

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 24, 1851.  
THE LARGEST AND CHEAPEST NEWSPAPER  
IN CUMBERLAND COUNTY!  
Price—Two Dollars a year, or One Dollar and  
Fifty Cents, if paid punctually in Advance.  
\$1.75 per copy within the year.

**WHIG STATE TICKET**  
FOR GOVERNOR:  
**WILLIAM F. JOHNSTON,**  
Of Annapolis County.  
FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER:  
**JOHN STROM,**  
Of Lancaster County.  
FOR THE SUPREME BENCH:  
**RICHARD COULTER,** Westmoreland.  
**JOSHUA W. COMER,** Montgomery.  
**GEO. CHAMBERS,** Franklin.  
**WM. M. MEREDITH,** Philadelphia.  
**WILLIAM JESSUP,** Susquehanna.

**WHIG COUNTY TICKET**  
Assembly.  
**FRONAS L. CATHCART,** of Allen.  
**ROBERT M. HENDERSON,** of N. Middleton.  
Prothonotary.  
**GEORGE ZINN,** Jr., of West Pennsboro'.  
Clerk of Court.  
**JOSEPH MOSSER,** of Mechanicsburg.  
Register.  
**ALFRED L. SPONSLER,** of Carlisle.  
Commissioner.  
**JOSEPH C. GRESSLER,** of Southampton.  
Treasurer.  
**WILLIAM S. COBBAN,** of Carlisle.  
Director of the Poor.  
**GEORGE L. LINE,** of Dickinson.  
Auditor.  
**SAMUEL S. SNYDER,** of Hopewell.  
Auditor.

**INDEPENDENT JUDICIAL TICKET**  
The following ticket will be supported  
by Whigs and Democrats without distinction of  
party, who are favorable to the election of an  
Independent Judiciary:  
For President Judge,  
**FREDERICK WATTS,** of Cumberland.  
For Assessor Judge,  
**JOHN RUPP,** of Hampden township,  
**SAMUEL WOODBURN,** of Dickinson.  
Several advertisements are unavoidably  
omitted.

**A CASE IN POINT.**  
The Locofoco try to kill Gov. Johnston  
responsible for the riot in Lancaster county  
and the murder of Gorsuch. In 1847 a riot  
of a similar nature took place at Carlisle, in  
which Mr. Kennedy, of Hagerstown, Md., was  
severely injured, and soon after died. Francis  
R. Shunk was Governor of the State. Was  
he responsible for the riot and the murder?  
Did Gov. Shunk interest himself in arresting  
the rioters? Did he issue any Proclamation  
offering a reward? No. Gov. Shunk did not  
do anything to arrest or bring to trial the  
persons implicated. The Anti-Kidnapping law  
of 1817, which *Bigler* helped to pass and Shunk  
signed, was then in full force. Did Gov. Shunk  
afterwards recommend its repeal? Did Bigler,  
who still remained in the State, advocate its  
repeal? Not a bit of it. They took the matter  
very coolly and said nothing. The Locofoco  
party left the law of 1847 four years on the  
statute books, and not until the very last hour  
of the last day of the last session of the Leg-  
islature, did the Union get into such imminent  
danger as to require its repeal! What hum-  
buggery!

**LETTER FROM W. B. MULLEN.**  
The subjoined letter from W. B. Mullen,  
Esq., of South Middleton, is in the right spirit  
and will be satisfactory to the Whigs. Hurrah  
for the Independent Ticket!

**PAPERWORK.**—Dear Sir:—In my  
card, announcing myself as an independent  
candidate for Associate Judge, I said that I  
do so at the solicitation of many friends. Ma-  
ny of those friends still urge me to continue in  
the field; but upon a careful survey of the  
whole ground, I have come to the conclusion  
to ask you to withdraw my name from the list  
of candidates. I trust I hold the principles  
of those friends too dear to say to my  
heart to say aye to that which would prevent the  
ultimate triumph of those principles. In con-  
clusion, allow me to return my sincere thanks  
to many friends for their kindness towards  
me, and to say, through you, to the Whigs of  
Cumberland county, that they can expect me  
to be found, as heretofore, in the front ranks  
of the battle in the coming year.  
Respectfully,  
W. B. MULLEN.

**DREADFUL TRAGEDY.**—The Rev. Jeremiah  
Chamberlain, President of the Oakland Col-  
lege, at Port Gibson, Mississippi, was brutally  
murdered by Mr. Geo. Briscoe, at his own  
door, on the 5th inst. They quarrelled about  
the expulsion of a student, when Briscoe  
plunged a Bowie knife into Dr. Chamberlain  
after having knocked him down, causing al-  
most instant death. Briscoe then rode off and  
was missing for a day. When found he was  
lying dead in the woods, and black in the face.  
Dr. Chamberlain formerly resided in Gettys-  
burg, Pa., and married a daughter of the late  
Jacob Meizer, Esq., of Hanover, Pa.

