; and on a particular afternoon in January she sat alone in her drawing room, with a look of singular sadness upon her beautiful face; her chin rested on her hand, her gray eyes were bent wistfully on the fire, and now and again she sighed softly to herself, as though a mood of memories were on her.

She sat alone. Perhaps this consciousness—this consciousness that she was "alone"—had something to do with the stirring of those secret emotions which a retrospect of the past will often evoke; perhaps it was this retrospect itself that made the consciousness more acute; but, at least, the burden of solitude seemed to weigh heavily on her soul. Her children were too young to be companions to her—too young to fill quite that void in the heart of a woman of thirty-five, which looks for some other and fuller source of repletion; her friends—but a woman's friends, what are they?

Yet it was not usual for Lady Featherstone to indulge a morbid train of fancies, and in this very evening, the evening of one of her large dinner parties, it seemed curious that her thoughts should have carried her into so melancholy a groove, that the faint, far off chord of early memories should have been suddenly struck by the sound of a French name—the name of a stranger, the name of one of her guests, the Count de Serillac, whom the French Ambassador was bringing with him to her dinner party.

Except that he was a distinguished diplomatist, Lady Featherstone knew nothing of M. de Serillac and cared less; but that he was a Frenchman awakened in her heart the sudden memory of another—a compatriot—a Frenchman, poor and obscure, but of noble bearing and an exquisite manner, tender and gallant, handsome and debonaire, who once had loved her. Once, fifteen years ago, when she was twenty, and he had been her—drawing master? He had loved her, this French artist with the earnest face and the inexpressible air of nobility so oddly at variance with the nature of his calling—he had loved her, this drawing master; and yet what in another similarly situated would have seemed the acme of arrogance, in him seemed but the assertion of a natural prerogative, carrying with it nothing bizarre, nothing presumptuous, nothing inappropriate. He had loved her, and she had loved him; so there was but one thing to do, and the drawing master did it—he went from her presence forever, for he was a gentleman. Only this he had said on parting:

"Mademoiselle, I go, but I leave my heart here—with you. Treat it as you will, Mademoiselle, keep it or cast it away, think of me or forget me, but remember that, if ever the day comes when I may return to you, I shall return; and then, Mademoiselle—then, if it is in your power to bestow what it may be in my power to ask, I shall demand of you the happiness which only you can give me. I live now for that day—for that day alone!"

And, with tears in her eyes, she had pleaded, girl like, that he should not leave her, and for reply, he had taken her hand very tenderly and pressed it to his lips.

"Mademoiselle," he had murmured, "I must! Farewell!"

M. Gressonier never returned, and four years afterward Miss Maxwell married Lord Featherstone, a man of considerable social attainments and some political influence, who had fallen desperately in love with the beautiful girl whom he had met at a country house.

So, in course of time, Gressonier was forgotten, and the one romantic episode of Lady Featherstone's life lay buried deep down in the dim recesses of a woman's memory.

Her reverie was suddenly interrupted by a ring at the front door bell. Lady Featherstone started, for she was not expecting visitors—nor, as a rule, did visitors arrive so late—and

come back. Madame, I have come; I am here. Alas, you find me changed, but you—you, Madame, are the same, more beautiful—no older—than the girl whose face has lived treasured in my heart and memory, so fresh, so radiant, so vibrant, day and night since that last time. Madame—fifteen years ago, is it not?"

"Yes," was all Lady Featherstone could find to say in that moment of supreme emotional retrospect; "yes, fifteen years ago, M. Gressonier, I think. It is a long time, truly," she added, with an odd little laugh, "and you see, I am no longer a girl, young and romantic, but a woman—a widow with children. People change, M. Gressonier. Old feelings change."

"Ah, Madame—you say?" broke in the Frenchman, pleadingly. "No, no; it is not so! The love of a man's life changes not. But yet, it is true—a young girl soon forgets!"

"Not soon," she corrected. "You went away. You did not return. I married—as all girls in society must do. I never expected to see you again, M. Gressonier. Surely you do not wish to reproach me—after all these years!"

She gave another little laugh endeavoring to place their interview upon a more commonsense and conventional footing. The tension of this high level of sentiment oppressed her as something bizarre—almost theatrical; and yet her heart responded like a sensitive instrument to the touch of a musician, to every word, to every tone of M. Gressonier. He perceived her embarrassment, and, with quick adroitness, adapted his attitude to her unspoken wish.

