

THE HONESDALE CITIZEN : THURSDAY MORNING, JULY 23, 1908.

THE CITIZEN

H. WILSON, & Editors and Publishers
H. A. PENNISON, & Editors and Publishers

THURSDAY MORNING, JULY 23, 1908.

REPUBLICAN TICKET.

NATIONAL
FOR PRESIDENT.
WM. HOWARD TAFT, of Ohio.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT.
JAMES S. SHIRMAN, of New York.

FOR ELECTOR AT LARGE.
MORRIS L. CLOTHIER, of Philadelphia.

BENJAMIN F. JONES, Jr., of Pittsburgh.

FOR DISTRICT ELECTORS,

DIST.

1-John Burt
2-H. A. Davis
3-P. T. Connor
4-W. Thomas Shimpel
5-E. W. Patton
6-G. J. Elliott
7-A. J. McCullough
8-J. D. Abbott
9-J. M. P. Hayes
10-J. J. Phillips
11-J. L. Newell
12-E. H. Miller
13-W. T. McElveen
14-W. T. Williams
15-D. J. Waller

DIST.

17-W. B. Seale
18-Robt. C. Neal, Sr.
19-J. C. Neal, Jr.
20-J. C. Neal, Jr.
21-W. F. Heronides
22-A. J. McCullough
23-J. D. Abbott
24-Judge F. Taylor
25-J. J. Dowling
26-J. J. Dowling
27-T. T. Wilson
28-J. C. Neal
29-O. A. Babcock
30-J. L. Williams

STATE.
FOR JUDGES OF SUPERIOR COURT.
WM. D. PORTER, of Allegheny.

DISTRICT.
FOR CONGRESS,
CHARLES P. O'FARRELL, of Susquehanna.

COUNTY.
FOR REPRESENTATIVE,
W. E. PERHAM, of Preston.

FOR SENATE,
M. LEE BRAHAM, of Honesdale.

FOR PHOTOBALSTY, ETC.,
WALLACE J. BARNES, of Berlin.

FOR REGISTER AND RECORDER,
ALFRED O. BLAKE, of Bethany.

FOR COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,
J. K. HORNEBECK, of Equisunk.

THOMAS C. MADDEN, of Drexel.

FOR COUNTY AUDITOR,
ARTHUR W. LARABEE, of Starucca.

W. B. LEITCH, of Sterling.

FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY,
MYRON E. SIMONS, of Honesdale.

"And Thrice He Slays the Slain."

The Democracy and its leaders have two specialties for campaign purposes. One of these is to promise or demand the impossible; the other is to promise or demand things already accomplished by the Republican party. The Dealer platform presents the Democracy's specialties of the former and it further exhibits a striking instance of the latter. In relation to campaign contributions by corporations, it makes the following declaration:

"We pledge the Democratic party to the enactment of a law preventing any corporation contributing to a campaign fund."

In order that this practice shall be stopped for all time we demand a statute of a statute punishing with imprisonment any officer of a corporation who authorizes or consents to the giving of or consent to the contribution by a corporation to a political party, or to any other person or body politic.

It is to be voted for, or any other member of Congress.

So far as this practice can be ended by federal legislation, the Republican party did all that is possible, nearly a year and a half before the Denver platform declared that it ought to be done. An act of Congress, passed by Republicans House and Senate, and approved by a Republican President January 26, 1907, contains the following drastic provisions:

"That it shall be unlawful for any national or state corporation organized by act of assembly, or by any other means, to make a money contribution in connection with any election to any public office, or to any other person or body politic."

That it shall be unlawful for any national or state corporation organized by act of assembly, or by any other means, to give to any corporation which has the right, these proportions must be established. First, that the public shall go upon the land and warning all persons against trespassing by the owner in the manner prescribed by the act, and that the notice of trespass be given to the owner in writing. Nowhere in the record proper is it expressly stated that the land was in fact owned by the defendant, and therefore it is impossible to determine from the facts that the defendant wilfully entered upon the land of David Hopkins, and walked along the bottom for some distance, on the land of Hopkins, fishing. The suit was brought to test his right to do this.

The opinion of the Superior Court, on some points, is too technical to be readily understood by the average layman.

