

BUTLER CITIZEN.

JOHN H. & W. C. NEGLY, PROP'R,  
Entered at the Postoffice at Butler as  
second-class matter.

Republican State Ticket.

FOR GOVERNOR,  
JAMES A. BEAVER, of Centre county.

FOR JUDGE OF SUPREME COURT,  
WILLIAM H. RAWLE, of Philadelphia.

FOR LIEUTENANT-GOVERNOR,  
WILLIAM T. DAVIES, of Bradford county.

FOR SECRETARY INTERNAL AFFAIRS,  
JOHN M. GREER, of Butler county.

FOR CONGRESSMAN AT-LARGE  
MARRIOTT BROSIUS, of Lancaster county.

COUNTY TICKET.

FOR CONGRESS,  
J. D. MCJUNKIN, Butler.  
(Subject to District Conference.)

FOR ASSEMBLY,  
WILLIAM P. BURHAM, Mercer township,  
JOSEPH T. DONALD, Butler.

FOR JURY COMMISSIONER,  
ROBERT MCCLUNG, Fairview township.

DR. A. V. CUNNINGHAM, of Zelienople, we are pleased to see it stated, has been appointed surgeon on the Pittsburgh & Western railroad at that locality. The appointment is a good one.

A SERIOUS responsibility rests with the members of the two tickets. Republican success or failure is in their keeping. The proposition that both sets of candidates permanently retire, while it calls for a greater personal sacrifice, is the fairest to both factions of the party and is the only one that would result in unity. At the same time the proposition submitted by the State Committee should be accepted and a new convention called, rather than perpetuate division, in the hope that a new and representative Republican, whose practices have been beyond accusation, and who has not incurred the displeasure of any element of the party, might be selected to head the ticket and lead the fight, not against other Republicans, but against the Democracy.—*Mercer Republican*.

SENATOR CAMERON is reported as saying he should no longer entertain any propositions for compromise from the Independents. It has been pretty generally believed that it was the State Committee that was authorized to negotiate for an adjustment of present troubles. He says he will regard the Independents as his bitterest foes from this on. In this the Senator shows a correct appreciation of their position toward him. But where Senator Cameron received power to say when negotiations should close is unknown. The truth is patent that he is figuring, not for Republican success, but to prolong his individual prestige in the State. Realizing that a new Convention and a new ticket would unite the party by ignoring him as the supreme power in the State, he is desperately opposed to such a result.—*Mercer Republican*.

The Pittsburgh Leader reports an interview with Hon. S. H. Miller, published in the New York Herald, in which he says: "I think that every effort should be put forth by what is called the regular Republican organization to harmonize the difficulties existing in the party between that wing and the Independents. And if the Independent organization—and I include in this the candidates and the people who are supporting them—should refuse to harmonize on any other terms than that all the candidates put in nomination by the May Convention and also by the Independent Convention should be withdrawn and a new convention held, where none of the now nominated candidates should be aspirants for renomination, the regular organization should consider the proposition with great care and, to my mind, should entertain it favorably."

As well known, Judge Agnew's name was before the Independent State Convention, of May 24, for Governor. Shortly after that Convention he had occasion to write a letter to the Philadelphia Evening Telegraph, in reply to some criticisms it had made on his political views. In this letter he refers, in the introductory part of it, to a former one he wrote, in 1878, denouncing bossism and Hoyt, Cameron & Co. He then goes on and gives his views of the present independent movement as published in the Citizen before last.

We never heard of or saw the full, entire letter, until we saw it in the Eagle of this place, and circumstances made us then doubt its being genuine.

However, in a few days we saw the main portion of it going the rounds of papers now called Stalwart or Regular, and about the same time we received from Thomas V. Cooper, Chairman of the Regular State Committee, this same main portion of the letter with a request to publish it. This we did, taking it from the Greenville, Pa., Advance-Argus, which we preferred, and which is the same as sent us by Cooper, except the heading, and the same as again appeared in the Eagle last week. Now the whole matter is, that these two bachelors, Thomas Cooper and Thomas Robinson don't seem to understand one another. If there is any "garbling" in the matter, Cooper is the guilty party, and to him we refer the innocent but honest senior editor of the Eagle. We have yet just as Cooper sent it and it can be seen by any one. It is the same as was generally published, and we suppose the first or introductory part was dropped simply because it was not the "pith and substance of the letter," but because it was mainly introductory to what followed. We had no object or desire to withhold any part of it and thought we were giving what was most desired. But the "Senior editor" of the Eagle sieves upon it as an attempt to "garble" and do injustice to Judge Agnew. And this, too, the same Judge Agnew whom he, with other Cameron bachelors, struck down four years ago, 1878, by defeating his re-nomination for Judge of the Supreme Court. The writer of this was in that State Convention and labored and voted for the re-nomination of Judge Agnew. The senior editor of the Eagle, who in feigned phrases is now commanding him, was then objecting to him on the ground that he was "too old" to be continued on the Bench. Judge Agnew knows who are his friends and we have good reason for saying he knows the senior editor of the Eagle has not been one of

A TROUBLED SPIRIT.

