

THE JOURNAL.

"ONE COUNTRY, ONE CONSTITUTION, ONE DESTINY."

A. W. BENEDICT PUBLISHER AND PROPRIETOR.

VOL. VI, No. 41.]

HUNTINGDON, PENNSYLVANIA, WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 1841.

[WHOLE No. 301.]

THE JOURNAL.

One country, one constitution one destiny

Huntingdon, Oct. 6, 1841.

Democratic Candidate
FOR GOVERNOR,

JOHN BANKS,
OF BERKS COUNTY.

DEMOCRATIC
COUNTY TICKET.

SHERIFF.

JNO. BROTHERTINE, of Hollidaysburg
ASSEMBLY.

JOHN G. MILES, of Huntingdon,
SANFORD S. DEWEY, of Birmingham.

TREASURER.

ANDREW H. HIRST, of Huntingdon.
CORONER.

JAMES HUDSON, of Dublin township
COMMISSIONER.

ROBERT MOORE, of Huntingdon.
AUDITOR.

ALEXANDER STITT, of Alexandria

Sheriff Ticket.

Let every voter remember that he can have but the name of one man on his ticket for Sheriff. If there be two names on a ticket, the judges of election are sworn to reject it in counting off.—see 1st sec. of 6th Article of AMENDED Constitution, and 73d section of Election law of 1839.

Our Ticket.

A CALL

To our Friends, and the Friends of
JOHN BANKS.

The election will soon be here; and the question is soon to be decided, whether David R. Porter and his horde of myrmidons, are still to remain the plunderers of the State Treasury?

We feel it to be our duty, to call the attention of every man, who feels the necessity of immediate and complete reform, in the administration of our State government, to certain facts.

OUR TICKET

Is headed by JOHN BANKS. A man, that, since his nomination, not one single breath of calumny has ever stained his name. All that know, or even knew him, with one exclamation, declare him to be an HONEST MAN—morally and politically. No man, no matter how base, has dared to cast one charge, disreputable to his character, before the public. His political opponents have, generally freely admitted that few, if any, were more entitled to the name of HONEST. This speaks volumes in his behalf. Would not every man who feels any interest in the success of the great Commonwealth, prefer to see him guiding its destinies than his opponent?

WHO IS THE OPPONENT?

David R. Porter! A man that you, fellow-citizens of Huntingdon County, KNOW to be as reckless as his master. Who cares not for any body or any means so his ends are accomplished! You know him—your verdict has so pronounced him. A Jury of your own county have said they did not believe his oath. The records of your own county, show that he has refused to pay an honest debt because it was too old to pay. They show that he has plead the STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS on the orphan children of Evan CRAIN. For what? For the wages of a hard working father. For wagging for the now princely nabob. We have before, and we can again, show that he is a Fraudulent Insolvent. A verdict in our court pronounced a man NOT GUILTY, when he charged him with crimes that should send any man to the quiet cell of the Penitentiary. You know yourselves, that he has pardoned Convicted Thieves; that he has pardoned Horse Thieves before trial, and Hired Libellers of better men than himself. You know that he has vetoed law after law that the people demanded. You know that he has selected, as the officers under him,

men as devoid of principle or character, as they are reckless of what means they use to advance their master's ends. You know and have seen these things! and we ask an answer of you on the 2nd Tuesday of October. What can induce an honest man to vote for him instead of John Banks?

