MR. DAVIS & MR. BUCHANAN.

[Perusing with obviously deliberate intention the most malignant course possible towards Senator DAVIS, of Massachusetts, the Globe newspaper has published a long elaborated report of a reply made by Mr. Senator BUCHANAN to the explanatory speech of Mr. Senator DAVIS, and with that reply some notice of the residue of the debate upon the subject, suppressing every intimation that Mr. DAVIS promptly rejoined to that reply, and thus leaving it to be necessarily inferred that, after all that the Senator from Pennsylvania had said, the Senator from Massachusetts had not a word to say for himself!—Under these circumstances, without publishing at the same time the residue of the Debate, we supply to-day, (on one of the inner pages,) for the public information, the rejoinder of Mr. DAVIS, of which all notice is omitted by the Globe.] —*Daily National Intelligencer.*

REJOINDER OF MR. DAVIS, OF MASS. TO MR. BUCHANAN.

On the 6th of March, Mr. DAVIS replied to the reply of Mr. BUCHANAN, in which Mr. B. complained that he was misrepresented in the speech of Mr. DAVIS on the sub-Treasury bill; Mr. B. rejected, and what follows is the reply of Mr. D. to that rejoiner.

Mr. PRESIDENT: The member from Pennsylvania (Mr. BUCHANAN) is of opinion that I have not met one of his charges, and is pleased, in the exercise of his courtesy, to say I have evaded them, and made a false issue. Nothing can be more unjust —nothing more untrue, as I view the matter; and how far it becomes him to make such declarations, after declining to point out more distinctly his causes of complaint, you and others must judge. Sir, I have no cause for evasion or a false issue; the plain matters of fact are enough for me, and I am sure that the country will not suffer me to escape from them by an issue varying much, as I think, from that made in the outset. If his opinions are scrutinized by the public—if they are found unpalatable, and the tide of public opinion rolls back upon him, he will not escape from his responsibility by turning upon me, and resting a short sentence here and there in advance of the sub-Treasury in introducing a hard-money currency. Sir, I did not rise to retort the indecorous language of the member. Harsh epithets can add nothing to the force of truth, nor can violent language strengthen a feeble or false argument. They contribute nothing to the dignity of the Senator, are a violation of the rules of this body, and will be estimated by the public, as they are, unworthy of this place.

Why is the matter brought before us this late day? He does not question the identity of the speech I delivered here and the one in print. This he cannot do; but complains, among other things, of attacks made upon him in the public press. This is the secret of the whole matter. The country condemns his opinions on wages. The laborers are startled at the thought of being brought down to "the standard of price throughout the world." This is the topic on which the press spoke, as far as I have met its language, and this the Senator has labored to explain by reading from his speeches; but he does not read the parts of his late speech to which I chiefly replied, and to which I have drawn your attention, but the public shall have an opportunity to read it, and judge for themselves whether it can have any interpretation but that given to it.

But the Senator now, for the first time, as far as I have heard, asserts that he did not hear the whole of my speech. Not one in a hundred was mostly a connected upon his remarks, and he present and near me! If he did not hear at all, why did he speak to me at the close as if he had?—Why did he use connected with language, which I shall not repeat in this place?

But, sir, what is the issue made now? It has dwindled down into this: The gravamen, as he expresses it, is, that I have not set into his mouth words that he never used in regard to the influence which the sub-Treasury may have in introducing a specie currency. I have taken him, he says, to be only a hard-money man. I do not, in stating his objections, pretend to use his words, for that is out of my power. In treating of this subject, he has reviewed all the opinions upon banks, excessive issues of paper, a mixed currency, the reduction of the currency, and the effect on wages. Though he states the gravamen to be what I have described, yet he has thought it necessary to dwell on all these matters, and especially to labor to prove that he is in favor of banks and a mixed currency, and that he is not for coming down to hard money alone, or for reducing wages to the hard money standard. But, sir, he does not come to the point to which I have called his attention. I asked him more than once to tell me what he means in his speech, whereis, speaking of the failure in success of our manufactures and the remedy for that evil, he says, among other language equally forcible: "*Reduce our nominal to the real standard of prices throughout the world, and you cover the country with blessings and benefits.*" This, he contended, is what the interests of the manufacturers require. You, sir, to reduce the high prices of production and wages. I asked him what this standard of prices throughout the world was, and whether it was not hard money. But to this no answer has been made. He does not meet the inquiry, but affirms he is for a mixed currency; and not for reducing wages to the humble pay of the serfs of Europe; but what is the meaning of this part of his speech? Has it none? or, if it has any, what is it? He is for reducing "the paper bubble," in other words bank paper, to this standard; and this is to "cover the country with blessings and benefits." Sir, take this language in connexion with what I read from the member's speech this morning, and who can halt or doubt as to the meaning. If the sense be not that "*the paper bubble*" is to be reduced till the price of wages, and every thing else, comes to be as low as it is in hard-money countries, then it has, in my judgment no, intelligible meaning. There is no qualifying or altering it; and yet I am charged with making him too much of a hard-money man. Sir, the Senator is unconscious what language he uses about banks—a tone of severe reproof and denunciation runs through his speech against our system, which he affirms to be the worst in the world; and even now, in speaking of them, while he disclaims being a hard-money man, he says they have hugged the manufacturers to death. Whatever else his printed speech contains, the parts which I read, when last up, cannot be perused without making the interference which I draw from it, and it will be so understood wherever it is read.