"Let me explain," he said. "I to reproach you? No! I went from you—for there was no other course open. Then, when I would have returned, I heard you were married. Madame, I heard but a week ago that you were a widow—and I am here! But why? To see you once again, to hear your voice, to feel—it may be for the last time, Madame—the pressure of your fingers upon mine! And, Madame, for something else—to learn whether the heart of a maiden can remain true for fifteen years. Regard me, Madame! I am not young. I am poor—alas, your eyes are eloquent; they tell me much. It is true, I am a poor, shabby old man. I should not have come. You have forgotten all—all. It is well. I will leave you, for I have learned what I wished to learn."

He turned to go. Then a sudden veil seemed lifted from Lady Featherstone's eyes. She saw before her no longer an ill-dressed, middle-aged man, sunk, as it seemed, in poverty and failure, but the handsome, gallant lover of her youth, the man who had loved her so long and faithfully, whom once she had loved—ah, whom in her heart she had never ceased to love—whom she loved still!

Yes, the truth came on her in that instant with the thrill of an electric shock—she loved him still. With a quick, shy gesture, she turned to the shabby figure and held out both her hands.

"Do not leave me," she said simply. "I love you!"

M. Gressonier turned, too, and a light leaped into his eyes. He became suddenly erect, he looked ten years younger, he seized her extended hands and carried them again and again to his lips.

"Ah, Madame," he murmured, "it is too much. My love—my own true love."

"If I marry you, said Lady Featherstone, a few minutes later, "I lose all my money—you know that, Paul?"

"Money!"—he stretched out his hands, deprecatingly. "What of it? It is not your money—no it is you yourself that I want, that I have wanted ah, so long!" Then he looked at her with a sudden anxiety. "But you?" he added. "It is a great sacrifice! I am so poor. You do not love me well enough to give up your money?"

Lady Featherstone sighed. It was a great sacrifice, certainly. But she loved him. After all, so long as they had enough to live upon—she turned her soft eyes upon Gressonier's face.

"Yes," she said, "I will give up everything for you!"

There was a curious smile upon Gressonier's face as he drew her toward him and kissed her reverently upon the forehead.

"Mon ange!" he whispered. "Mon ange! . . . Mon ange!"

The sudden striking of the little clock on the mantelpiece awoke Lady Featherstone the next instant to a rude consciousness of the realities of life once more, and she recollected that in two hours she would have to receive her guests.

"I—I am giving a dinner party tonight," she began, and then stopped, in some confusion, glancing at M. Gressonier's clothes. He noticed the glance, and the smile on his lips flickered oddly.

"Ah," he said, "a dinner party? That is so nice. I will come, too, is it not?"

"Of course," said Lady Featherstone, bravely; "if you wish it."

"But," added M. Gressonier, a sudden expression of doubt crossing his features, "my—my clothes, I fear—I—it is in effect that I have not any dress clothes, he stammered. "But if I may come—just as I am—"

"There will be some distinguished guests," faltered Lady Featherstone. "The French Ambassador is coming, and the Count de Serillac—"

Flying Machine Problems

Aeronauts Should Profit by Earlier Experiments.

By E. W. Roberts.

I SPENT nearly a year in 1894 and 1895 with Mr. Maxim on his flying machine experiments. I also saw Lillenthal's experiments in Germany and have talked with quite a number of partially successful experimenters in this country. Flying with the bird for a model is just about like trying to model a locomotive after a horse. If we built a machine after that fashion we might get a speed of five miles an hour rather than about 120 miles or more, which has been accomplished by the modern passenger locomotives.

One of the greatest troubles with the self-styled aeronaut is that he will not profit by the experience of others, but goes off at a tangent and thinks that he knows it all and will not take a lesson from any one. There is a peculiar condition of affairs in aeronautics at present and one that few appreciate; that is, practically every phase of the problem has been solved but never has been combined in one machine. For instance, on the 31st of July, 1894, Maxim raised his machine up from the ground by its own power with three men aboard and fuel and water sufficient for two hours' run. Unfortunately the centre of gravity was too far ahead of the centre of lift. Maxim solved practically every phase of the problem with the exception of that of equilibrium, or, to use a more homely phrase, "right side up with care."

