

# THE CITIZEN

FRIDAY, MARCH 8, 1894.

Published at Philadelphia at Butler on 24th class matter

WILLIAM C. FOLEY, Publisher

## Republican Announcements.

Subject to the Republican Primary, Saturday, April 28th, between the hours of 1 and 7 p.m.

FOR CONGRESS.

HON. THOMAS W. PHILLIPS.

FOR ASSEMBLY.

(To be nominated)

JAMES M. MOORE.

OF Butler.

THOMAS HAYS.

OF Fairview.

D. B. DOUTTET.

OF Forward.

W. H. RITTER.

OF Butler.

JAMES B. MATES.

OF Butler.

FOR JURY COMMISSIONER.

A. O. EBERHART.

OF Butler Twp.

H. W. NICHOLS.

OF Butler (formerly of Penn.).

PHILIP HILLIARD, Esq.

OF Hilliards.

JOHN LARIMORE.

OF Concord Twp.

JOHN T. CRAMER.

OF Franklin Twp.

T. ALBERT BARTLEY.

OF Clinton Twp.

FOR DELEGATES TO STATE CONVENTION.

(Three to elect.)

Dr. J. C. BARR.

OF Adams.

J. M. MCCOLLUM.

OF Fairview.

GEO. W. COOPER.

OF Slipperyrock.

W. H. H. RIDGE.

OF Butler.

J. S. CAMPBELL.

OF Cherry Twp.

J. C. KIRKADDER.

OF Butler.

The meeting of the Lincoln League Monday evening was a well attended one.

Remarks were made by Messrs. McCollum, Hilliard, and others.

An amendment to the Constitution looking towards the organization of branch leagues all over the county was proposed, and a committee was appointed to act upon it.

The League now numbers some three hundred members.

Next Monday evening, the meetings are always numerously attended and very enthusiastic and enjoyable, besides being instructive to the younger members.

The Political Revolution.

There has been no such political revolution in this generation as that of the past year. We have had action and reaction before, but no such cyclonic change in this. Eleven months of such action and reaction have produced the greatest revolution of our time.

This, too, with only scattered and fragmentary elections. If there could have been a general election, the result would have been the expression of the whole country, the effect would have been incalculably deepened. As it is, the upheaval is more significant than anything the present generation of voters has ever seen.

Go back to November, Massachusetts, which had elected a Democratic governor year after year, urged with the Republican flood tide of the year before.

Leaving Kentucky, where there was such a Republican majority but once upon the one-sided Grant-Greeley contest of 1872.

Leaving Kansas, where the question and looking only at the straight political issue, New York elected the Republican Secretary of State.

Jersey, more-over, in the Democratic, as against the Republican, the result was a majority running by 20,000.

Ohio voted for McKinley by 80,000—far away the biggest figures since the war.

Chicago wiped out a Democratic lead of 25,000.

Wayward Kentucky, where the idols and returned to its old time Republicanism.

And now, three months later, with Democracy and the Republican tide, the Wilson bill, the very centre of Tammany-bound New York elected a Republican congressman.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

# THE CITIZEN

FRIDAY, MARCH 8, 1894.

Published at Philadelphia at Butler on 24th class matter

WILLIAM C. FOLEY, Publisher

## Republican Announcements.

Subject to the Republican Primary, Saturday, April 28th, between the hours of 1 and 7 p.m.

FOR CONGRESS.

HON. THOMAS W. PHILLIPS.

FOR ASSEMBLY.

(To be nominated)

JAMES M. MOORE.

OF Butler.

THOMAS HAYS.

OF Fairview.

D. B. DOUTTET.

OF Forward.

W. H. RITTER.

OF Butler.

JAMES B. MATES.

OF Butler.

FOR JURY COMMISSIONER.

A. O. EBERHART.

OF Butler Twp.

H. W. NICHOLS.

OF Butler (formerly of Penn.).

PHILIP HILLIARD, Esq.

OF Hilliards.

JOHN LARIMORE.

OF Concord Twp.

JOHN T. CRAMER.

OF Franklin Twp.

T. ALBERT BARTLEY.

OF Clinton Twp.

FOR DELEGATES TO STATE CONVENTION.

(Three to elect.)

Dr. J. C. BARR.

OF Adams.

J. M. MCCOLLUM.

OF Fairview.

GEO. W. COOPER.

OF Slipperyrock.

W. H. H. RIDGE.

OF Butler.

J. S. CAMPBELL.

OF Cherry Twp.

J. C. KIRKADDER.

OF Butler.

The meeting of the Lincoln League Monday evening was a well attended one.

Remarks were made by Messrs. McCollum, Hilliard, and others.

An amendment to the Constitution looking towards the organization of branch leagues all over the county was proposed, and a committee was appointed to act upon it.

The League now numbers some three hundred members.

Next Monday evening, the meetings are always numerously attended and very enthusiastic and enjoyable, besides being instructive to the younger members.

The Political Revolution.

There has been no such political revolution in this generation as that of the past year. We have had action and reaction before, but no such cyclonic change in this. Eleven months of such action and reaction have produced the greatest revolution of our time.

This, too, with only scattered and fragmentary elections. If there could have been a general election, the result would have been the expression of the whole country, the effect would have been incalculably deepened. As it is, the upheaval is more significant than anything the present generation of voters has ever seen.

Go back to November, Massachusetts, which had elected a Democratic governor year after year, urged with the Republican flood tide of the year before.

Leaving Kentucky, where there was such a Republican majority but once upon the one-sided Grant-Greeley contest of 1872.

Leaving Kansas, where the question and looking only at the straight political issue, New York elected the Republican Secretary of State.

Jersey, more-over, in the Democratic, as against the Republican, the result was a majority running by 20,000.

Ohio voted for McKinley by 80,000—far away the biggest figures since the war.

Chicago wiped out a Democratic lead of 25,000.

Wayward Kentucky, where the idols and returned to its old time Republicanism.

And now, three months later, with Democracy and the Republican tide, the Wilson bill, the very centre of Tammany-bound New York elected a Republican congressman.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems that there were no parties in Cleveland under an avalanche of 185,000.

This is not merely reaction—it is pretty nearly annihilation. It is not simply Republicanism—it is Democratic dissolution.

It seems