But, sir, the Senator complains that I have put words into his mouth. What words have I put into his mouth? Where are they, and what are they? Is this a fair representation? I have stated his opinions, his arguments, and his views of policy, but, with the exception of one or two instances, about which I have heard no complaint, I have not pretended to use his words. This is apparent on the face of my speech, and yet he repeats that I have put words into his mouth.

He thinks I have not only made him too much of a hard money man, but have imputed to him an opinion that the bill will have a greater tendency to restrain the issues of bank paper, and to introduce hard money, than he contended for. He admits that it is to have some influence—how much does not appear. Have I not shown that he is in favor of a reduction of prices to the standard of prices throughout the world?" Have I not shown that he contends for this as a wise policy, that we may obtain exclusive possession of the home markets, and enter successfully with our manufactures and products the markets of the world, by selling as low as any country? Have I not shown that this standard of prices, as it is called, is identical, in all its effects, with the standard of prices in hard money countries? Have I not shown that this reduction in prices, both of wages and property, is proposed to be accomplished by reducing the amount of our currency till prices are brought to this standard? Have I not shown all this by the printed speech of the Senator, as put forth with his approbation? Is not the language and the conclusions irresistible? It will, it must be so understood by all who read it.

After all this—after a delay of six weeks without complaint till the doctrine was condemned by the Public, and after bringing the Senator to this point—after showing that he is in favor of German prices, and that he calls Germany emphatically a hard money country, he complains that I made him too much of a hard money man, and that I make him express the opinion that the Sub Treasury bill will bring things near to this result than he contended for, or believed it would! What it should produce this result! What if it should go beyond his anticipations? What if there is a misapprehension by me of his views as to the extent of its influence—of what consequence is it? It will only carry out his views and policy more strongly than he anticipated—and that policy, he says, would be a blessing to the country and the world. Sir, I cannot seriously regret this, if it should turn out to be so. It is, sir, a small matter, and will be so regarded. For he will not deny that he is for a reduction of the currency, and that such a reduction, in his opinion, will reduce prices, and consequently the price of labor, for the reason, among most of his speech goes to that point. Nor will he deny that, in his opinion, the bill tends to reduce the currency, and will thus carry out these views as far as its provisions can carry them. It is, therefore, part and parcel of this policy, and has been and is so understood every where. It is too late to come with such a complaint, as the Senator might have corrected and limited the limit of influence he ascribed to the bill, if he had chosen to do it, on the spot, or he might have done it at this time, in unobjectionable manner, if that was his only purpose. Am I, under such circumstances, to be rebuked for inferring that his arguments had some connexion with the bill? The bill was the topic of discussions and of his speech, when the speech was chiefly spent on banks, currency, and the reduction of prices, that we should infer that the arguments were designed to have some pertinent application to the subject? That they were designed to enforce and illustrate the policy to be introduced by the measure under consideration? If they were not urged for that purpose, for what end were they brought forward.

But, sir, my object in raising was announced in the onset to be limited to a reply to the doctrines and opinions of the Senator, and chiefly to those which related to manufacturing and labor. My attention was attracted by these, as my speech fully proves, and my object was to test their soundness, and not weigh or consider the exact inferences of the bill in carrying out these doctrines and opinions. The power of the bill was a subordinate matter, and will be so considered by the public. It may answer to talk about here, but will be of little avail. Attention is and will be directed to the policy to the reduction of wages and property advocated by the Senator. The public will be content, as I am, that he should limit the power of the bill where he pleases, while his arguments, show as tendency is towards the establishment of his general policy. The doctrines and principles advanced will go out with it, and they must be subjected to scrutiny, as they cannot be shut out of view by a controversy about the probable degree of effect of the bill. The doctrines go to the German standard of prices; and at that point they will be left by the Senator.—But, in the opinion of the Senator, I make him a hard money man, contrary to my express admission that he is for a mixed currency. Not so. He makes himself such, against his own declarations. He takes both grounds, and I give him credit for it in my speech; and it is for him, and not for me, to harmonize the opinions.

The Senator has reiterated an isolated sentence of my speech, and again infers that I have represented him as arguing that wages and property will be reduced one half. I have several times told him distinctly that such was not my meaning, nor was it the fair construction of the whole text. I argued simply that he was in favor of reduction, without intending to say how much. I assured him that my purpose did not go beyond that. This was due to him, for he gave a meaning to the language that was not designed to be conveyed. With him he is not content, but persists in his own construction, re-asserting it in acrimonious language. While he does this, he admits that if he had made such a proposition, it might have been justly characterized as flagitious. Now, sir, I leave you and all others to determine whether a reduction of wages and property to German prices, with labor at a few pence a day, is a descent of one-half; whether such a process reaches hard money, whether it will "cover the country with blessings and benefits," or be flagitious.

