



The Largest Circulation of any Paper in the County.

G. E. ANDERSON, Editor. BUTLER PA. WEDNESDAY, MAR. 13, 1867.

Liberty and Union, Now and Forever, One and Indivisible.

The next session of the Zellenople Institute will open April 29, 1867.

Washer. This is said to be one of the best Clothes Washers now in use.

For roofing and other purposes stands unrivaled. Frost does not crack nor heat dissolve it.

McElroy, Dickson & Co., No. 51 Wood Street, Pittsburgh, Pa.

H. C. Heinemann has just received from the East, a splendid stock of Wall Paper.

Fire and Water-Proof Cement. For something useful and enduring read the advertisement.

The trial of J. B. Adlington, for the murder of Sidney B. Cunningham, up to Monday noon, is given in this number of the CITIZEN.

Wilson's Presbyterian Historical Almanac, Vol. 8. Will contain a memoir of the late Dr. NIBLOCK.

Philadelphia University of Medicine and Surgery. The Commencement Exercises of the above Medical College was held last week.

"Scholarships" can be obtained in this city by applying to L. Olds, M. D., who is Professor of Urino-Pathology in the above College.

TO THE EDITORS OF THE BUTLER PAPERS.—Gentlemen: We, the undersigned Grand Jurors of Butler county, March session, 1867, desire, through your columns, to return our sincere thanks to the citizens of Butler and vicinity, who so cheerfully assisted in providing lodging for us, when it could be procured nowhere else, except at Mr. Jack's.

Family Bible. Do you desire to purchase a Family Bible? Rev. John Davis, of Connoqueen township, Butler county, is agent for the Illustrated Family Bible.

Notice. I caused an advertisement of the Hamilton Gold and Silver Mining Company, of Nevada, to be published in the papers of this county, but refused to dispose of the stock until the Superintendent who had been sent to Nevada to ascertain the productiveness of the mines, should return.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

For the American Citizen. MR. EDITOR:—As business called me to Court on Monday of March 4th, I was astonished to find all the Taverns except Mr. Jack's, closed against the citizens of the county.

TRIAL OF JOHN B. ADLINGTON, FOR THE MURDER OF Sidney B. Cunningham

COMMONWEALTH vs. J. B. ADLINGTON, Indicted for Homicide.

WEDNESDAY, March 6, 1867.

John M. Thompson, Attorney for Defendant, rose in Court and asked leave to give notice that he should, at the proper time, move to quash the array of Jurors.

The act of Assembly, said he, specifies the manner in which the writs of Venire shall be issued, executed, served and returned.

THURSDAY, March 7, 1867.

In the Court of Oyer and Terminer of Butler county, March 7th, 1867. Before His Honor, Lawrence L. McGuffin, and his Associates Joseph Cummings and Thos. Garvey, Judges.

W. H. H. Kiddle, District Attorney; E. M. Junkin and L. Z. Mitchell, for Prosecution.

Thompson & Lyon, Charles M. Candless, J. N. Purviance, of Butler; and C. McCarthy, of Pittsburgh, counsels for Defendant.

About 4 o'clock, John B. Adlington, the prisoner, was brought into open Court for the purpose of arraignment and trial, when John M. Thompson, Esq., one of the counsels for the prisoner, made a motion to quash the array of Grand Jurors, for the following reasons:

1st. It does not appear by the return of the Sheriff or otherwise, that the Jurors were legally drawn.

2nd. The Commissioners did not make out and deliver to the Sheriff a list of the names of persons alleged to have been drawn to serve as Grand Jurors.

is filed and posted up, in the proper place to examine the list of jurors.

The law requires the return of the panel annexed to the venire to contain, the name, surname and addition of every juror summoned. But this panel contains only the initials of many of the names, as W. W. Dodds, &c., who appear on the paper purporting to be an indictment against the prisoner, as the foreman of a Grand Jury.

On Monday morning last there was not a single legal jurymen—either Grand or Petit—in attendance on this Court.

FRIDAY, March 8th, 1867.

The prisoner was brought into Court. Mr. Thompson, on behalf of the defendant, asked leave to file the reasons for his motion to quash the array of jurors.

Mr. Thompson, on behalf of the defendant, asked leave to file the reasons for his motion to quash the array of jurors.

Mr. Thompson, on behalf of the defendant, asked leave to file the reasons for his motion to quash the array of jurors.

Mr. Thompson, on behalf of the defendant, asked leave to file the reasons for his motion to quash the array of jurors.

Mr. Thompson, on behalf of the defendant, asked leave to file the reasons for his motion to quash the array of jurors.

Mr. Thompson, on behalf of the defendant, asked leave to file the reasons for his motion to quash the array of jurors.

The Court.—I took the list which was drawn by the Commissioners and myself, as authority to summon the jurors.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

Objection by defendant's counsel, because the paper could be produced. Geo. W. Knies, Esq.—I am Clerk of the Commissioners; I made out a list, purporting to be of Grand Jurors, on the 6th instant.

cept to the Clerk for issuing the writs of venire; that the this rule had been complied with; that the judge had ordered in open court, a precept for the enlargement of the panel of jurors.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.

The returns have been made and certified in a proper manner; but the return was not made on Monday. The defendant had rights in court on that day, and could have craved oyer of the Grand Jury; and the Court could have filled up the array by talisman.

The same arguments and the same state of facts applied to traverse jurors. The Sheriff was not blameable; he was recently indicted in office; he had no attorney; had found the writs of his predecessor lying in his office; he thought he had a right to leave his there also.