JOHN BROTHERTINE

Is our candidate for Sheriff. He is the regularly nominated candidate of the Harrison and anti-Porter party in this county. He has been, since our acquaintance in this county, (more than six years,) one of the most active and devoted friends of our cause. At all times, under all circumstances, he has been found foremost in the contest. He is a poor man,—one who has for years supported a widowed mother and orphan sisters. Calumny, and the vileness of party strife may brand him as a lazy office hunter; but we have only to say, that that son, that seeks all honest means to cheer the tottering steps of an aged mother down the steep declivity of life, deserves the friendship and welcome of every grateful heart. His only crime has been his too great anxiety for the support of his bereaved friends and kindred, and his unrelenting and unwavering hostility to our opponents in this county. No political contest has found him halting between two opinions. He has always been first in the field and last in the fight; and we for one are bound to say that such men deserve the support of their party and friends. Who are his most bitter opponents? Are they not, we ask, those who have for years been the loudest brawlers against all anti Masons and Whigs? How long is it since an attempt was made to indict him for being among the first to defend the purity of the ballot box from the assaults of the dupes and slaves of Porter, in Hollidaysburg. "Thrice is he armed who has his quarrel just." Mr. Brothertine triumphed over his foes! Hence this unceasing hostility to him. Therefore is he to be the only victim on our ticket. Can you, friends, make yourselves one in the number to consummate an injury against such a friend? He trust not. It is said he was not fairly nominated! We ask every man who was interested in the nomination of any other man, did not you do all you could for that man? Did not a l his friends? And did the friends of Mr. Brothertine do more? Is there anything disreputable in that? Is there any thing dishonest? Will you lend yourselves to assist your enemies to exult over one of your most devoted friends; simply, because he is opposed by our opponents. If there is any one thing why we shall make use of every exertion to secure his election, it is because the Porter men are so very busy abusing him. If there is any thing which makes us persist more strongly that he deserves the support of every man who opposes Porter—it is because every one of Porter's men are the loudest in attempting to defeat his election. They desire to see him beaten because they think he has been a thorn in their side, and if he is defeated he will be shorn of his locks and will no longer be in the way of their success, therefore they oppose him; and for the very same reason, IT IS THE DUTY of every friend of John Banks to sustain him.

MAJ. JOHN SHAVER is the most prominent opponent of Mr. Brothertine. He is the candidate of the Working Men (so called.) He is a member of the same party as Mr. Brothertine—is in every respect, we believe, an honest and honorable man, but no more so than Brothertine. He is far more able to get along through this world without the office than Mr. Brothertine. He has the means of support; and there are no suffering kindred leaning upon his arm for sustenance, and the common necessities of life. Maj. Shaver is a working man, none will doubt. Is not Mr. Brothertine? Is he not deserving? Does he not need the proceeds of the office? and let us ask, does Maj. Shaver? We have but one cause of regret. It is this, that so worthy a man would allow himself to become the instrument in the hands of his own, heretofore,

bitterest foes, to injure the cause of one of his own friends. The Loco Foco in our town call Maj. Shaver THEIR CANDIDATE. If he be so, we are sorry to see a man we so much respected, the candidate of men who have been the constant revilers of himself, as well as ourself. If any thing would make us oppose him, it is because we see the very men who have for years circulated the basest falsehoods against us, now claiming him as THEIR CANDIDATE. Men who called the Anti-masons the vilest names, and pronounced us their hireling, brought from an Eastern Penitentiary—a released convict, to conduct the cause of Anti-masonry. At once saying that the Anti-masons of this county possessed neither honor nor honesty, and cared not how they succeeded. We say, if anything would make us oppose any living man it would be when we saw such men advocating his cause. We ask our friends if they wish to see such men triumph over us?

John G. Miles and S. S. Dewey

Are our candidates for Assembly. Mr. Miles needs no commendations of ours. Dr. Dewey, is not as well known in the county as his colleague, but is none the less respected and loved for his intelligence and his integrity where he is known. We would ask of every man who is anxious to see Pennsylvania take once more, her stand among the prosperous States in the Union, whether it is not important that such men are sent as it is known can be useful. Men who if needs be can defend her interest by something more than the simple yes! or no? and are not Mr. Miles and Dewey such men? and are they not every where in the county known for their intelligence, integrity and we might add piety?