Sir, the Senator is of the opinion that I would hold him and his friends up as enemies of the laborers. This again is a great mistake. I have spoken of his opinions, his statements, and his views of policy—not of his motives or feelings. I have traced his opinions out into their consequences, and left the matter there for approval or condemnation—but have neither held the Senators nor his *friends* up as enemies of any body.

If I could be surprised at any thing, it would be at the tone assumed in this controversy. The Senator talks of my hectoring him, and one would think he considered me the aggressor. Sir, let all who have heard and witnessed what has passed bear testimony to the unbroken character of such a suggestion, and to the manner in which the Senator proceeded to correct what at most could be no more than a misapprehension. The manner is unprovoked, and of the Senator's own seeking. Any misapprehension would have been cheerfully set right, if it had been pointed out in the usual courtesy. But the Senator, with views to make this appeal in the way and manner in which it has been done, accompanied by language which is unparliamentary, ungentlemanly, and untrue; and let the tribunal to which he has appealed decide between us, and determine whether I have met his complaints, and whether there is the least ground to sustain his charge of misrepresentation.

From the Harrisburg Daily Telegraph.

THE SUB-TREASURY AND PRICES OF LABOR.

There can be no doubt but that President VAN BUREN copied his Sub-treasury scheme from the establishment at present existing in the United States; and under it, the President will be elevated to a similar position in regard to the finance of this country, that the Autocrat of Russia occupies in regard to the finance of *his* dominions. In his late annual message, President Van Buren distinctly tells us that no laws have received information from twenty foreign Governments to which the same system is in force, and that the late speech of Mr. Buchanan in reference to France and Germany, proves that he had been well instructed by the President, in the view proper to be taken of the subject. We again invite attention to the following paragraphs, quoted from the speech of Mr. Buchanan, as published in the Globe, Extra, Reporter, Keystone, State Capital Gazette, and the other papers of the Van Buren party.

"At particular stages of our expansions we might with justice apply the principle which I have stated to our trade with these countries, and assert that, from the great redundancy of our currency, articles are manufactured in France and Germany for one half their actual cost in this country. Let me present an example.

"In Germany, where the currency is purely metallic, and cost of every thing is REDUCED to a hard money standard, a piece of broadcloth can be manufactured for fifteen dollars; the manufacture of which, in our country, from the expansion of bank currency, would cost one hundred dollars. What is the consequence? The foreign French and German manufacturer imports into this country, and sells our cloth for not a hundred dollars. Does not every person perceive that the redundancy of our currency is equal to a premium of one hundred per cent. in favor of the foreign manufacturer?

"*No tariff of protection, unless it amounted to prohibition,* could counteract this advantage in favor of foreign manufactures. I would to Heaven that I could arouse the attention of every manufacturer of the nation to this important subject."

* * * *

"What is the reason that, with all these advantages and with the protective duties which our laws afford to the domestic manufacturer of cotton, we cannot obtain exclusive possession of the home market and successfully contend for the markets of the world? *It is simply because we manufacture at the nominal prices of our own inflated currency, and are compelled to sell at real prices of other nations.* REDUCE OUR NOMINAL TO THE REAL STANDARD OF PRICES THROUGHOUT THE WORLD, *and you cover our country with blessings and benefits.*"

* * * *

"*The comparative* LOW PRICES *of France and Germany have afforded such a stimulus to their manufactures, that they are now rapidly extending themselves, and would obtain possession, to a small degree, even of the English home market.* IF IT WERE NOT FOR THEIR PROTECTING DUTIES. Whilst British manufacturers are now languishing, those of the continent are springing into a healthy and vigorous existence."

No one acquainted with the English language can doubt a moment the meaning of the foregoing. It is plain. The whole argument of Mr. Buchanan's speech is, that there has been too much money in the country, and that the "nominal" amount ought to be reduced to the "real standard throughout the world." He expressly uses this language in the foregoing extract. We again call upon the laboring classes, upon all men in middling circumstances, upon all men in debt, to ponder well this reducing doctrine before they give it their support. In our opinion it will prove their ruin. It is the reduction of every thing else, (except the debts of the honest men,) will add in precisely the same ratio to the salaries of the national officeholders.

All great momentary convulsions operate most severely upon the producing classes. First the employer who has brought his article to its finished state is unable by reason of economical derangements, to sell at a price sufficient to pay him for the time and cost of its construction. He is therefore compelled to reduce the wages of the operatives in his employ, or perhaps discharge them—These poor men, unable to get work or bread, turn their attention to other pursuits, supplant the regular operatives by "ratting" for wages barely sufficient to sustain life, and add to their own misery and that of others. This is precisely the case now in New York and Philadelphia, and many poor but honest men in those cities are now suffering severely under the incipient screwings of the Sub treasury—they are already groaning under the operation of the measures which are to "*reduce our nominal prices to the real standard throughout the world, and cover the country with blessings and benefits!*!!"

