

BUTLER CITIZEN.

New Advertisements. Notice of filing of final accounts. Jury Lists for Regular June Term.

LOCAL AND GENERAL.

The Miller farm well was drilled to a depth of 1,740 feet. A lot of second-hand Watches cheap for cash, at E. Grieb's.

The Pittsburgh family named Reynolds, lately poisoned by their colored hired girl, have recovered.

Ladies' Gossamer Gun Coats, cheapest in Butler, at Heck & Patterson's.

Two or three new candidates for County Jury Commissioner will be seen in our announcement list to-day.

The largest and handsomest line of Canton, Renfrew and Lancaster Dress Gingham in Butler county at L. STEIN & SON'S.

Men whose memories carry them back for over half a century, cannot remember another Spring so backward as this.

Maj. James P. Wick, of Sugar-creek twp., Armstrong county, died at the advanced age of 72 years, on Thursday April 27th, last.

You can have a nice violin for 50 cents at J. F. T. Stehle's.

If you can't go to sleep eat a quart of onions and you will accomplish your end.—E. G.

If you don't you ought to.—Clothing for Men's, Boys' and Children's wear, cheap, at Heck & Patterson's.

None of the boys, even the Sunday school boys, "want to be angels" this year. There are forty-six circuses on the road.

You can always see the latest novelties in Ladies' Neckwear at L. STEIN & SON'S.

Commissioners Cochran and Collins visited Buffalo twp., last Monday on business connected with the building of the new bridge over the Little Buffalo.

Moire Ribbons, Moire Sash Ribbons, largest line of Ribbons in Butler, at L. STEIN & SON'S.

Mr. J. J. Dunn, of Marion twp., had this spring two ewes that had three lambs, and seven that had fourteen, two each, twenty in all.

Go to J. F. T. Stehle's and see Ole Bull Patent Violin.

Mr. B. C. Heselton tells our readers, this week, what he can do in Ladies', Misses', Gents', Boys' and Children's' boots and shoes. It will pay you to read his announcement carefully.

You will find the best assortment of Fringes, Ornaments, Passementries and Buttons in Butler and the lowest prices at L. STEIN & SON'S.

The person who borrowed from the County Commissioners' office the copy of Purdon's Digest that belongs to the county, is respectfully requested to return it immediately.

New Silks, New Satins, New Warp Satins, New Surah Satins, Silk Wore Cashmere and Nans Velling at L. STEIN & SON'S.

Dabs the widely known Pittsburgh Photographer, is taken on his pictures now by the instantaneous process. He gets better expressions. Just think of it, your picture taken quick as a wink.

For handsome long feathers, call at Mrs. S. E. Sloan's, Cunningham St., near Post Office. ma3,4t

Mr. Henry Korn, of this place, has received a pension for military services of near \$1,700. A soldier now living in Portersville, this county, has received over \$1,900 of a pension. Truly our Government is good.

A new line of Black Cashmeres that excel in elegance of finish, quality and durability at L. STEIN & SON'S.

Mr. J. M. Carson, one of the proprietors of the Eagle and Miss Donaldson, daughter of John Donaldson of this town, were married last Wednesday evening.

Old Gold, Tan, and all new Spring Colors in Kid, Silk and Life Gloves at L. STEIN & SON'S.

In the case of the Receiver of the First National Bank of Butler against the Citizens' and Fifth National Banks of Pittsburgh, the particulars of which have been already given, judgment was rendered in the U. S. Circuit Court last Monday for full amount of plaintiff's claims.

Novelties in Gloves at L. STEIN & SON'S.

The Gas Company's property of this town was sold by the U. S. Marshal for this district, at his office in Pittsburgh last Tuesday at 11 a. m., to Jno. M. Thompson for \$4,950. This property was sold subject to mortgages amounting to about \$4,500, held by the Butler Savings Bank and Chas. Duffy.

Colored Cashmeres in all the new spring shades at L. STEIN & SON'S.

