

B. F. SCHWEIER, EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR.

Republican State Ticket.

Governor, GEORGE W. DELAMATER, Crawford County. Lieutenant Governor, LOUIS A. WATRES, Lackawanna County.

Secretary of Internal Affairs, THOMAS J. STEWART, Montgomery County.

Victor E. Probert, a prominent citizen of Towanda, Pa., died Aug. 27, aged 78 years.

Farmers in the South, claim that they are "better off" now, than any time since the war.

Governor Patterson, did not put in an appearance at the Grangers' picnic at Williams' Grove on Democratic day.

Chauncey F. Black, and other speakers delivered speeches on Democratic day at Williams' Grove, last week.

Governor Beaver delivered a speech at Williams' Grove on Republican day. By the Governor's speech, his hearers learned that the state debt is almost extinguished, and that by comparison, his administration exceeded the Patterson administration in reducing the indebtedness of the Commonwealth.

In his speech at the Grangers' picnic last week, Governor Beaver said, in comparing the amount of relief extended by Patterson's administration in aid of local taxation: "By the end of the month we shall have paid during the last year, ten million dollars in aid of local taxation, instead of one million as paid annually during the last administration."

Congressmen Beckwith of New York and Wilson of Washington, got into a rough and tumble fight in Congress when the compound Land bill was up for debate.

The men laid out over what had been said about by two other Congressmen. After the battle the belligerents expressed regret for their uncalculated fight. The Democratic brethren whooped up the fight.

Senator Delamater attended the Grangers' picnic at Williams' Grove on Republican day last week and delivered a speech in which he explained the reason the Grangers' bill for the equalization of taxes was not passed. The bill passed the House, and was sent to the Senate, and was there referred to a committee of which he was a member.

He moved to have the bill returned to the floor of the Senate, but his motion was voted down. His political enemies are generally men who do not understand the processes of legislation, and are responsible for misrepresenting his position on the Granger bill.

Men and Their Hobbies.

A statement made by a wise man is that "Every honest man has a hobby." The man in question did not use these precise words, says the American Cultivator, but they amount to the same in substance.

A man who is always tinkering around, making something or other in the mechanical line, is never found spending his leisure hours in a gin mill or saloon. The young man whose hobby is study will be found at his books as soon as his day's work is done and supper is swallowed.

The chap who has "music on the brain" will be puffing and scraping his instrument early and late, until his friends almost wish he would quit his hobby and relegate himself to the rum shop.

Many young men ride a mechanical hobby, and are often building experimental machines, and making "young" steam engines. To such men, electricity possesses a most enticing field. There is no end to the directions in which thought may be profitably turned in connection with electricity. Well developed as it is, electricity is as yet almost an unknown thing, which will require lifetimes of study to reduce to the full understanding of all. Electricity is the future power of the world as it has always been its life, although unknown and uncomprehended for ages.

That a young man will waste hours and days of his life in doing worse than nothing when he has such a field before him, is scarcely to be comprehended, but it is a disgraceful fact. Let the young men awake to the idea that the advance of the world depends upon them personally; that years to come may be better or worse, as they choose to study or to be idle, and it seems as though they would quit beer drinking, dice shaking, and card shuffling instantly, to avail themselves of the privileges before them.

A man may be about what he makes himself, nowadays, and if he chooses to become a sot, the way is open; if he chooses to become a power in the land he can do so by going to work in that direction and keeping at it.

A Canning Baker.

There is an enterprising baker at Vienna, who advertises his business by putting a gold ducat in one of every thousand loaves that he bakes. The poor people of the suburban

district in which his shop is situated fight with the frenzy of starving hyenas to purchase his loaves, and his trade has received a boom that makes his competitors fairly howl with impotent envy. The man of dough certainly is entitled to the largest and sweetest cake in his own bakery, and may be generous enough to present it to himself.

Swindled.

"Many farmers of Bucks and Northampton counties have been lately 'taken in' by numerous advertisements of cheap sets of furniture and elaborate oil paintings at ridiculously low prices, circulated by Napfleecers."

John Beaver, a farmer of Berks township, Northampton county, sent 75 cents to New York, for which he was to get a set of parlor furniture. He geared up his two-horse team to cart it home, but to his discomfiture, he beheld at the station a box eight inches long, containing in it about 10 cents worth of toy furniture. Another farmer of Newportville, Bucks county, sent 20 cents worth of postage stamps to the metropolis to get a couple of "handmade" oil paintings. They proved to be two cigarette pictures.

Horse Sale.

L. Banks Wilson, will sell at Mifflintown at the Jacobs House. Thursday September 11th 1890, a lot of fine western horses, single and double drivers. Among the lot are a pair of stallions, one an extra English shire stallion colt—Terms will be as at previous sales.

Nevada's Salt Mountains.

The salt mountains located on the banks of the Rio Virgin, an affluent of the Colorado river in Lincoln Co., Nevada, cover an area of twenty miles, extending to within seven miles of the junction of that stream with the Colorado. The salt they contain is pure and white and clear, or than glass, and it is said that a piece seven or eight inches thick is sometimes clear enough to see through to read a newspaper. Over the salt is a layer of sandstone from two to eight feet thick, and when this is torn away the salt appears like a high snow drift. How deep it is, has not yet been ascertained, but a single blast of giant powder will blow out tons of it. Under the cap rock have been discovered charred wood and charcoal, and matting made of cedar bark, which the salt has preserved, evidently the camp of prehistoric man.