In the meantime the very wealthy, and the officeholders, are profiting by this distress—there is no evidences of individuals to them, which the former hold do not decrease and the latter are able to buy a great deal more labor and produce than in times past and since. The following article from the New York Express is interesting.

REDUCTION OF WAGES.

It has been a matter of complaint with the Democratic Press, that the mechanics, while freight's were high, have reduced the wages of stevedores, and laborers along the wharves. This does not come well from those who have been urging the policy of reducing the wages of its laborers, while its salaries are untouched, but we are disposed, nevertheless to let the Post have its say, and benefit its party can gather from it, after a few remarks.

If freights are high, and ships are doing well, they are an exception, almost the only, to the general prostration. This exception arises from the extreme prostration of the producing classes, inasmuch as all products are so low, that we are now forced to unbecoming Foreigners for a great many and our ships carry off these products.—The paralysis, though in other branches of business is such, as to throw many mechanics out of employment, and under it, the President will be elevated to a similar position in regard to the finance of this country, that the Autocrat of Russia occupies in regard to the finance of *his* dominions. In his late annual message, President Van Buren distinctly tells us that he has received information from twenty foreign Governments to which the same system is in force, and that the late speech of Mr. Buchanan in reference to France and Germany, proves that he had been well instructed by the President, in the view proper to be taken of the subject. We again invite attention to the following paragraphs, quoted from the speech of Mr. Buchanan, as published in the Globe, Extra, Reporter, Keystone, State Capital Gazette, and the other papers of the Van Buren party.

	per diem	$1 25	$2 00
Bricklayers,		1 50	2 00
Stone cutters,		1 50	2 50
" masons,		1 25	1 75
Plasterers,		1 38	2 00
Laborers,		67	1 00

	$7 55	$11 25

Reduction of labor, forty per cent.

BUILDING MATERIALS.	1840.	1830.
Brick pressed,	$15 00	$25 00
" soft,	4 00	8 00
Stone, per cord,	4 50	7 00
Lime per bbl.	44	75
Shingles, per M.	1 50	2 50
Lath,	1 25	2 50
Clear Pine,	18 00	30 00
Hemlock Joist,	6 00	10 00
Scantling.	6 00	9 00
	$59 19	$95 25

"*I ardently wish to see this country in the enjoyment of the blessings of Peace.*" Other quotation from a late speech of the Van Buren Senator, Mr. Walker from Mississippi. Mr. Benton has preached up Hollow Peace to the state, and the Globe has it times quoted, with approbation, the finance of China. To reach these *beau ideals* of the friends of the Post, there must of necessity be a reduction of wages. When there is no credit, labor must be low. When the currency is contracted too much, there can be but little enterprize. When, as in Holland and Cuba, the rich are very rich, and the poor are very poor, the wages of the Laborer must be low. Credit is the great impelling, and the great levelling power too of such a Republic as ours—that the Locofoco experiments upon us, have destroyed that credit, and the consequence is a great REDUCTION IN THE VALUE OF ALL PROPERTY, AND A GREAT REDUCTION TOO IN THE PRICE OF LABOR.

THE BOUNDARY QUESTION.

Not having space at present for the "exciting" correspondence which has lately taken place between Mr. Fox, the British Minister, and Mr. Secretary Forsyth, in regard to the border difficulty, we extract the subjoined short synopsis of this correspondence from a New York paper, as containing the pith and marrow of the matter. The attentive reader will bear in mind that the original or main question of the boundary, is lost sight of in this correspondence, an incidental or secondary one—relative to the occupation of the disputed territory having "ruled the hour," with the parties to it.

"Mr. Fox writes to Mr. Forsyth that the British Government is much dissatisfied with the aggressions of Maine upon the Madawaska settlements, and that, as they are possessed in and justified, it becomes necessary for Great Britain to take the necessary measures for counteraction.

Mr. Forsyth, in reply, argues the question of what the Madawaska settlements consist; contending that they do not include the valley of the Upper St. John, and therefore that the Maine people have not violated the agreement of their Governor, by pushing their posse into that valley. He writes strongly—we might almost say sharply—and gives Mr. Fox distinctly to understand that no more of "consequences" will prevent the Government of the United States from sustaining the Maine people in their late acts and present position.

Mr. Fox in reply says that he can make no answer to Mr. Forsyth, but must transmit that gentleman's letter to the consideration of his government. Not to fall short of Mr. Forsyth, however, in energy of expression, he reiterates the menaces of "consequences"—and so the matter stands.

The conclusions we arrive at are briefly these:

1. That we were right in conjecturing, the other day, that Sir John Harvey had received "renewed instructions from his Government" to take a little military possession.