Their Opponents

Are Jesse Moore, and Thomas Weston. Both of them men who have acted for years with the dominant party in this county, and we can safely say, both of them strictly honest, and in some respects are the equals of those on our ticket. But we feel confident that they are not aware of all the facts attending their case, or they would soon withdraw their names and support from a ticket, whose loudest advocates were, and are yet their bitterest foes! We would ask you gentlemen, as well as you friends. Do you know that the leading and active opponents of John Banks are endeavoring to trade you off for Brothertine—that they make bargains to vote for Miles and Dewey, provided that they can get a man to vote against Brothertine—thus making you the victims of their schemes to destroy one of your former friends; and at the same time they injure you. They are bold enough to say that they do not care if the members of the Working-men's ticket are beaten if they can only beat John Brothertine. Remember then what we say, you are to be the victims on your ticket, for no other purpose than to defeat Brothertine.

Our Treasurer.

A. H. Hirst is our candidate for Treasurer. Is there any better man than him for the office? and was not he nominated unanimously by the delegates of the people? If so what cause, why an opposition to him should be made by his political friends? Let us explain. Mr. Hirst has acted with the minority party; and when they attempted to make him their slave, he spurned them and their counsel; and if there is any thing in the whole matter, that shows the cloven foot of Porterism in this Workingmen's ticket, it is the opposition to Mr. Hirst. The candidate against him Mr. John Simpson, our neighbor, is the ONLY LOCO FOCO on that ticket; and they hope to have an opportunity to break him down politically. This to us is satisfactory evidence that the hand of Porter and his vassals is in this matter. Their friends will turn out to vote for one of their party, when without some such incentive they would not vote for the working man's ticket. We say, that Porter and his hired soldiers are at the bottom of this matter, else how does it

happen that the only loco foco on that ticket happens to oppose the man, that Porter and his dupes most cordially hate. The cause is evident, Porter wants Hirst beat, and wants to say he was beat by a Loco foco; and then say he has lost the confidence of both parties because HE deserted HIM. Cannot every man see this?

Our Commissioner

Robert Moore is well known all over the county we might say; and there is none who know him, who do not accord to him the character of strict uprightness and capability. The citizens of this county, cannot but see the advantage of having a commissioner in our town. Frequently business brings them to our town, and if they have any thing to do in the Commissioner's Office, when there is one of them a resident in town, their business can at all times be attended to. His opponent James Davis Esq. may be, and no doubt is, equally as good a man, but the importance of having one in town, seems to say that the election of Mr. Moore would prove of more advantage to the people.

Our Auditor and Coroner

Mr. Stitt, and Mr. Hudson, are well known in our county, and there are none who know them but say they are honest and capable.

Such then is our ticket, and we call on every man who does not wish to lend his aid, to carry out the schemes of their old and bitter foes, to examine carefully what we have said; and make up their minds from the facts, whether they prefer to see their friends defeated by the wiles of an enemy who have for years tried to divide and destroy us.

Observe what we say! Those men who formerly called you rascally Anti-masons, and British Bank bought slaves are now the men most interested in the workingman's ticket. Those who called us their hired contract are its leaders here. Those who never spoke a respectful word of one of us now hug this ticket to their bosom as if it were a bantling of their own—we think it is. Some may think honestly otherwise. We ask them do not be deceived. Vote the regular Ticket entire!

CERTIFICATES.

Below we publish two certificates sent us by the citizens of "The Big District," putting the brand of falsehood upon the many tales told of John Brothertine. We know where such tales originate. They are the paltry spite of our old foes, they are anxious to defeat him, because he has always been so active in defeating them. The people of our county will recognize many of their friends among the signers.

The second certificate was written, and the names obtained by Hon. Judge McCune, whose name heads the list. There are but few people in the county who do not know the Judge. His own name would be a guarantee of the truth of the certificate, and falseness of the tales. Will our friends be up and doing! and not let a worthy man be crushed by falsehood.

