

LEWISBURG CHRONICLE & WEST BRANCH FARMER--OCT. 2, 1857.

Lewisburg Chronicle.

C. E. WOODIN & J. H. CORNELLUS.
To Ministers, Men.—The Lewisburg Chronicle, you will see on the back page, has the largest and best circulation of any newspaper in Union County.

Freedom, national; Slavery, sectional!

We appeal from the five Slave-holding Supreme Court Judges to the PEOPLE OF PENNSYLVANIA!

For Governor,
DAVID WILMOT,
of Bradford County:

Canal Commissioner,
WILLIAM MILLWARD,
of Philadelphia.

For Judges of the Supreme Court,
JAMES VEECH, of Fayette Co.
JOSEPH J. LEWIS, of Chester Co.

Union County Nominations.

For Representatives—

THOMAS HAYES, of Union county.

DANIEL WITMER, of Snyder "

Register & Recorder—GEORGE MER-

RILL, of New Berlin.

Commissioner—RICHARD V. B. LIN-

COLN, of Hartley.

Treasurer—HUGH P. SHELLER, of

Lewisburg.

Auditor—JOHN KESSLER, of New

Berlin.

Bank Suspensions.

The predictions of the Tariff men made during the last dozen years, are realized. The mere Revenue Tariff has delayed our land with Foreign fabrics, draining many Millions of Specie annually from the U.S.

The natural effect is now visible.

Most of our Banks have been compelled—in self

defence—to suspend specie payments, in part, or wholly, this last week. And

during the last few months, hundreds of our largest Manufacturers have discharged

thousands of workmen, who, with families

dependent upon their daily labors, have a

long winter before them with no visible

means of subsistence!

In view of this untoward state of affairs,

Gov. POTLOCK has called a Special Session

of the Pennsylvania Legislature to meet at

Harrisburg on the 6th inst. Their time

of course expires on the 12th. The one

object before them should be to aid and

protect the interests of the masses. It is

thought by many that if the Bank charters

are secured against forfeiture during sus-

pension, they will be able to discount so

as to afford much of at least temporary

relief.

We should send our best, most expen-

ced men, to the next State Legislature.

There, and in our National Congress, are

the only places to obtain Governmental

relief. Our individual incomes and out-

goes are under our own control, and are

of more importance in affecting our individ-

ual interests than any legislation.

There should be no panic or false

alarm respecting our finances. Most (if

not all) the Pennsylvania Banks we think

are perfectly good and solvent. But

bank notes of other States, especially \$1s,

\$2s, and \$3s, should be sent homeward as

fast as possible, and retained there. And

those who have money, instead of acting the

selfish miser by hoarding, should put it

in circulation, at least by paying every

debt due or soon to become due, and by

making every necessary purchase. Thus

using a good thing, many advantages may

be gained.

Soap's "Last Card."

The general public impression that the

Buchanan and Packerites have used money

to distract and divide the Opposition, is

strengthened by Swoop & Co.'s "Stop

Thief!" trick. In addition to those that

had previously denied and buried back the

charge, we see that the Muney Luminary,

the Lewisburg Gazette, the Altoona Tribune,

and the Mercersburg Journal deny in

toto—in every shape in which the charge

can be put—the allegation that they were

brought to support Fremont last year. The

Luminary and Journal did not even sup-

port Fremont, and threaten those trifling

with their good name with libel suits. In

reply to a letter of the Editor of the Altoona Tribune, Gov. Ford certifies that the

allegation against that paper coupled with his name is false.

Was there ever a more infamous plot

than this concocted by any reckless dema-

gogues? Its heartless falsity must cause

it to RE-ACT upon the Straightout Plug

Ugly cabal, and upon their Packer adver-

tisers who are applauding Swoop, adver-

tising the Straight meetings, and paying

the traitors for their dirty work!

Another Refutation!

UNION COUNTY, SS.—Personally appeared

before me, J.A. MERTZ, Justice of the Peace in

and for the County of Union S. H. ORWIG, Editor

Proprietor & Publisher of the late Mifflinburg

Newspaper, who being duly sworn says that the

statement published in the Daily News, and

copied by the Lewisburg Argus, representing that

the Mifflinburg Star with other papers was sold to the support of Fremont, so far as

refers to the Mifflinburg Star is untrue and

without foundation in fact. S. H. ORWIG.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 30th day of October 1857. J.A. MERTZ, J.P.