2. That the new dispute that has sprung up so long in responding to the proposal is unconsequential. Because the Government of Great Britain has under consideration a proposal from our Government for another arbitration, which may, ought to, and probably will, bring about an adjustment of the whole controversy.

3. That Great Britain ought not to delay—and we believe yet a little more of that patience which has kept this matter quiet, though alive, for the last fifty years and upward."

With regard to the probability of difficulties, in the final adjustment of this border question, we say now as we have always said, that, without gross mismanagement on the part of the Whigs, if not on *both* sides, it is impossible to anticipate a WAR, between two such nations, could grow out of such a question—a question which compromises in no degree any great interests of either, but which is resolved merely into a question of fact, as to the metes and bonds of an existing treaty. Time has changed this face of the country, and doubtless, probably, the principal difficulty, in identifying the true boundary recognized by the treaty.

But could a war between those powerful nations throw any, the smallest light upon such a question, or enable either, the better to identify the true treaty line? This question would be the last degree ridiculous, if it did not refer to a subject of so momentous and so serious as that of war.

A war between the United States and Great Britain would be no "child's play." It might by possibility, and though ill advised, infatuated counsels be entered upon. But who could foresee the end of the struggle, or how much of blood and treasure it would cost both sides, before it could be terminated with as much honor as it might have been avoided? We trust that neither nation nor government will lightly entertain the notion of a war with the other.

But if war is by any means probable, or even possible, between these great powers —and the *Government organ* at Washington seems to favor the notion that it is—the fact furnishes another incentive to the friends of Harrison and Reform, to persevere in the good work which they have commenced. There is no sort of doubt but the prudent and sagacious counsels which would surround such a man as President Harrison, would soon serve to bring the Boundary question to an amicable adjustment, and in a way honorable to both nations. But if the worst should come to the worst, and the two nations should be involved in sanguinary war before the 4th of March next, the necessity is but the greater for the election of General Harrison.

The whole American people know who and what General Harrison is, and how sure the reliance may be on him, in the day of trial in the vested field. But with whom would any of us who can place confidence in, "General Van Buren?"

Whether it be peace or war, therefore, and especially whether it be peace, on a understanding and difficulty which may lead to war, the conclusion in a political point of view is precisely the same. For, in both cases, all experience would point to the election of a change of rulers, and proclaim the necessity of *relieving* the cold and cunning politician, now at the head of affairs, from the power which he misuses, and of reposing in his stead the honest and true hearted Harrison—the man "who was in more engagements during the last war, than any other general, and never *lost a battle!*"

TEXAS—MEXICO—NEW REPUBLIC.

Advices from Texas to the 7th instant have been received at New Orleans. The Bee has the following intelligence:

A letter from Col. Fisher, published in the Houston Star of the 2d, gives much information respecting the Federal army in Mexico.

The convention at Laredo, declaring their independence from the Republic of Mexico, and organizing the provisional government of the Republic of Rio Grande, claim all the country formerly known as Tamaulipas, as far as the Nueces and Corpus Christi, and the Medina rivers, and into the interior as far as the mountains, (La Sierra Madre) embracing New Leon Zacatecas, Durango, Chihuahua and New Mexico—for which purpose they met at Laredo, within the limits of Texas, according to the established limits of our laws.

The Central troops under the command of Gen. Marinus Arrista, left Montorey in pursuit of the Federalists, and were marching in several small divisions towards the Rio Grande. The Camanche Indians, to the number of about 500 warriors, made an incursion into Mexico and penetrated as far as the "Real de Catorce," (in the state of San Louis Potosi,) committing great depredations upon the lives and the property of the Mexicans—on their return from the interior, they fell in at Salmas, with a division of 200 infantry of General Arista's force, and attacked and killed every man. The federal, or rather the Independent army, at Laredo Guerro and Cuna Blanca, amount to the number of from 1000 to 1200 men. General Arista's force, was, previous to the attack, 800 men, cavalry, infantry and artillery. Gen. Candizo is still at Matamoras, with about 000 men of the Central forces.

A delegation of the Cherokee Indians visited Monclova, and applied to the government of for a grant of land for their tribe, the government however refused the petition of granting land to the whole tribe, but made them an offer for 205 families only, which the delegation refused to accept. The offered is about San Fernando and Santo Rosa, on the other side of Rio Grande.

The above news was received at San Antonio, a few days after the departure from that place, brought by some Americans and Mexicans, who arrived there from Monclova, and who were present at the installation of the new government at Laredo.

Colonel Fisher regards the new government with distrust. He says, their claim to the lands lying between the Nueces and Rio Grande rivers, is an infringement upon the possessions of Texas, which should not be acceded to. He warns the people of Texas to be in readiness in the event of the new state forming a league with Central Mexico, and the federalists driven to refuge upon the soil of Texas, lest in such an event both parties should combine to reduce Bexar and Goliad once more to ashes. He says that there—that country can compete with *any country in the Union* in her attachment to Harrisonism, (our neighbor in New York to the contrary notwithstanding,) and they would no doubt gladly extend their hospitality to their friends. The dog is immaterial to us. Those we suggested would be proper ones, from the connection of our candidate with them. We propose that the State Committee settle all preliminaries.