The undersigned, residents of Hollidaysburg and vicinity (within the limits of what has been called the Big District), being neighbors and well acquainted for a number of years with JOHN BROTHERTINE, the present regularly nominated candidate of the Harrison and Banks party, for the office of Sheriff of this county, have learned with regret and astonishment, that numerous false and slanderous reports have been circulated in the lower end and some other parts of the county, injurious to the character of Mr. Brothertine; among others, that he is a GAMBLER, A HORSE-RACER, and BLACK LEG, A SPECULATOR, A LOAFER, and many others of a like nature. Such charges, if made and circulated against Mr. Brothertine, have their origin and source in disappointed ambition, in private rancour, or in political hostility, as WE KNOW THEM TO BE DESTITUTE OF TRUTH FOR THEIR FOUNDATION. We know his conduct and character as a man and a citizen has been exemplary and correct. We know that for the last eight years he has been the sole support of his widowed mother, and younger sisters and brother, (constituting for the greater portion of that time, a large family,) and supported the family for about two years before his father's death. If he is a gambler, a horse-racer, and a black leg, WE HIS IMMEDIATE NEIGHBORS have never known or heard of it. If he is a speculator, we,

his intimate acquaintances, do not know and have not heard of it; and we do know that if he ever was so, that the usual success which is generally supposed to attend speculators, has not been his, for he is, emphatically, A POOR MAN. And his conduct in the discharge of his duties as a son from his youth to this time is an answer to the other and similar charges.—We can conceive and know of no cause, private or political, to justify or even palliate the slandering and persecuting a deserving man, in the manner we understand Mr. Brothertine is now SLANDERED AND PERSECUTED.

September 24, 1841.

James Coffey
A. Vantres
Wm. Donaldson
A. L. Holliday
E. Galbraith
Jacob Snyder
John Buel
Wm. Campbell
Elliot Long
David Hewit
James Lindsey
Wm. Elder
James Christy
Henry Stuffer jr.
J. Moore Hewit
Thomas Patterson
Henry Sprenger
James K. Philleber
Jacob H. Smith
Joseph Hammer
J. A. Landis
Joseph Balbridge
Alex. McFarland
David B. Long
Wm. S. Kunsman
Wm. Kunsman
Robert Irwin jr.
John Irwin jr.
William Gregg
Jonathan Neff
Joseph Groff jr.
Jacob Wells
Joseph Groff
John Kinsel
John Lantz
William Lantz
Jacob Black
William Rhodes
Henry McCauley
David Koon
James B. Frampton
O. P. McKeehan
J. B. G. Kinsloe
Daniel Ullery
Samuel Koon
Jacob H. Stuffer
John H. Stuffer
Joseph Stuffer
Hiram Stilly
George Clapper
A. Stoner
John Stanley
W. Williams
Thomas Burns
Joseph C. Morgan
George Weaver
William Kelly
Jacob Weaver sr.
John Shannon
John Dure
Philip Weaver
Samuel Robeson
Jonathan Lantz
Joshua Williamson
James A. McCahan
Michael Simons
Alexander Stewart
Joseph Christian jr.
Jonathan Christian
Moses Christian
Joseph Christian sr.
Samuel Hileman
George Elliot
J. A. Smith
Jacob Stuff
Daniel Foust
Samuel Gost
George W. Low
Andrew Kopp
Solomon Wise
N. Hewit
Jacob Geesy
Henry Geesy
Samuel Baird
Thomas McCloskey
Patrick McCloskey
Jno. Kephart (Porter)
Nicholas Stephen jr.
Nicholas Stephen
James Long jr.
James Long sr.
Thomas M. Robeson
Andrew Low
John Lingafelt
Edward J. Cruise
Alexander Frazer

The undersigned citizens of what was formerly called the Big District in Huntingdon county, do certify that John Brothertine, who was nominated for the Sheriff's Office, at last August court, (by the Harrison and Banks Convention,) for said county, IS AN HONEST MAN, & HAS A L W A Y S SUPPORTED A GOOD MORAL CHARACTER; and further, we have heard and do believe that he has contributed, bountifully, towards the maintenance of his widowed mother, sisters and brother, for some six or eight years passed.