In substance, the decision is that after land has been sold by the State, with no reservation, it belongs to the purchaser and his successors in title, and the State has no power to give any one else any use of it, without the owner's consent, or providing for his compensation. The opinion by President Judge Rice, is as follows:

This case came into the court below by appeal from the defendant from a summary conviction by a justice of the peace, who violated the provisions of the act of April 14, 1905, P. L. 105, entitled, "An act making it unlawful to trespass upon the lands of the Commonwealth, and providing a penalty therefor." As an appeal from the judgment of the trial court, the question at issue does not bring up the evidence that case but before us for review upon any question of fact. We must presume, therefore, that the action was taken in good faith, and that the defendant, as a trespasser, was guilty of a competent and sufficient evidence, and will remember the cheerful greeting accorded him by the all too frank physician. "Pass on," said he; and then to the Secretary of the board, "Strike out his name; he'll be buried before Christmas, anyway." And yet every one connected with the management of that draft long since passed to his reward, including the god-father, while the subject of his disbarment, dying right before the eyes of his disbarred enemies six years afterward, penning these "nearly forgotten facts."

Dr. King was an invalid for two years and a half before painful illness resulted in his death on Wednesday morning, February 6th, 1867. He was survived by his wife and one daughter, a very gifted lady, the wife of Edgar F. Brown, a New York lawyer. Mrs. King sold the property to Clarissa, wife of Edwin E. Gilbert, of the original tailoring firm of Hard, Gilbert & Palmer, who in 1847 bought a tract of a thousand acres of hemlock timber land lying along Carley Brook in Oregon township and 1849 built a tannery there. He E. Gilbert and Jacob K. Palmer were brothers-in-law, and for several years lived in adjoining houses in what is now East Honedale. The tanning firm later became Gilbert, Palmer & Reeds, and eventually included the mercantile establishment in Honesdale, originally started by the Reed Brothers, in what was known as The Arcade. Though for a long time very prosperous, business reverses, following the panic of 1857, brought the firm to grief, and Mr. Gilbert, later on, became one of the proprietors of a tannery at Little Equinunk, in Manchester township, maintaining the family residence in Honesdale. In 1861 he sold the house of Mrs. King. While at Little Equinunk Mr. Gilbert was found dead, kneeling at the bedside in his room, on Thursday, February 23, 1871. He was 65 years of age, and so far as was known had been in good health up to the time of his sudden death. The remains were first brought to the Honesdale home, and then taken to Morris, Osgoode Co., N. Y., for interment. Three months before his death Mr. Gilbert contracted to sell the Church and E. and streets property to the Methodist Episcopal Society of Honesdale for \$100,000, to include in the designation, "all waters" parts of waters that may be navigable by streams or may be declared navigable by acts of assembly in which class of waters, it is claimed, the Lehigh and Lackawaxen rivers, which when a trespass was committed was played by the act of 1851. It is not to be denied that the defendant asserted right of the public to go upon the land and warning all persons against trespassing by the owner in the manner prescribed by the act, and that the notice of trespass be given to the owner in writing. Nowhere in the record proper is it expressly stated that the land was in fact owned by the defendant, and therefore it is impossible to determine from the facts that the defendant wilfully entered upon the land of David Hopkins, and walked along the bottom for some distance, on the land of Hopkins, fishing. The suit was brought to test his right to do this.

The men and women, who more than any others, have been educated in this section of Pennsylvania, have shown a decided indifference, if not contempt, for the moral code of the nation, with regard to the bearing of arms in God and country, and had almost lost faith in God and such a sense of personal responsibility to him as to lead them very soon to become apathetic, if not wholly indifferent, to the welfare of their country and their God.

It is not my intention this evening to enter into any detailed account of the present condition of our country, but I do wish to call your attention to a few facts which have recently come to my knowledge.

Early in the summer of the year 1815, Rev. Mr. Dabbs, a physician, residing in Boston, Massachusetts, wrote to his son, Rev. Mr. Hart, Minister of the Sabbath school teachers and others of the local societies, and directed him to take charge of the Sabbath school in Boston, and to teach the people of Boston to bear up under the trials of war.

Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did so.

It is to be noted that Rev. Mr. Hart, in accordance with the directions of his father, did