The case of the "Senior editor" of the Eagle is indeed a sad one. His righteous and honest soul is again troubled. Things political have again gone wrong with him, and again he is tormented. The Republican party of the county could stand it, but he could not. He should, by this time, have learned to bear his defeats, and to yield to the will of the majority, as expressed at the primaries, with becoming grace and composure. By kicking, growling, snapping and complaining now he only brings the more to public notice the fact that he feels "hurt," because that he was "left." But it is the old adage, of "the pig under the gate that squeals." Ever since the late Republican primary election he has been attacking this one or that one. In the first issue of the paper he controls it was a growl and a complaint about the Chairman of the County Committee, Mr. Walker. This was permitted to be passed without notice. Then in the next issue, under the pretext of masking the customary reference to the nominees of our county, which he had failed to do the previous week, he did so in a covert, disparaging manner, evidently intended to injure them. Against Mr. Walker particularly he revived the matter of his vote in the Legislature for Mr. Gove for United States Senator, as against Mr. Oliver, Cameron's man. In this he forgot entirely that one of the candidates on the Regular State ticket, which he has at the head of the Eagle, did precisely the same thing that Mr. Walker did. William T. Davies, nominee for Lieutenant Governor, then in the State Senate, voted with and like Mr. Walker on that question all through that contest. How does the "Senior editor" of the Eagle reconcile this glaring inconsistency? If he is candid and sincere, and not hypocritical, as to that vote, he should add the name of Mr. Davies to his list of "disorganizers," and call upon the people not to "place a premium" on such conduct by voting for him. But the truth is that if a vote of the Republicans of this county was now taken on that vote of Mr. Walker, and Mr. Davies as well, it would be approved by nine-tenths, or more, of the party in this county. All this, however, we let pass unnoticed at the time. Then in the next issue of the Eagle he revives his charges against Mr. Walker, Chairman of the County Committee. This also was again permitted to pass, not noticed. But finally he grows more bold and, instead of taking the hint given by our silence, makes a charge against the "Senior editor" of this paper. The charge is that in publishing a certain recent letter of the Hon. Daniel Agnew we "garbled" and "distorted" it, etc. Without stopping to correct his idea of "garbling," a brief statement will show how little truth there is in the matter and how he attempts to make something out of nothing.

As is well known, Judge Agnew's name was before the Independent State Convention, of May 24, for Governor. Shortly after that Convention he had occasion to write a letter to the Philadelphia Evening Telegraph, in reply to some criticisms it had made on his political views. In this letter he refers, in the introductory part of it, to a former one he wrote, in 1878, denouncing bossism and Hoyt, Cameron & Co. He then goes on and gives his views of the present independent movement as published in the Citizen before last. We never heard of or saw the full, entire letter, until we saw it in the Eagle of this place, and circumstances made us then doubt its being genuine. However, in a few days we saw the main portion of it going the rounds of papers now called Stalwart or Regular, and about the same time we received from Thomas V. Cooper, Chairman of the Regular State Committee, this same main portion of the letter with a request to publish it. This we did, taking it from the Greenville, Pa., Advance-Argus, which we preferred, and which is the same as sent us by Cooper, except the heading, and the same as again appeared in the Eagle last week. Now the whole matter is, that these two bachelors, Thomas Cooper and Thomas Robinson don't seem to understand one another. If there is any "garbling" in the matter, Cooper is the guilty party, and to him we refer the innocent but honest senior editor of the Eagle. We have yet just as Cooper sent it and it can be seen by any one. It is the same as was generally published, and we suppose the first or introductory part was dropped simply because it was not the "pith and substance of the letter," but because it was mainly introductory to what followed. We had no object or desire to withhold any part of it and thought we were giving what was most desired. But the "Senior editor" of the Eagle sieves upon it as an attempt to "garble" and do injustice to Judge Agnew. And this, too, the same Judge Agnew whom he, with other Cameron bachelors, struck down four years ago, 1878, by defeating his re-nomination for Judge of the Supreme Court. The writer of this was in that State Convention and labored and voted for the re-nomination of Judge Agnew. The senior editor of the Eagle, who in feigned phrases is now commanding him, was then objecting to him on the ground that he was "too old" to be continued on the Bench. Judge Agnew knows who are his friends and we have good reason for saying he knows the senior editor of the Eagle has not been one of

them. And we have further reason to know that he is not now supporting all of the Harrisburg Convention ticket. Our conscience is easy as to our treatment of his letter and all other political matter, and when we want any lessons on political honesty we will not likely apply to the senior editor of the Eagle. We have so far given the proceedings and principal "utterances" of both wings of the Republican party as now in this State. Can we say as much? Not at all. We published the letters of Hons. George Lear and Thomas M. Marshall on the political situation, as he admits; but did he do the same? By no means. We published Gen. Beaver's speech, made after his nomination, and Col. Stewart's, made in Pittsburgh after his nomination, but did he publish the latter? By no means. He would lose his head office head—if he did that. We are a freeman, he is a henchman, and dare not publish what perhaps, in some cases he would like to. While he is now so disinterestedly supporting one of the State tickets on the ground of regularity, at the same time, in the same issues of the paper he controls, he is virtually opposing our county ticket, regularly and fairly nominated. Out upon such inconsistency! Out upon such dishonesty!