The problem of equilibrium has, however, been solved by various inventors, Lillenthal being the first of whom there is an authentic record. Octave Chanute of Chicago and his so-called "man machine" made a number of flights without power. The Wright brothers of Dayton, Ohio, have also made many free flights, and, with the exception of Lillenthal, all without serious accident. Among these Lillenthal's machine alone was fashioned after the bird, and it was the most difficult of all to manage. The problem of aerial navigation as it stands today is already solved. When we find one aeronaut with sufficient means to make a practical series of experiments and who at the same time will subdue self sufficiently to profit by the experiments of others, it will be but a short time until we have a practical machine.

Is the Horse a Coward?

By F. M. Ware.

I MPRIMIS, the horse is a coward—nature made him so—an unreasoning coward, who fears everything with which he is unfamiliar; nothing, however horrible, to which association has accustomed him; a creature given over to sudden and uncontrollable panics, and, in their paroxysms, stopping at no injury to self, or to those who cherish him. His overpowering instinct in such cases is flight; frequently, but not always, associated with a determination to clear himself from any hampering surroundings. He has no feelings of revenge, unless trained to cunning by the abuse or the mistakes of mankind, and, when these prevail, he rarely wreaks his vengeance upon any particular individual, but upon humanity in general, with no regard for age or sex.

The sentiment of revenge is so usually associated with cowardice that it may almost be classed as a phase of that instinct. Cowardice manifests itself in equine connection in many ways—with one it is terror of the dark, which will make him kick his stall all night, doing himself possibly serious injury; yet in nine cases out of ten, a light left in the stable will so completely reassure him that he will not lift a foot. Another is alarmed at blowing paper, yet stands yawning while cannon are fired in his face. It is this very attribute of cowardice which enables us to handle the animal as we do; to train him to our will and for our purpose; which makes him, once he is thoroughly deceived and intimidated, the useful servant we find him; not a willing slave, but one who fears again to tempt reprisals which have always proved effective; and who labors for us because he has been made to do so, and fears to rebel. Give to the most docile the idea that he may successfully disobey, and presto! you shall discover another attribute of the coward—for your steed forthwith becomes a bully, and a very dangerous one; since each little act of rebellion which succeeds awakens him to the idea that he need not obey and that in power and will he is superior. Forthwith disaster impends.—The Outing Magazine.

..A.. Fool and His Money

By Frank Fayant.

THE credulity of a multitude of more or less thrifty people, who, in their mania for money, are ready to believe that they can amass fortunes overnight, makes them the easy prey of a swarm of parasites who infest the financial districts. The gospel of the parasites, who build air castles for their victims and real castles for themselves, is terse:

I. "A fool is born every minute."
II. "A fool and his money are soon parted."

Posing as bankers and brokers, the financial parasites scour the country for the fools and then exercise their nimble wits in devising schemes to accomplish the partition. How many millions of dollars are parted from the fools every year may be conjectured from the millions of dollars spent by the pseudo-financiers in advertising. The bulk of the financial advertising in the leading newspapers of the country is intended for the fools. Another index of the richness of the harvest of parting money from the fools is the occasional exposure of some particularly glaring and bungled imposture, when the calculable "swag" runs into the hundreds of thousands, if not into the millions. But these frauds are seldom exposed, for the victims are usually as anxious as the victimizers to escape the limelight of publicity. Most men prefer to lose their money rather than hear their neighbors quote from the parasites' gospel, "A fool and his money are soon parted."—Success Magazine.

Why Our Generals Have No Opportunity For Field Practice

By Capt. T. Bentley Mott, U. S. A.

A T present our major-generals command a number of detached posts, chiefly coast artillery. They have no way of practicing themselves in handling their troops in the field, and when war comes on they invariably leave them to command other units assembled for the first time, while new men are sent to take charge of the coast defenses, departments, etc. Does such an arrangement seem in any way intelligent? Its only excuse is the present dissemination of our garrisons and the necessity of giving our generals something to command. These officers are at present the innocent victims of a system which ordains that they may not practice themselves in the duties which would fall to them in war; so that just in proportion to the length of time a man has been a general officer, just in that proportion is he unfitted to command troops in the field. The brilliant sufferer with the stupid, for rust attacks bright surfaces as quickly as dull ones. The higher up we go the more we find ourselves organized for peace and unprepared for war.—Scribner's Magazine.