Mr. Geo. W. Hamilton, Deputy Collector of the Internal Revenue for this district spent last week in Butler for the purpose of receiving the taxes from the dealers in and the manufacturers of tobacco and liquor in this county. The National Government collects about \$4,000 annually in this county from these sources.

Lord Cavendish, Secretary and Henry Burke, Under Secretary for Ireland, were stabbed to death in one of the public parks of Dublin last Saturday evening. The affair has created great excitement in England.

Mrs. S. A. Sloan has returned from New York with the finest assortment of millinery goods ever brought to Butler. Cunningham St., near the Post Office.

The house of Mr. James Allen of Clay twp., caught fire on Monday last and was partly destroyed before the fire was discovered. All the family were away at the time, and the fire was discovered by a passer-by who gave the alarm, and the house was saved by the neighbors.

Gents' fine white and colored shirts, low prices, at Heck & Patterson's.

Mr. John Biederman brought a large lot of fine fruit and ornamental trees to Butler last week. These were from the famous Stone nurseries of Rochester, N. Y., which is one of the most reliable nurseries in the United States.

Mr. John Biederman brought a large lot of fine fruit and ornamental trees to Butler last week. These were from the famous Stone nurseries of Rochester, N. Y., which is one of the most reliable nurseries in the United States.

The bodies of Capt. De Long and ten of his men have been found on the delta of the Lena river in Siberia. Lieut. Chipp's boat was likely capsized during the gale that separated the three boats and all in it drowned. Melville and the men in his boat, including Lieut. Danenbower, and two of the men of Capt. De Long's boat, who were in better condition than the rest of the party and who pushed ahead of the rest in the hope of finding food for the crew of the Jeannette. Of all the expeditions that have ever been sent to explore the Arctic Sea this one has been the most unfortunate.

Heck & Patterson are closing overcoats out, at less than cost to manufacture.

A curious case, in which the Jury disagreed, was the trial before a York District Court last week. It was one to determine the age of Judge Speir. The Judge himself says he is seventy, while a number of persons familiar with his family and himself testified that he is in reality a year older than he thinks he is. It is quite too bad if a man cannot be permitted to know his own age.

On the decision rested who is the Judge's successor.—The Governor's appointee, Mr. Arnoux, or Mr. O'Gorman who was put on the Democratic ticket, last fall and elected, on the claim that there was a legal vacancy by reason of Judge Speir's being past the retirement age. The disagreement of the Jury leaves Mr. Arnoux in possession of the office.

WANTED.—To buy 2,000 cords of chestnut oak bark. Call and get prices &c., at Roessing's tannery.

The crop reports are most cheering. In spite of predictions of crop disaster, caused by frosts, and a very backward spring, there comes the assurance of an abundant harvest of all kinds, except perhaps hay and fruit. Winter wheat promises to give an increased yield in nearly every State of the Union.

Ohio. Spring wheat is much more promising, with the prospect of a marked increase in the great grain-growing States of the West and North-west, the estimates in some cases go as high as fifty per cent. over last year's yield. Insects and rusts are reported in some places, but no alarm is felt outside of Tennessee.

Corn shows a large increase in all the States where it is grown. In the South the fact is mentioned that corn is replacing cotton to some extent. This indicates the new idea of agricultural possibilities that is spreading among a class of farmers who have clung conservatively to the one crop of cotton.

A Grand Musical Feast Thursday May 11th, at 8 p. m. Dr. Louis Von Meyerhoff's Musical Recital at the Court House. Don't fail to come if you love and appreciate music. Programmes at the door. The musical instruments have been ordered from New York for this special occasion by our reliable music dealer, William Harvey. Admission 25 cents, Children 15cents. may3-2t.