YOUNG MEN'S CONVENTION.

This body which is to assemble at Baltimore on the first Monday of May next, (the 4th,) bids fair to result in talent and no exceed in numbers any that has ever assembled in the Union. It will be a *curiosity* to lookers-on—a coming together of the Log cabin boys of the nation—and a *terror* to Locos besides. Nothing of the kind will be a day such as the monumental city has not hitherto witnessed—the whole Union will be there by hundreds of delegates—Log Cabins will be there in every quarter—and hard cider will be the best commodity in the market. We trust old Adams will be there in her might, and that her attachment to the Hero of North Bend will be exhibited by sending *scores of delegates*. No apprehension need be entertained of *starvation* while there as in addition to the extensive accommodations of a city—together with the Log Cabins to be used by a number of delegations for themselves—our Harrison friends have given an assurance of a warmest welcome, public and private of Baltimoreans awful, the *outrings of their doors*" will be hung out by the time we come that way. that we may *pull and cater.*

GETTYSBURG STAR

AND

REPUBLICAN BANNER.

GETTYSBURG, April 7, 1840.

PEOPLE'S CANDIDATES.

FOR PRESIDENT,

GEN. WILLIAM H. HARRISON.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,

JOHN TYLER.

SENATORIAL ELECTORS.

JOHN ANDREW SHULZE, JOSEPH RITNER.

REPRESENTATIVE DELEGATES.

1st District:	LEVIS PASSMORE.
2d do	DAVID EVANS, CHARLES WATTS.
3d do	JOSEPH GULLAGHAN, AMOS ELMAKER.
4th do	JOHN E. ZELLIN, DAVID POTTS.
5th do	ROBERT STINSON.
6th do	WILLIAM S. HENDEU.
7th do	J. JENKINS ROSS,
8th do	PETER FILEGET.
9th do	JOSEPH H. SPAYD,
10th do	JOHN HARPER.
11th do	WILLIAM M'ELWAINE,
12th do	JOHN DICKEY.
13th do	JOHN M'KEEHAN,
14th do	JOHN PORTER.
15th do	NATHAN BEACH,
16th do	NEIL MORLENSEARTH.
17th do	GEORGE WALKER.
18th do	BERNARD CONNELLY, Jr.
19th do	ALEX. J. DALLAS,
20 do	JUSTICE G. FORDYCE
21st do	JOSEPH HENDERSON,
22d do	BARMAR DENNY,
23d do	JOSEPH DENNISTON,
24th do	JAMES MONTGOMERY,
25th do	JOHN DICK.

Our Banner.—We say: FLING THE BROAD BANNER OF LIBERTY AND THE CONSTITUTION TO THE BREEZE, and inscribe upon its folds the inspiring words:—ONE PRESIDENTIAL TERM—THE INTEGRITY OF THE PUBLIC SERVANTS—THE SAFE KEEPING OF THE PUBLIC MONEY—AND THE GENERAL GOOD OF THE PEOPLE".

[BARBOUR.

REMOVAL.

The office of the "STAR AND BANNER" has been removed to the County Building, in the room immediately over the office of the Register and Recorder.

We have been requested to state that several errors occurred in the Report of the York Springs Anti-Slavery society, published in our paper a few weeks since.

We no doubt, "every much gratify and truly oblige" S. Y. R. by giving place to his article in to-day's paper; and we can assure the author that he would "truly oblige" us, by paying more attention to the grammatical construction of his productions in future, as the article in question contains a number of errors rather too bold for an author.

SAMUEL BLAKE, Esq. of Hampton, has been appointed Deputy Marshall, to take the Census of Adams county.

ADJOURNMENT.—Both Houses of the Legislature of this State, have resolved to adjourn on the 16th inst.

SMALL NOTES.

The Resolution, introduced in the Senate, on Saturday last, by Mr. BELL, authorizing the Banks to issue small notes, "*the same not to be payable on demand in specie*, finally passed that body on Saturday last.—Its passage through the House is thought to be almost certain.

HARRISON VICTORY.—The Democratic Harrison men of Mobile have elected all the officers except two Aldermen of the city, by a very large majority. Last year the Locofocos elected their ticket in Mobile by a majority of 353. The shouts of victory for the cause of the Hero of Tippecanoe, are wafted on almost every breeze.

STATE CONVENTION.

Our proposition for the holding of a Harrison Convention in "the only democratic city in the Union" appears to be seconded by most of our neighbors—the time is the only objectionable part. We shall not *stickle* for that. It was a suggestion, made under the belief, that a convention would be held somewhere in the State during the canvass, and that Lancaster was the proper spot. It is easy of access—conventions are rarely held there—that county can compete with *any county in the Union* in her attachment to Harrisonism, (our neighbor in New York to the contrary notwithstanding,) and they would no doubt gladly extend their hospitality to their friends. The dog is immaterial to us. Those we suggested would be proper ones, from the connection of our candidate with them. We propose that the State Committee settle all preliminaries.

