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The Deer Law

## Fifty-Four Years Old To-day.

The bill prohibiting the hanting of deer in the State of Pennsylvani
for three years has not become a lay
yet na some papers have stated I et as some papers have stated. It has passed the House and is now in
the Senate wihh fair prospects of pansage. As the Senate is made up
of kid. gloved gentlemen who cat af
ford to go into another State to
hunt for three yoara it will undoubt hunt for three years it will undoubt-
edly pass, and then we will have to

## Reforms at Funerals
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ation of the deer and driving nway those that are still with us.
Yes; Goveruor Pattison is a gen-
tleman and a sportsman, and we do teman and a sportsman, and we do
not believe that he will place his sig-
nature to a bill that will sweep away nature to a bill that will sweep away
the poor mants hast crumb of com.
fort simply because it is recommended by a lot of feather-headed sputi-
mentalists who draw salary at firr-
ristur mentansts who draw salary at Rhr-
risburg for misrepresenting the
State!

Anti-Mason, and alleges that one
Rev. Hamilion Robb, a prominen
Whip, of Guensey county, Ohio
had roblibed the county of neer
sebben thonsand dollars and de
camped to Jowa." This wholesal

clergyman can add nothing to the
comfort of the bereaved. The ser.
mon is in the confin before them and
nothing that may bo sud can ald to
or lin
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Thoraton, of Lewisburg and M.


## Thorhtou Steininger


port
county for time spent in preparing
by the Bpaker ballot law. The cas
bor was decided agaiust Carr, Archbala
holding that Bakur ballot law doe anours to pay borough or townshiy
anditors wages for the timeoccupied lots and in deliveriug them. H says the not does not provide tha to recoive compeasation that is to treasuries, since it is thay who are benelited by their time. In no in stance is the county liable for wage
to auditors for suok work.

It makeas rou hovion out in


