

MUNYON'S WITCH SOAP

Makes the skin soft as velvet. Improves complexion. Best shampoo made. Cures most eruptions. Hair invigorator cures dandruff. Keeps hair from falling out, makes hair grow. If you have Dandruff, or any liver trouble, use Munyon's Paw-Paw Pills. They cure Biliousness, Constipation and drive all impurities from the blood. —MUNYON'S HOMEOPATHIC HOME REMEDY CO., Philadelphia, Pa.

TRY MURINE EYE REMEDY

For Red, Weak, Watery, Watery Eyes and GRANULATED EYELIDS. Murine Doesn't Smart—Soothes Eye Pain. Murine Eye Remedy, Liquid, 50c, 1.00. Murine Eye Salve, in Asbestos Tubes, 25c, 50c. EYE BOOKS AND ADVICE FREE BY MAIL. Murine Eye Remedy Co., Chicago.

Few Marriages in London. The marriages of London last year represent the lowest percentage of which there is any record.

For COLDS and GRIP. Hicks' CAPSICUM is the best remedy—relieves the aching and feverishness—cures the cold and restores normal conditions. It's right—effects immediately. 10c, 25c, and 50c. All drug stores.

Social Debts. "She telephones me every day." "What is the reason of that?" "I owe her a call and she is determined to collect it."

Sprouting Up. "Don't you think, Mary, you are too old to play with the boys." "No, mamma; the older I get, the better I like them."—Judge.

A Purist. "The Chanticleer cocktail is the new-est drink." "Such redundancy! Call it a Chanticleer!"

Hardly Worth While. "Scientists state that the sun will continue to give out the present amount of heat for 50,000,000 years." "That makes a two weeks' vacation look piffling, eh?"

A Business Transaction. "So Mr. Pennwise married his typist," said Miss Cayenne. "Yes." "I wonder whether she gains an allowance or he merely saves a salary?"—Washington Star.

Just Like a Girl. "Her cooking-school habits are a good deal of bother to me." "How now?" "She always wants me to taste the gasoline when the automobile isn't working right."

Outlining Treatment. "I want you to take care of my practice while I'm away." "But, doctor, I have just graduated. Have had little experience." "You don't need it with my fashionable patients. Find out what they have been eating and stop it. Find out where they have been summering and send 'em somewhere else."

Worth Remembering. "One of the delegates to the convention of the Negro Business Men's league in New York was worth \$4,000,000." "Here's a pointer for the colored brother." "Let's have it." "That delegate didn't make his money shooting craps."

Not Impregnable. Horace Avery, K. C., just appointed a judge, is one of the mordant wits of the British bar. One day cross-examining a recalcitrant witness he asked: "What are you?" "A retired gentleman," proudly asserted the ex-chesse-mongering. "Well," snarled Avery, "when you viewed the position of gentleman, why did you retire from it?"

Speaking of Fires. Roy Bone, a brother of United States District Attorney Harry Bone, several years ago was a reporter on the Wichita Beacon. In going to a department was thrown from a horse cart and killed. Bone wrote a head, with this as the first deck: "Gone to His Last Fire."

PRESSED HARD. Coffee's Weight on Old Age. When prominent men realize the fatiguing effects of coffee and the change in health that Postum can bring, they are glad to lend their testimony for the benefit of others.


A superintendent of public schools in a Southern state says: "My mother, since her early childhood, was a moderate coffee drinker, had been troubled with her heart for a number of years and complained of that 'weak all over' feeling and sick stomach. Some time ago I was making an official visit to a distant part of the country and took dinner with one of the merchants of the place. I noticed a somewhat peculiar flavor of the coffee, and asked him concerning it. He replied that it was Postum. I was so pleased with it that, after the meal was over, I bought a package to carry home with me, and had wife prepare some for the next meal; the whole family liked it so well that we discontinued coffee and used Postum entirely."

"I had really been at times very anxious concerning my mother's condition, but we noticed that after using Postum for a short time, she felt so much better than she did prior to its use, and had little trouble with her heart and no sick stomach; that the headaches were not so frequent, and her general condition much improved. This continued until she was as well and hearty as the rest of us."

"I know Postum has benefited my mother and the other members of the family, but in a more marked degree in the case of my mother, as she was a victim of long standing."

Over read the above letter? A new package from time to time. They give you an extra package without extra cost. Write for free booklet—How to Buy, Black and White.

JOHN BROWN PARK, OSAWATOMIE, KANSAS



JOHN BROWN'S CABIN - OSAWATOMIE, KAN.

OSAWATOMIE, Kan.—On the occasion of the dedication ceremonies at John Brown park, consequent upon its presentation to the state, Ex-President Roosevelt was guest of honor and the orator of the day. The principal objects of interest at the park are pictured. The monument over the grave of John Brown is the spot especially sought out by visitors to the historic ground. Thousands view the park and its objects of interest yearly.

Chicago Bankers and Business Men Deprecate Proposed Plan of New Yorker to Aid Americans—See No Advantage.

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."

Chicago.—The American people do not have "half cent" tastes. They couldn't be educated to use anything smaller than a cent.

The dollar has spoiled the sense of proportion of the people of this country. All articles are sold on a cent basis, and people cannot lose something they never had.

These are some of the answers of Chicago bankers and business men to a statement given out in New York by William H. Short, a banker of that city, in which he urged the coinage of a two and a half cent piece by the United States government.

"The use of such a coin," asserted he, "would mean a saving of \$39,000,000 yearly by the consumers."

"The absence of such a coin," continued the New York banker, "has resulted in the universal custom of sellers taking the half cent whenever a transaction does not result in even money."

He said he thought the public lost yearly from this cause "the approximate sum of \$39,000,000."

Here's what Chicago things of this financial question: George E. Roberts, director of the government mint before he became president of the recently merged Commercial National bank, thought the American people too extravagant to appreciate a two and a half cent piece if they secured it.

"We have a one cent piece, and judging from the freedom with which the American people spend money, I don't think they could be educated to use a coin that would give them a smaller unit of exchange," said Mr. Roberts.

"In this country all our units are higher than they are in Europe. Our wages are better; our standard of living is different. I can't see that we need a two and a half cent piece, because we have coins enough, and I don't think the proposed coin would be an advantage in trading."

Len Small, recently appointed United States sub-treasurer at Chicago, declared over the long distance telephones from his home in Kankakee that he had never given thought to what would happen if we had a two and a half cent coin.

"But off hand," said he, "I would say that our present money takes care of the situation pretty well. I don't think such a coin would effect much of a saving."

Henry H. Hart, Chicago merchant, said he would have to give the matter consideration before expressing an opinion, but believed the smaller retailer would profit more under the present coinage system than does the larger store.

"I can see no advantage of such a coin," he added. "The mere coinage of a two and a half cent piece, or a twelve and a half cent piece, will not remedy the trouble," averred B. M. Chattell of the Illinois Trust and Savings bank. "It is deeper seated than that."

"In America we have become accustomed to 'two for a quarter,' 'three for a quarter' and 'three for a half,' and our manufacturers have governed themselves accordingly. No cigar maker in the United States would think of selling cigars for four, five, six, seven or eight cents, and yet that is what is done in European countries on a corresponding scale of their money."

"The trouble is, the people of this country, starting in a primitive way, became accustomed to the larger value of coins. If, instead of having a dollar, we had something akin to the German mark or the French franc we would accustom our people to a more economical manner of living."