**YES.**—List any body bold enough to  
believe the Volunteer's assertion that the re-  
cent editorial of the Herald in relation to an  
independent Judiciary were "dictated" by  
Judge Watts himself? It may be well enough  
to flatter himself the allegation. Our edito-  
rials, whatever their merit, are written by  
ourselves.

**MR. BIGLER NOT A RAFFIAN.**—Mr. Bigler  
is called a ruffian. This is not his occupa-  
tion. He probably never cut a leg of timber  
in his life. He has a store in the town of  
Clearfield and speculates in lumber. He  
trades goods for lumber, and then sends it to  
market. His occupation is that of a store-  
keeper, lumber merchant, and speculator.

**MARK THUR!**—The Locofoco who falsely  
charge Gov. Johnston with being an Abolition-  
ist are running DAVID WILMOT for Presi-  
dent Judge in the Bradford District, and De-  
vid is to support Bigler as the confederate!

**OLD WHIG'S POLITICAL ASSAULT.**

**THE SINKING FUND.**  
The attempt to sell Gov. Johnston of the  
Credit of His Operation.  
The Governor's Proclamation announcing the  
payment of six hundred and fifty-nine thousand  
dollars of our Forty Million Debt through the  
operation of the SINKING FUND, has taken  
our Locofoco opponents all aback! Oh, yes,  
says the Carlisle Volunteer, "this is good news,  
and the people have reason to be thankful to a  
democratic Auditor of Canal Commissioners, a  
democratic Board General, a democratic  
State Treasurer and democratic Legislatures,"  
for the result. But by the very testimony of  
his witness is the assertion fully con-  
firmed, for the former quotes a long extract  
from a speech delivered by Mr. Senator  
Bucklewell, of Columbia county, in which the  
Hon. Senator attempts to show that the Sink-  
ing Fund Law was an admirably devised scheme  
of Gov. Johnston to glorify his own adminis-  
tration! The Hon. Senator says the fourth  
section of the law, which directs Proclamation  
to be made just one month before the October  
election, is proof enough to him that Governor  
Johnston himself framed and designed the Sink-  
ing Fund as an electioneering scheme!  
Now although the Hon. Senator Bucklewell  
stands for Gov. Johnston when he charges him  
with devising the Sink-ing Fund as an  
electioneering scheme, yet he is undoubtedly  
right in giving to Gov. Johnston the credit of  
putting in operation the Sink-ing Fund law which  
has already reduced the State debt over half  
a million of dollars! The law was passed the  
10th day of April, 1849, at the annual session  
of the Legislature, which was inaugurated  
Governor on the 10th of January, 1849. It is  
only justly that the Locofoco have attempted  
to rob Gov. Johnston of the credit of the  
Sink-ing Fund. What did they say of it  
when it was first adopted? Let us see. The  
Harrisburg Keystone of the 12th of June, 1849,  
says in one of its articles relative to the  
public works:—"One cause of the present  
condition of things will be found in the  
attempt of attempting to CREATE A SINKING  
FUND, as recommended by Gov. Johnston last  
session, before the State had the means to do  
so." Again, in the same issue of 1849, it says  
of the Sink-ing Fund, "It would be all very well,  
if the Treasury were in a condition to bear it,  
but under existing circumstances, it is AD-  
VERSUS AND INJURIOUS to the interests of the  
Commonwealth." Again, on September 4th,  
it says the Sink-ing Fund is, "SO UNWISE AND  
UNREASONABLE, that it cannot meet the expec-  
tation of any person who will examine it."  
J. Ellis Bonham, Esq., the champion of British  
interest and State debt, also denounced the  
Sink-ing Fund as CONTEMPTIBLE, in a recent  
speech, and Mr. BIGLER, the Locofoco candi-  
date for Governor, tried hard to ridicule it in  
all his speeches. But now, since the Govern-  
or's Proclamation has appeared, showing that  
the Sink-ing Fund has paid off \$659,122 98 of  
the State Debt, the Volunteer and other Locofoco  
papers are attempting to rob Gov. Johnston  
of the credit to which he is entitled, and  
give the credit to the Locofoco Canal Commis-  
sioner, Auditor General and State Treasurer!  
How ridiculous! But do the people know to-  
day that "have reason to be thankful" for the  
reduction of the State debt, and will de-  
posit in the WILLIAM F. JOHNSTON!

**SPEECH OF MR. PENROSE.**  
We write the particular attention of  
our readers to the address of Mr. Penrose,  
which is published on the first page of to-day's  
paper, at the solicitation of many who heard  
it delivered. Although not half so long as  
Mr. Bonham's speech, to which it is a reply,  
it contains infinitely more truth, and most  
thoroughly exposes the glaring mis-statements  
of that speech. Mr. Penrose clearly shows  
that the "facts and figures" which are fur-  
nished by the records of the State are Gov.  
Johnston's most triumphant eulogy. The  
speech is an able production and does high  
credit to the talents and reputation of our  
friend Penrose, who enjoys most deservedly  
the warmest esteem of the Whigs of Cum-  
berland county.