September 24, 1841.

Joseph McCune
Henry Stuffer
Charles Wilson
Thomas Wilson
C. Kephart
Dover Robison
Jos. Robison
Jacob Kephart
Jacob Wirtz jr.
John Robison
Peter Biess
Jacob Mogal
Henry Mogal
S. A. Johnston

Henry Rodkey
Daniel Rodkey
James Gilson
George Clopper
Edward L. Cowens
Samuel Steffel
Isaac Hallar
Abraham Spielman
John Harnish
John Stahl
John Zigler
Solomon Stombough
Jacob Geesy
Henry Geesy
S. R. McCune
James T. Robison

The Public Works—No Money.

THE POOR LABORERS!

We imagine that there is not a laboring man on the canal who has not been told during the summer, time and again, there was no money in the treasury. Some of them are now creditors to the State for, months of hard labor, when their own families needed all of their hard earnings to get, oftentimes, the necessaries of life. We did believe that there was money; and we have often said so. A friend has obtained from the Treasury, at Harrisburg the amount of money drawn, for repairs, since last November. It is important that the people know how their business is conducted. The following sums of money have been drawn, at the dates as given, by Mr. Woods.

Year	Month	Amount
1840	Nov.	17 \$4,000
1841	April	19 1,000
	June	2 2,000
	July	14 2,453
	"	17 1,000
	Sept.	1 1,600
		\$12,453

A pretty little sum, indeed! and no money! More than one thousand dollars a month. Money enough, after taking his own pay out, to pay 40 daily laborers one dollar a day. Can any body imagine how this money has all been spent? Has any one seen 40 hands on thirty miles of the canal? It need not be said that it has been paid for Extraordinary Repairs; because, if you will ask those who have built bridges, locks, &c., and they like the laborers will say, NO MONEY!

We wish every man who works on the canal to say whether he has not asked for money during the above 10 months, and has not been told no money!

But, this is not all. During the months of June and July, he drew \$5225 for old debts due before last November, making in all, nearly SEVENTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS in ten months, and no money!

Joseph Riter has handled since June 8th, the snug sum of \$20,000, and we presume, that he says too, no money!

This beats the "Big Break"—They draw the money out but do not pay their working men.

John S. Isett's letter.

On our first page will be found the letter of this gentleman, in reply to our correspondent "Observer." If Mr. Isett relieves himself from the charge of acting in accordance with Mr. Porter's views, he certainly does not succeed in clearing up the charge, that the "working" ticket is in every respect calculated to further Mr. Porter's views, or that it is not in effect the very thing the leading Locos desired. Mr. Isett says that "Supervisor Woods misrepresented said ticket to be done it would break their ARRANGEMENTS."

—In an other place he says "I asked some of the Porter men what they intended to do"—Why ask the Porter men what they intended to do, if their counsels were not needed to shape his course. What was the answer these Porter men gave? They thought THEY COULD UNDERMINE THEM: [meaning our Ticket] get some of the disaffected to come out." Here then is the whole secret.

Mr. Isett is known to be a little self willed, when he gets his "eye set" on a measure, and consequently these Porter men who were to undermine us, fall into his plan apparently while at the same time they would his plan to THEIR ENDS, and this working man's ticket is made to catch the disaffected, and to undermine the regular ticket; and although Mr. Woods was quite offended about having his arrangements broken, he was very soon quite interested in Mr. Isett's Plan. Cannot the honest of our party see the whole scheme.

Look to it—Remember farmers and laboring men that D. R. Porter, by his extravagant administration, has increased the load of taxation, and it will continue to increase as long as he misrules the state.