

...will meet in two weeks from...
...the party has a clear...
...the organization. The...
...the hands of the social...
...the Southern and Southern...
...the Speaker, etc...
...the independent position...
...the party organization. It...
...the proposal to be carried...
...the vote, as was done in...
...the session will doubtless...
...of considerable interest and excitement.

Thanksgiving Day.—The last Thursday in November, the 24th of the month, will be observed as Thanksgiving in sixteen States, namely: Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Alabama, South Carolina, North Carolina, and Minnesota. This far the same day has been fixed upon in all the States where the festival has been appointed.

There appears to be but little doubt that David R. Floyd Jones, Democrat, is elected Secretary of State of New York, and probably Van Benschoten Richmond, Democrat, State Engineer and Surveyor, and Noble S. Eldredge, Democrat, State Prison Inspector. There is more doubt about the election of Wm. J. Skinner, Democrat, for Canal Commissioner, as he runs behind the above mentioned candidates. All the four candidates supposed to be elected were on the "half and half" or "Globe ticket." A dispatch dated Albany, Wednesday night, says:

All but 15 counties have heard from officially on Jones, and twenty-seven counties on the rest of the ticket. Taking the majority of Jones as the basis for the other candidates, allowing for their gains and losses, as compared with Jones' vote in the counties heard from officially, on them the following stand at this hour: (9 P. M.), as follows: Jones 1,620; Richmond 1,802; Skinner 567; Eldredge 356.

The Democratic Governor and State officers are elected in Louisiana by 7,000 to 10,000 majority. Their majority in the State Senate will be 8 and in the House 25, covering the election of a United States Senator. It is thought that Senator Siddell will be re-elected without serious opposition.

The Democrats have a majority of 3 on Joint ballot in the Legislature of New Jersey. Last year the Opposition had a decided majority. New Jersey is sure for the Democratic candidate for President in 1850.

The Territory of Nebraska is Democratic all over. The delegate to Congress is a Democrat, and two-thirds of the Legislature belong to the same party.

The Winchester Virginian says: "It is worthy of remark, that though the Abolitionists had been a whole year plotting the insurrection at Harper's Ferry, they were unable to enlist a single foreign-born citizen in their ranks; not one was found among them to share their treason against their race and nation. An Irishman (the keeper of the bridge) was the first to offer them resistance—an Irishman (Bourney) was the second man they killed, while he had his rifle drawn to shoot one—and the last man they killed was a young and gallant Irishman (the marine)."

Col. Forbes, the Italian patriot and Kansas soldier, says he gave full information to the great leader of the "Republicans," Wm. L. Gannett, concerning Old Brown's treasonable intentions. The people want to know why this great "Republican" did not try to frustrate Brown's bloody designs, by making known to the public what Forbes told him.

The N. Y. Express, an Opposition paper, says Gerrit Smith is a "Republican." The Philadelphia Evening Journal, another opposition paper, says that "Republicanism" is the name for the insurrection at Harper's Ferry. The Star managers will have a good time relating this testimony from their own ranks.

A candid admission.—Senator Wilson, of Massachusetts, in his speech delivered in Syracuse on the 25th ult., said, "The Harper's Ferry outbreak was the consequence of the teaching of Republicanism." Just what we have been asserting ever since the affair took place.—N. Y. Herald.

And just what every intelligent man knows to be the case, and what every candid man must admit. And such being the case, and such the admission of such high authority, how ridiculous is it for the Star and other pro-slavery whippers of Black Republicanism to declare that their party is in no manner responsible for actions thus admitted to be "the consequence" of its teachings!

The Bedford Gazette with truth remarks, that "it is only those grubs of 'Republicanism' who live upon the success of the Opposition, that attempt to deny that Republicanism is responsible for Brown, whilst the grubs of their party try to justify Brown and his illegitimate offspring of 'Republicanism' by what Opposition papers and politicians say from the list of negroes, do not attempt to show the names where they were published, or the shoulders of the Brown-Republicans who were most frequently asked to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

Intentionally?—The Baltimore Citizen says: "The Baltimore Patriot states that Judge Kemp, who was elected Judge of the Circuit Court at the late election in that city, has decided not to claim the position. This declaration is believed to proceed from dissatisfaction with the manner in which the election was conducted, he having been a candidate of the American party. Mr. Wilson A. King, elected to the Circuit Court at the same time, has accepted the position, and is believed to be a member of that body, but will have it for them to judge of his right to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

The Editor of the Star has asked—What is the meaning of the reply by the Boston Herald? He deserves death! Let us see. Daily and laboriously for months he planned to commit murder! Not the murder of the man, or of one woman, or one child. Not a person that had injured him, and against whom he had cause for hate! But he was crazy, say some. Was he? Then his madness was of an astonishing character. There was method in it; so much method, indeed, that he succeeded in convincing the mounted men of New England of his sanity. How else did he procure those five hundred Sharp's rifles, those murderous pikes, and deadly revolvers—arms enough, in fact, for a regiment, and mentions of war ample for a State. Could an insane man accomplish all this? Hardly.