Farmers who leased their coal lands in the northern part of Butler county in the years 1863 and 1864 to Messrs. Kyle, Niblock and Brechin, will find it to their interest to confer with J. C. Vanderlin, attorney at Butler, in regard to their leases. There is a covenant in these leases to pay yearly rentals, so many years after a railroad was built on or near the lands leased, one year after a demand. Failing to make these payments as stipulated for within the year they are considered an abandonment of the lease. There are now several years' rental due on these leases and farmers wishing to get rid of the lease must make a demand on the company, Mercer mining and manufacturing, now owning the leases, by himself or his attorney. The party of the 2nd part in the lease failing to pay within the year, is a breach of covenant, which taken advantage of, the Court on proper application will strike off the lease. Mr. Vanderlin has been employed by quite a number of the farmers to examine their leases, make this demand—and if possible have them stricken from the record, and if there are others who have not yet taken action in the matter here to secure the payment of the money due or to have their leases given forfeited, they should apply to Mr. Vanderlin at once.

DeLong and Party Found Dead WASHINGTON, May 5.—Secretary Chandler received to-night the following telegram (forwarded from Irkutsk.) from Engineer Melville: Lena Delta, March 24, 1882.—Secretary of the Navy Washington, D. C., U. S. A.—Found De Long and party dead. Found all papers and books. Continue search for Chipp.

MELVILLE With reference to the chances of life for Chipp's party Lieutenant Danenbower says: "I think his boat swamped during the gale, for she nearly did so on a previous occasion, and was a very bad sea boat. If he succeeded in reaching the coast he had less food than the other boats and his chances of life were therefore worse than the Captain's party."

Men's, Boys' and Children's overcoats, at less than cost, at Heck & Patterson's.

Court House Notes.

The petition of Phillip Sintzel, assignee of Henry Wolf, for the appointment of two appraisers was granted, and Court appoint E. V. Randolph and George Harting to be the appraisers.

Messrs. E. McDonald, Jas. Wright, J. C. Moore, James McCafferty, Robt. Stephenson, James Mitchell and Thos. Meckling, were appointed viewers to assess damages in the case of Mrs. Mary B. Monte vs. the Pittsburgh & Western R. Co.

Mr. Hugh McLaughlin was appointed Supervisor of Donaght twp., vice B. J. Forquer who has moved out of the State.

The will of Carl Schomker was probated Monday and letters granted to Jacob Schomker; also will of Mary Reed on 4th inst., and letters to J. M. Lindsey and S. B. Knox, also will of Adam Allard, May 9, and letters to H. H. Gallaher.

CONRAD EICHLITZ vs. Henry Nagle, for disturbance and obstruction of private road.

Wm. Say vs. J. C. Vanderlin; appeal by defendant from Justice's decision.

Butler Savings Bank vs. Jno. M. Thompson Secy. Fa., to reverse judgment, and notice to terre tenants and occupants, 4 cases.

Thomas Donnelly vs. James E. Brown, B. B. Campbell and others; Sci. Fa. sur. mortgage—amount claimed \$27,532 46.

Duff McKean & Co., vs. Benj. Honner and Charles Seeley, attachment to satisfy judgment.

ANOTHER COSTS CASE. In the case of Peter Kramer, Constable, W. H. H. Sheriff, W. A. Wright, Clerk, and W. A. Forquer, District Attorney vs. the County, for costs, which accrued in the case of the Commonwealth vs. Daniel Evans and Mary Jane Kennedy, on a charge of assigning property to defraud creditors, a stated case was made for the judgment of the Court. The following is Judge McLaughlin's opinion in the case stated: "The defendants were discharged on habeas corpus in pursuance of the provisions of the 54 Sec. of the act of 31 March, 1860, Purdon, page 388, p. 57. They were, therefore, discharged according to law and the Court would probably have the power to direct as to payment of cost. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued. The facts of the case stated show that the cases were continued from term to term and trial referred by the Court under protest from both Commonwealth and defendants. It would be a hardship and unjust, therefore, to impose costs on either prosecutor or defendants. It was not the fault of either that the cases were continued.