As early as the 30th May, S. H. ORWIG

wrote strong Republican communications

to the Chronicle from New Haven, Ct., and

was an open, avowed Fremontist, before he

published the Star, in July. Gov. Ford visited this county the last of Sept.

These are the facts. What most be

thought of the party and the faction that

in their desperation conspire to publish

such INFAMOUS LABELS with the hope of

indirectly injuring Wilmot?

Capt. JOHN WILT, the Independent

Whig candidate for Assembly by whose

running last year in this District, Mr.

Taggart was defeated, and who was in the

field again this year, has withdrawn from

the canvass, and we suppose will favor his

old personal friends, Judge Witmer and

Mr. Hayes.

THE FAIR is next week.

AN OLD DOCUMENT.

We publish the following correspondence, which took place when James Thompson, who is now one of the Loco candidates for the Supreme bench, was a candidate for Congress. It will be seen that the Judge sang a very different tune then, from that now sung by his party. He was not only a Wilmot proviso then, but claimed himself to be the originator of the principle embodied in that Proviso, thus contesting the honor of its paternity with Wilmot. Judge by this letter, he is a rapid Black Republican. Surely while he thus stands indicted before the public, it does not become the so-called Democracy to make any serious objection to Black Republicans. They must either abandon Thompson, or cease to make war upon Black Republicanism, if they mean to adhere to him. But to the correspondence.

WARREN, August 27, 1847.
Hon. James Thompson—Dear Sir—As you have been placed before the people of this district as a candidate for Representative in Congress, the undersigned Democratic voters of Warren take the liberty to ask you an exposition of your opinions on the subjects presented in the following questions:

Do you believe Congress has power to prohibit slavery in the Territories belonging to the United States?

If elected to Congress will you vote on all occasions when the subject is presented to exclude slavery from all territories now free belonging to the United States, by extending over it the Ordinance of 1787?

Would you sanction any compromise by which any territory now free belonging to the United States, would be given up to Slavery?

An early reply to the above interrogations is most respectfully solicited.

Yours, respectfully,
S. J. GOODRICH, G. W. SCOFIELD, J. D. JAMES, G. MERRILL, T. CLEMONS, LEWIS ARNETT.

THOMPSON'S REPLY.

EATON, Sept. 1, 1847.

Gentlemen—Yours of the 27th ult., has just reached me, and as I entirely recognize your right to make the inquiries therein contained, I hasten to reply.

When the first territorial bill for Oregon that passed, was reported, I offered a minority report the following as an amendment to the 12th section of the bill:

"Provided that neither slavery nor involuntary servitude shall exist in said territory, except for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted."

This proviso, I, of course voted for; it was carried in the House but did not reach the Senate. In February, 1847, the same bill being under consideration, containing a section re-enacting the Ordinance of 1787, which prohibited slavery in the Territory, I voted against the Clayton Compromise, and also against the Missouri Compromise, and for inserting and retaining the clause re-enacting the Ordinance of 1787, and making it applicable to the

territory, except for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted."

On this question of the tariff, he avowed himself in favor of affording adequate protection to all the great industrial interests of the country, and especially to the coal interests of Pennsylvania, declaring his opposition to the act of 1846, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1847, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1848, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1849, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1850, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1851, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1852, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1853, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1854, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1855, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1856, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1857, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1858, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1859, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1860, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1861, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1862, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1863, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1864, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1865, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1866, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1867, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1868, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1869, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1870, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1871, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1872, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1873, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1874, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1875, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1876, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1877, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1878, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1879, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1880, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1881, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1882, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1883, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1884, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1885, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1886, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1887, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1888, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1889, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1890, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1891, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1892, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1893, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1894, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1895, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1896, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1897, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1898, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1899, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1900, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1901, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1902, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1903, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1904, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1905, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1906, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1907, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1908, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1909, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1910, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1911, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1912, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1913, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1914, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1915, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1916, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1917, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1918, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1919, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1920, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1921, which prohibited slavery in the Territories, and in the act of 1922