It is said—and we think the saying correct—that after all Brown only carried into practical effect the teachings of the New York Tribune, Wm. L. SEWARD, Senator Seward, and JOHN R. GIDDINGS, and that while the teachers escape unscathed, it is not worth while to execute the poor ignorant disciples. True, but in the eyes of the law, ignorance excuses no man. Again, let us look a little at this. When one of the Mormon saints commits a crime in Utah, he does it under the teachings of Brigham Young, and of Mormonism. Shall the Mormon Saint of Utah shift the responsibility of his crime upon the shoulders of his teacher, Brigham, and escape? If not, then why should Brown shift the responsibility of his crime upon his teachers, and he and they all escape? But, it is urged, if Virginia hangs Brown, she makes a martyr of him. Agreed, say we—the leaders of the Republican party are in want of a patron saint, and John Brown will answer their purpose, in the name of Justice let them have him.

Did Seward Know?
Was William H. Seward, the Senator from New York, fully informed of Brown's contemplated attack upon Harper's Ferry? Read what the Journal of Commerce says on this subject:

"This is precisely Mr. Seward's position. He did know of Brown's plans. He dare not deny, over his own name, that he knew of them. Forbes, when he said that he 'went fully into the matter' with Seward, meant to be understood that he told him of the plans of Brown for an attack upon Harper's Ferry. He did tell him of those plans; and Seward replied that 'in his position he ought not to have been told.' These are facts which Mr. Seward will not deny, and which must render his conduct odious in the sight of honorable men."

What did Seward do to prevent the consummation of the deed? What steps did he take to stop it? Suppose we adopt the charitable conclusion of the Times, that he "warped it off for nearly two years;" does that help the matter? In our estimation it only makes it worse. During this long period he has been dealing with prospective criminals, and looking on with prospective criminals, when a word fully spoken would have sent them cringing into obscurity.

If Mr. Seward's friends want more light on this subject; if they want a clear statement than Col. Forbes has furnished, in his published letters, let them call on that gentleman for a book. Forbes has no myth, but real flesh and blood, and they can learn from him quite as much as they will care to know.—And among other things they can learn from his own lips, as we have done, the facts which we have stated in this article; that he did tell Seward fully about Brown's proposition to make an attack upon Harper's Ferry.

The Black Militia of Republicanism.
Can anything more forcibly illustrate the spirit and the aims of the Black Republican Opposition than the proceedings of that faction, now dominant in the Legislature of Massachusetts? By a strict party vote they have struck out the word "white" from the militia laws, so as to create a military organization in direct conflict with the laws of Congress. The Boston Post says:

"Thus the Republicans of Massachusetts enforce their anti-determination to nullify the laws of the Union, so far as Massachusetts is concerned. It is condemnation enough of any of this proceeding that it is *flagrantly unconstitutional*, and perfectly suicidal to our patriotic volunteer militia. Gen. Butler made the point in his speech on the question of this absurd amendment, in which he arraigned severely the course of anti-slavery agitators, and traced the connection between their fanaticism and the terrible scenes at Harper's Ferry. This action, virtually annulling the laws of the militia, is important to the people of this State, and should be considered as a portion of the militia—'what next?'"

In a political classification of the Harper's Ferry insurrectionists, the Star represents Kagi as a Democrat. The managers of that unscrupulous sheet thus endeavor to impose on the public the belief that Kagi was up to the time of his justly merited death a member of the Democratic organization.—During the Kansas quarrel, Horace Greeley, of the New York Tribune, had this man, Kagi, as the "special Kansas correspondent" of his paper. Any one who reads that correspondence, or who will take the trouble to look it up, knows, or can know, that the whole tenor of Kagi's letters was Black Republicanism to the backbone. It is ridiculous to suppose that Greeley would employ a Democrat, if even a Democrat should offer, to do his dirty Republican Abolition work for him.—The imputation which the Star thus tries to place upon the only National and Constitutional party of the country, is as unfair as it is misapprehended, and must only recoil with deadly effect upon the party of which it (the Star) claims to be one of the mouth-pieces.