YOUNG MEN'S CONVENTION.

This body which is to assemble at Baltimore on the first Monday of May next, (the 4th,) bids fair to result in talent and no exceed in numbers any that has ever assembled in the Union. It will be a *curiosity* to lookers-on—a coming together of the Log cabin boys of the nation—and a *terror* to Locos besides. Nothing of the kind will be a day such as the monumental city has not hitherto witnessed—the whole Union will be there by hundreds of delegates—Log Cabins will be there in every quarter—and hard cider will be the best commodity in the market. We trust old Adams will be there in her might, and that her attachment to the Hero of North Bend will be exhibited by sending *scores of delegates*. No apprehension need be entertained of *starvation* while there as in addition to the extensive accommodations of a city—together with the Log Cabins to be used by a number of delegations for themselves—our Harrison friends have given an assurance of a warmest welcome, public and private of Baltimoreans awful, the *outrings of their doors*" will be hung out by the time we come that way. that we may *pull and cater.*

GOLD AND SILVER COIN—THE HARD MONEY CURRENCY.

Our readers all remember the confident promise made to the people by the Loco focos, to reform the currency, and make gold and silver take the place of "filthy rags" so soon as they should have the control of all branches of the government. The people believed them, and gave them the Governor, Senate, and House of Representatives as well as a majority in all the branches of the National government. How do they fulfil their promises? Our Legislature have just passed a bill *legalizing* the issue of specie paying paper until the 15th day of January next; repealing all the penalties heretofore existing for refusing to redeem their notes in coin, and allowing them to take dividends, notwithstanding such suspension! The bill also provides for a loan of $3,000,000 to be added to the State debt, being the third loan built of this session. The bank law we deem the most licentious which the most bank-bought Legislature could have invented. By the law of 1824, whenever a bank refused to pay its debts, sixty days, its charter might be forfeited; and during the suspension, if the notes were "marked" or endorsed, as any holder of them had a right to have done, they bore an interest of *twelve per cent.* against the issuer. By the law of 1836, and of six per cent. per annum against the other banks, until redeemed in coin—and during such suspension the banks were prohibited from making new loans, and declaring dividends. *All* these restrictions are now repealed, and the banks are under no obligation to pay hard money, or refrain their issues, or withhold dividends. Such are the *practices* of this anti-bank, hard-money, gold-humbug party! Are they fools or knaves! The answer is plain. The *leaders* are knaves, and the people have been deluded.—Let them show their abhorrence of their betrayers by abandoning them forever. It is the only way to restore the prosperity of the country.

We cut the following from the Carlisle Volunteer, a Locofoco print:—

HARRISBURG JOURNAL.—The *democrats* have elected one Justice of the Peace in Gettysburg, JOEL B. DANNER, Esq., under the very nose of Thaddeus Stevens. The vote stood 140—139 and 1 blank. Mr. Danner, (the same individual who ran against Gen. Miller for the Senate,) 144! Truly the cause of Harrison must be brightening in old Dauphin.

Although we are not grieved to see our opponents thus crowing over the election of *one* Locofoco, out of our whole ticket at the late election, who was successful, not by a party vote, but through the *magnanimity* of his opponents who voted for him, yet not so fast, neighbor! Pluck first the beam out of thine own eye, than thou mayst see clearly how to take the mote from thy neighbor's. The Volunteer had better bestir himself, lest in his goodly *democratic* borough the Log Cabin boys should outnumber the Locofocos—they have come within a hair's breadth of despatching him now. Yet another struggle and all will be well. The Harrison ticket elected their Burgess, Assistant Burgess, a majority of Town Councilmen, *one Justice*, and three Constables, out of four—leaving them the Judge of elections, Inspectors, Assessors and assistants, School Directors and Town Clerk. The important part of the ticket elected are all friends of Gen. Harrison. The cause is "truly brightening" in Carlisle.

WHO ARE BOUGHT NOW?

Governor Ritner has in his private letter to the Legislature have extended greater favors to the Banks of the United States than the Anti-Masonic party ever did. Indeed they have taken off all the restrictions imposed by the Anti-Masonic and Masonic Legislature, and *legalized* the "suspension," which Governor Ritner refused to do. Will the Loco foco organs, the Globe and others of that stamp, read us a small lesson upon subsidy and corruption," and inform us who are the guilty actors!

DISGRACEFUL.

A Scene in the House of Representatives.