Democratic Primary Election.

On Monday the Democratic convention of return Judges met in the Court House to count the vote cast on Saturday at the primary election throughout the county. Joseph Humphrey was elected chairman with Wm. Jackson and Robert E. Parker Secretaries.

The vote was announced by the President, as follows: For Assembly, J. Calvin Crawford 848 votes; W. H. Knouse 370 votes; For Prothonotary, John W. Hibbs, 812 votes; S. E. Ard 372 votes; For County Treasurer, Harry S. Scholl 611 votes; T. H. McClure 593 votes.

County Commissioner: Irwin B. Clark, 177 votes; W. VanOrmer, 390 votes; J. M. Winegardner, 301 votes; John Ballentine, 502 votes; M. W. Swartz, 118 votes; Absalom Rice, 112 votes; David Beale, 707 votes.

District Attorney, J. Howard Neely, 1164 votes. County Auditor, Jacob Hostetter, 1200 votes.

Chairman County Committee, Edward E. Berry, 406 votes; John A. Leach, 793 votes.

The ticket was declared to be Crawford for Assembly; Hibbs for Prothonotary; Scholl for Treasurer; Ballentine and Beale for Commissioners; Neely for District Attorney; Hostetter for County Auditor; Leach for County Chairman.

After passing a series of typical democratic resolutions the convention adjourned to meet no more.

A Blood-Sucking Plant.

A PECULIAR VINE THAT GROWS IN THE WOODS OF NICARAGUA.

Leroy Dunstan, a well known naturalist of New Orleans, who has recently returned from Central America, where he has spent nearly two years in the study of flora fauna of the country, makes the following of a singular growth in one of the swamps which surround the great lake of Nicaragua. He was engaged in hunting for botanical and entomological specimens in this swamp, when he heard his dog cry out as if in agony, from a distance. Running to the spot from which the animal's cries came, Mr. Dunstan found him enveloped in a perfect network of what seemed to be a fine, rope like tissue of roots or fibers, the nature of which was unknown to him.

The plant or vine seemed composed entirely of bare, interlacing stems resembling more than anything else denuded of all foliage, but of a dark, thick, viscid gum that exuded from the pores. Drawing his knife, Dunstan endeavored to cut the animal free, but it was only with the greatest difficulty that he succeeded in severing the fleshy, muscular fiber. To amaze and the naturalist then saw that dog's body was covered with blood, while his hairless skin appeared to have been actually sucked or packed in spots, and the animal staggered as if from weakness and exhaustion.

In cutting the vine the twigs curled like living, sinuous fingers about Mr. Dunstan's hand and it required no slight force to free the members from the clinging clasp, which left the flesh red and blistered. The gum exuding from the vine was of a dark grayish tinge, remarkably adhesive and of a disagreeable animal order, very powerful and nauseating to inhale.

The native servants who accompanied Mr. Dunstan manifested the greatest horror of the vine, which they call a sanguine de diablo—the devil's seine, or snare—and were full of stories of its death-dealing powers. One of these stories was of an Englishman residing in Managua, who while hunting in the swamp a few years before, lay down beneath a tree where a large and powerful specimen of this singular plant was growing, and inadvertently falling

asleep, awoke to find himself enveloped in its web, and in spite of every effort made to extricate him, perished in its deadly embrace.

Another story is told of an escaped convict, who had hidden in the swamp, and whose bones had been in the folds of the sargens only a short time before Mr. Dunstan's visit. These stories, remarkably as they may seem, are firmly believed in by the people, but the only three specimens which Mr. Dunstan was able to find were all small ones, through the meshes of the largest would probably, if extended in a straight line measure nearly if not quite 100 feet.

He able to discover very little about the nature of the plant owing to the difficulty of handling it, for its grasp can only be torn away with loss of skin, and even of flesh; but as near as Mr. Dunstan could ascertain, its power of suction is contained in a number of infinitesimal mouths or little suckers, which, ordinarily close open for the reception of food.—Frank Leslie's Monthly.

Coroner's Jury Verdict. The coroner's jury sitting on the case of Antonio Valenti, found drowned in the river Monday of last week returned the following finding Wednesday:

From the evidence we believe Antonio Valenti came to his death by foul means, and that John Comolla (an Italian) and Wm. Hazlett (colored) were the parties who committed the felony and murder, and afterwards did flee away. That the jury is unable to come to the conclusion as to the manner of his death, but believe the foregoing parties killed him. That the body of the deceased was found floating in the Juniata river between the first and second piers of the railroad bridge at Lewistown, on the borough side.

The jury base their verdict on the following facts: Comolla and Hazlett were last seen in company with Valenti near the stove house, Saturday night, a week, about 11 o'clock, when they seemed to be quarreling. Hazlett is reported to have made certain threats against Valenti before this for some alleged injury, and has not been seen since that Saturday night, and while Comolla returned to work at the mill, and was there Monday morning, he disappeared soon after Valenti's body was recovered. There was an abrasion on the back of Valenti's head as if made by a club, which some contend broke his neck, and blood exuded from his mouth when he was raised from the water. This is the circumstantial evidence against the indicted, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Valenti might have attempted to come back over the railroad bridge to obtain more liquor at the brewery and fallen through, and in falling obtained the abrasion on the back of his neck. Hazlett would have disappeared anyhow because of his theft of aboves, &c., which he indicated, but it is from being conclusive, for Val