The Outrage to Glaring.—The Baltimore Patriot states that Judge Kemp, who was elected Judge of the Circuit Court at the late election in that city, has decided not to claim the position. This declaration is believed to proceed from dissatisfaction with the manner in which the election was conducted, he having been a candidate of the American party. Mr. Wilson A. King, elected to the Circuit Court at the same time, has accepted the position, and is believed to be a member of that body, but will have it for them to judge of his right to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

Intentionally?—The Baltimore Citizen says: "The Baltimore Patriot states that Judge Kemp, who was elected Judge of the Circuit Court at the late election in that city, has decided not to claim the position. This declaration is believed to proceed from dissatisfaction with the manner in which the election was conducted, he having been a candidate of the American party. Mr. Wilson A. King, elected to the Circuit Court at the same time, has accepted the position, and is believed to be a member of that body, but will have it for them to judge of his right to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

Intentionally?—The Baltimore Citizen says: "The Baltimore Patriot states that Judge Kemp, who was elected Judge of the Circuit Court at the late election in that city, has decided not to claim the position. This declaration is believed to proceed from dissatisfaction with the manner in which the election was conducted, he having been a candidate of the American party. Mr. Wilson A. King, elected to the Circuit Court at the same time, has accepted the position, and is believed to be a member of that body, but will have it for them to judge of his right to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

Intentionally?—The Baltimore Citizen says: "The Baltimore Patriot states that Judge Kemp, who was elected Judge of the Circuit Court at the late election in that city, has decided not to claim the position. This declaration is believed to proceed from dissatisfaction with the manner in which the election was conducted, he having been a candidate of the American party. Mr. Wilson A. King, elected to the Circuit Court at the same time, has accepted the position, and is believed to be a member of that body, but will have it for them to judge of his right to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

Intentionally?—The Baltimore Citizen says: "The Baltimore Patriot states that Judge Kemp, who was elected Judge of the Circuit Court at the late election in that city, has decided not to claim the position. This declaration is believed to proceed from dissatisfaction with the manner in which the election was conducted, he having been a candidate of the American party. Mr. Wilson A. King, elected to the Circuit Court at the same time, has accepted the position, and is believed to be a member of that body, but will have it for them to judge of his right to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

Intentionally?—The Baltimore Citizen says: "The Baltimore Patriot states that Judge Kemp, who was elected Judge of the Circuit Court at the late election in that city, has decided not to claim the position. This declaration is believed to proceed from dissatisfaction with the manner in which the election was conducted, he having been a candidate of the American party. Mr. Wilson A. King, elected to the Circuit Court at the same time, has accepted the position, and is believed to be a member of that body, but will have it for them to judge of his right to do so. What sense have been the sense of the editor's decision, when the man returned an abject refusal to answer?"

In our opinion, the only way to read a call for a meeting of all citizens, at the Court House, on Monday evening, to consider the important question of introducing Gas Light into this place. The matter summons itself to the attention of all; and it is hoped that a general interest will be felt in it.—There is a sufficiency of capital here, and the field is large enough to make it pay—PAY with a view to the end indicated. But the design is as transparent as the Editor's exaltation at the Col's unmerited defeat.

We find in the Frederick Citizen, edited by a Catholic, an article which is appropriate in this connection. The bigoted Examiner of that place, (echoed by the Star and Sentinel), asserted that two of the candidates on the Democratic ticket were Catholics, were "proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans." The Citizen replies:

Roman Catholic Candidates.—The last Examiner contains an article under the above caption, and says that the two gentlemen on our ticket of that faith were proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans. The Examiner has a motive in making this charge which we do not care to inquire into at this time. We content ourselves by pronouncing the statements false in every particular. These gentlemen ran full up with their colleagues in almost all the districts, and both of them lead one of the protestant candidates—if we must so speak—to meet the charge of the Examiner.