Since the printing of the outside of our  
paper we have received the subjoined explana-  
tory note from Mr. Penrose, in which he does  
Mr. Bonham the justice to correct a typograph-  
ical error in his speech.

**CARISSE, Sept. 23, 1851.**  
MR. BEATTY—Dear Sir,—Since handing you  
my reply to Mr. Bonham's speech on the State  
finances, I find that the Auditor General's re-  
port for 1849, page 39, only makes the Govern-  
ment debt \$216,532 22 in that year, while Mr.  
Bonham is made to say by his speech, as  
published in the Volunteer, that that year  
was \$276,632 22. This is most likely a mistake  
of the printer's and I cannot make this cor-  
rection in order that we may not be taken  
advantage of even their own mistakes. It  
would be well enough to mention however that  
the increase of expenses in 1850 is accounted  
for by the fact that the expenses of the Leg-  
islature of 1850, which was Democratic, were  
\$102,721 17, (Auditor General's report for  
1850, page 39) while in 1849, when the Leg-  
islature was Whig, their expenses were (Auditor  
General's report for 1849, page 39) only  
\$58,689 08 or \$44,041 40 less than in 1850.  
The Auditor General's report for 1849, page  
39, says that the amount of the State Debt  
of 1850 would reduce them to \$218,812 22,  
while in the year 1849, under Govern-  
ment Shunk, their expenses were (see Auditor  
General's report for 1849, page 26,) \$239,804  
20. I think however we have shown that Gov-  
ernor Johnston is in no way responsible for  
the expenses for 1850, except in the Executive  
Department, where they are about the same as  
in previous years.

Very respectfully,  
W. M. PENROSE.

**LOCOFOCO LOW WAGES DOCTRINE.**  
Wheat, 70 cents per bushel.  
Flour, \$3.25 per barrel, and falling.  
Corn, Ten cents per day.  
[Flour is quoted at \$3.87 in the cities—  
Take off commission and commission, and this  
leaves our millers about \$3.25 as above.]

**FARMERS!** How do you like this bill  
of fare? If this suits you, vote the Locofoco  
ticket.

**Gov. Johnston** has already paid off  
over half a million of the State Debt.  
Under his rule it will continue to be cancelled  
at the rate of a million a year, and that too  
without increasing the taxes of the communi-  
ty generally.

Gov. Bigler, on the other hand, has ex-  
plicitly avowed himself in favor of an increase  
of the Debt. In a speech recently delivered in  
Bradford county he said he was in favor of  
borrowing a million and a half to complete the  
North Branch Canal. This would of course  
add that amount to the debt.

It is for the tax-payers to choose between  
these two candidates.

**MAKING THE DIFFERENCE!**  
The people under Locofoco administrations  
were TAKEN AS HEAVILY as under Govern-  
ment Johnston. In fact, as we have frequently  
stated, they were TAKEN AS HEAVILY as under  
Gov. Shunk than by Gov. Johnston. Why  
did not these Locofoco Administrations pay  
"portion of the Debt"? This is one of our ob-  
jections to Locofoco Administrations. They got  
the money, but did not pay the debt. Why did  
they not? The people can't imagine.

**REV. MR. GORSUCH'S LETTER.**  
The Rev. Mr. Gorsuch, a son of the mur-  
dered gentleman at Carlisle, has written a  
letter addressed to Gov. Johnston, which in a  
more bitter and vindictive spirit reproaches the  
Governor with dereliction of duty in the arrest  
of the murderers. The writer seems to be  
laboring under the erroneous error of sup-  
porting a public officer, the Locofoco, in  
favoring the Governor of Pennsylvania is to  
be a public officer, every case of murder,  
of course it is agreed by the Locofoco press  
with avidity to make political capital. It  
never did a clergyman appear to press ad-  
vantage in writing for the public, the feeling  
with which all right-minded men will regard  
this unnatural letter, well expressed in the  
following article from the North American:  
"We have carefully read that letter, and can-  
not but be struck with the feeling which it  
expresses in common human charity, believe that  
it was prepared by Mr. Gorsuch. It is a let-  
ter equally unwholesome to the Locofoco and  
the conscientious divine. It is made up, for  
the most part, of the violent misrepresenta-  
tions, very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-  
terized by bad feeling, and worse taste. We  
believe it impossible that any other clergyman  
could be found who would give expression,  
with regard to the Locofoco, to such unwholesome  
and unjust and vindictive; who would  
make charges so wild, not only without proof  
or reason, but against all the facts, and every  
factual view of the case, and who would use  
the hackneyed phrase of faction—quoting, Shun-  
k and betraying a very suspicious affection  
for the editorial plural for minister to the  
Locofoco, and a very unbecomingly—rather than  
the readers of the Pennsylvania Herald, already  
familiar; it is written without motive, or ex-  
cuse, or object, except such as may be found  
in high political excitement, and it is charac-