THE SITUATION.

The Independent Republican State Committee meets in Philadelphia tomorrow, 27th inst. As this may be the last of the meetings of the State Committee, of the two wings of the Republican party, for some time to come, we sincerely hope the pending efforts for union will yet reach a conclusion. While the proposition of the candidates on the Independent ticket, made to the candidates on the Regular ticket, that both should entirely withdraw, and not be candidates before a new convention, has not been accepted by the Regulars, and while this course was the one that would have secured perfect harmony and peace, yet we think the Independent State Committee that meets to-morrow should now accept the third proposition, made on July 12, by the Regular Committee. This will secure a new convention, and that is the point we believe nine-tenths of the Republican party of this county—and of the State—most ardently desire. As far as the Regular candidates are concerned they are now at a disadvantage from the fact of their refusing the offer to withdraw made to them by the Independent candidates. In place of accepting them they shielded themselves behind their State Committee. But that was a question or mode of settlement by and among the candidates only, and that mode may now be considered as at an end. The other and remaining mode, to wit, a new primary and a new convention irrespective as to who may or may not be candidates, is another question and for the Committee to act upon and determine. And, as we say, the meeting to-morrow will likely be the sole chance left for a new State Convention. We hope it may conclude to accept the pending proposition. The people are to be trusted on all questions and at all times, and if a primary and a new convention are secured, before by the time of the meeting of that new convention, things that now look difficult will have so worked themselves out that the ticket then nominated would likely heal all sores and secure success in November.

As is well known, Judge Agnew's name was before the Independent State Convention, of May 24, for Governor. Shortly after that Convention he had occasion to write a letter to the Philadelphia Evening Telegraph, in reply to some criticisms it had made on his political views. In this letter he refers, in the introductory part of it, to a former one he wrote, in 1878, denouncing bossism and Hoyt, Cameron & Co. He then goes on and gives his views of the present independent movement as published in the Citizen before last. We never heard of or saw the full, entire letter, until we saw it in the Eagle of this place, and circumstances made us then doubt its being genuine. However, in a few days we saw the main portion of it going the rounds of papers now called Stalwart or Regular, and about the same time we received from Thomas V. Cooper, Chairman of the Regular State Committee, this same main portion of the letter with a request to publish it. This we did, taking it from the Greenville, Pa., Advance-Argus, which we preferred, and which is the same as sent us by Cooper, except the heading, and the same as again appeared in the Eagle last week. Now the whole matter is, that these two bachelors, Thomas Cooper and Thomas Robinson don't seem to understand one another. If there is any "garbling" in the matter, Cooper is the guilty party, and to him we refer the innocent but honest senior editor of the Eagle. We have yet just as Cooper sent it and it can be seen by any one. It is the same as was generally published, and we suppose the first or introductory part was dropped simply because it was not the "pith and substance of the letter," but because it was mainly introductory to what followed. We had no object or desire to withhold any part of it and thought we were giving what was most desired. But the "Senior editor" of the Eagle sieves upon it as an attempt to "garble" and do injustice to Judge Agnew. And this, too, the same Judge Agnew whom he, with other Cameron bachelors, struck down four years ago, 1878, by defeating his re-nomination for Judge of the Supreme Court. The writer of this was in that State Convention and labored and voted for the re-nomination of Judge Agnew. The senior editor of the Eagle, who in feigned phrases is now commanding him, was then objecting to him on the ground that he was "too old" to be continued on the Bench. Judge Agnew knows who are his friends and we have good reason for saying he knows the senior editor of the Eagle has not been one of

them. And we have further reason to know that he is not now supporting all of the Harrisburg Convention ticket. Our conscience is easy as to our treatment of his letter and all other political matter, and when we want any lessons on political honesty we will not likely apply to the senior editor of the Eagle. We have so far given the proceedings and principal "utterances" of both wings of the Republican party as now in this State. Can we say as much? Not at all. We published the letters of Hons. George Lear and Thomas M. Marshall on the political situation, as he admits; but did he do the same?

By J. R. Coulter, Rev. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coulter. Rev. Decker was called upon and lead the devotional exercises. R. P. Black chosen to act as secretary during the sitting of present convention, and F. Findley to act as stenographer. Mr. Harvey Campbell then delivered the address of welcome, followed in response by Rev. J. L. Stratton. Rev. Stratton being called to order by chairman, Rev. J. R. Coul