The Harrisburg Chronicle of Saturday last, says—"While the resolution providing for the sale of the Motive Power, Bank Stock, &c. &c., belonging to the State, was under consideration this morning, in the House, a fight was again raised by M'Elwee, the drunken rowdy from Bedford. The facts of which are about as follows:

Warm and personal remarks passed between Mr. M'Elwee and Heggins, of Northumberland. The former finally left his seat and went to that of the latter, demanding Mr. Heggins' reason for the use of certain expressions. Mr. H. answered that he had used no language but that which he had a right to use. Whereupon, Mr. M'Elwee struck Mr. Heggins a blow—and spat in his face—this, Mr. H. returned in kind.—Let them show their abhorrence of their betrayers by abandoning them forever. It is the only way Mr. M'Elwee then struck Mr. Heggins a second blow, and a fight was again raised by M'Elwee, the drunken rowdy from Bedford. The facts of which are about as follows:

Gen. SCOTT.—It is well known to our readers, that Gen. WINFIELD SCOTT was among the persons proposed and voted for as a candidate for the post of Commander in Chief of the Army. He was at the South at the time that Convention was held, but he has since acknowledged the honor in the following letter to Hon. THOS. ELLIS HENRY, a Delegate to that Convention from Vermont:

My Dear Sir:—I hasten to congratulate you and your patriotic associates on the choice of a Whig candidate for the Presidency of the United States, who shall have my prayers for his success; and I am highly gratified at having received in the Convention, as long as there was a reasonable hope of carrying me, a very respectable number of votes for the same distinguished patriot from my country-mate.

From what States other than New-York, New-Jersey and Vermont, if any, that support was received, I am not yet informed; but any great degree of support in each and if its partiality had resulted in my nomination.

Having formed no expectation of becoming the nominee of the Convention, much definite wish for the Presidency itself, I am without mortification to express or conceal: and only regret that a short absence from the country will delay the gratification I shall ever feel in testifying my thanks in person to the Delegates who, with their constituents, have so highly honored me.

Please add to your many kindnesses that of making known my sentiments to such of those friends as you may chance to find yourself with in returning to your home, and believe me, my dear Sir, with the highest esteem,

Your most obedient servant,

WINFIELD SCOTT.

FIRE.—The large and elegant German Lutheran Church, in Gay street, Baltimore, (formerly Dr. Kurtz's,) was destroyed by fire on Monday week last.

The value of property destroyed by the late fire which occurred in Louisville, Ky., is estimated at about *half a Million of dollars.*

CONSTITUTION OF THE "TIPPECANOE CLUB," OF THE BOROUGH OF GETTYSBURG.

ARTICLE I.

SECTION 1st. This Association shall be called the "Tippecanoe Club of the Borough of Gettysburg," and shall have for its object the promotion (by all fair and honorable means) of the election of General William Henry Harrison of Ohio, and John Tyler of Virginia, to the Presidency and Vice Presidency of the United States.

ARTICLE II.

SECTION 1st. All persons who may be entitled to vote at the General Election, may become members of the Club, by declaring their intention to support Gen. Wm. H. Harrison, and John Tyler, and handing in their names to the Secretary.

ARTICLE III.

SECTION 1st. The Officers of the Club shall consist of a President, two Vice Presidents, a Secretary, a Treasurer, a Committee of Correspondence, a Committee of Publication, and a Committee on Finance, to consist of five members each.

SEC. 2nd. The President shall preside at all meetings of the Club, call special meetings when requested by three members in writing, and sign all orders on the Treasurer in the absence of the duties shall be performed by one of the Vice Presidents.

SEC. 3rd. The *Secretary* shall keep a fair record of the proceedings of the Club in a book to be provided for the purpose, and shall prepare and keep a correct list of the members of the Club.

SEC. 4th. The *Treasurer* shall keep a regular account of all the receipts and expenditures of the Club in a book provided for that purpose—which shall at all times be open to the inspection of the Finance Committee, and shall report whenever called upon to do so by the Club.

SEC. 5th. The *Committee of Correspondence* shall correspond with all Clubs and Associations within the County, having the same object in view—and with such of like character out of the County as they may deem proper, and shall report all communications received by them to the next meeting of the Club.

SEC. 6th. The *Committee of Publication* shall make contracts for printing, and superintend the publication and distribution of all documents ordered to be printed by the Club.

SEC. 7th. The *Committee of Finance* shall make collections from time to time as the wants of the Club may require; and pay the same over to the Treasurer.

ARTICLE IV.

SECTION 1st. No moneys shall be drawn from the Treasury or expended for any other purposes than those indicated by resolutions adopted by a majority of the members present at a stated or special meeting of the Club.

ARTICLE V.

SECTION 1st. The Officers of the Club shall be elected when ever at the first meeting after the adoption of the Constitution, and shall continue in office until after the Presidential Election.

SEC. 2nd. All elections, motions, and resolutions requiring a vote of the Club shall be decided by a majority of the members present.

ARTICLE VI.

SECTION 1st. The stated meetings of the Club shall be on the second Saturday evening in every week.

ARTICLE VII.

SECTION 1st. This Constitution may be altered or amended by the assent of two-thirds of the members present.

OFFICERS OF THE CLU