Democrats did not vote against or either of them on account of their religion, but we have no doubt that of the twenty-five or thirty hundred voters who know Nothing like the editor of the Examiner, every one of them could persuade a weak-minded, unthinking Democrat to vote for one of their candidates, made it a special point to have Mr. Horsey or Mr. Elder cut. But in spite of concerted effort of this kind among the sworn brotherhood, throughout the county, nearly three thousand of them being engaged in the Christian and charitable work, they yet failed to throw either of these estimable gentlemen at the tail of the ticket. And having failed to produce that result, the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

In our opinion, the only way to read a call for a meeting of all citizens, at the Court House, on Monday evening, to consider the important question of introducing Gas Light into this place. The matter summons itself to the attention of all; and it is hoped that a general interest will be felt in it.—There is a sufficiency of capital here, and the field is large enough to make it pay—PAY with a view to the end indicated. But the design is as transparent as the Editor's exaltation at the Col's unmerited defeat.

We find in the Frederick Citizen, edited by a Catholic, an article which is appropriate in this connection. The bigoted Examiner of that place, (echoed by the Star and Sentinel), asserted that two of the candidates on the Democratic ticket were Catholics, were "proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans." The Citizen replies:

Roman Catholic Candidates.—The last Examiner contains an article under the above caption, and says that the two gentlemen on our ticket of that faith were proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans. The Examiner has a motive in making this charge which we do not care to inquire into at this time. We content ourselves by pronouncing the statements false in every particular. These gentlemen ran full up with their colleagues in almost all the districts, and both of them lead one of the protestant candidates—if we must so speak—to meet the charge of the Examiner.

Democrats did not vote against or either of them on account of their religion, but we have no doubt that of the twenty-five or thirty hundred voters who know Nothing like the editor of the Examiner, every one of them could persuade a weak-minded, unthinking Democrat to vote for one of their candidates, made it a special point to have Mr. Horsey or Mr. Elder cut. But in spite of concerted effort of this kind among the sworn brotherhood, throughout the county, nearly three thousand of them being engaged in the Christian and charitable work, they yet failed to throw either of these estimable gentlemen at the tail of the ticket. And having failed to produce that result, the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

In our opinion, the only way to read a call for a meeting of all citizens, at the Court House, on Monday evening, to consider the important question of introducing Gas Light into this place. The matter summons itself to the attention of all; and it is hoped that a general interest will be felt in it.—There is a sufficiency of capital here, and the field is large enough to make it pay—PAY with a view to the end indicated. But the design is as transparent as the Editor's exaltation at the Col's unmerited defeat.

We find in the Frederick Citizen, edited by a Catholic, an article which is appropriate in this connection. The bigoted Examiner of that place, (echoed by the Star and Sentinel), asserted that two of the candidates on the Democratic ticket were Catholics, were "proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans." The Citizen replies:

Roman Catholic Candidates.—The last Examiner contains an article under the above caption, and says that the two gentlemen on our ticket of that faith were proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans. The Examiner has a motive in making this charge which we do not care to inquire into at this time. We content ourselves by pronouncing the statements false in every particular. These gentlemen ran full up with their colleagues in almost all the districts, and both of them lead one of the protestant candidates—if we must so speak—to meet the charge of the Examiner.

Democrats did not vote against or either of them on account of their religion, but we have no doubt that of the twenty-five or thirty hundred voters who know Nothing like the editor of the Examiner, every one of them could persuade a weak-minded, unthinking Democrat to vote for one of their candidates, made it a special point to have Mr. Horsey or Mr. Elder cut. But in spite of concerted effort of this kind among the sworn brotherhood, throughout the county, nearly three thousand of them being engaged in the Christian and charitable work, they yet failed to throw either of these estimable gentlemen at the tail of the ticket. And having failed to produce that result, the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

In our opinion, the only way to read a call for a meeting of all citizens, at the Court House, on Monday evening, to consider the important question of introducing Gas Light into this place. The matter summons itself to the attention of all; and it is hoped that a general interest will be felt in it.—There is a sufficiency of capital here, and the field is large enough to make it pay—PAY with a view to the end indicated. But the design is as transparent as the Editor's exaltation at the Col's unmerited defeat.

We find in the Frederick Citizen, edited by a Catholic, an article which is appropriate in this connection. The bigoted Examiner of that place, (echoed by the Star and Sentinel), asserted that two of the candidates on the Democratic ticket were Catholics, were "proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans." The Citizen replies:

Roman Catholic Candidates.—The last Examiner contains an article under the above caption, and says that the two gentlemen on our ticket of that faith were proscribed and defeated by their Democratic partisans. The Examiner has a motive in making this charge which we do not care to inquire into at this time. We content ourselves by pronouncing the statements false in every particular. These gentlemen ran full up with their colleagues in almost all the districts, and both of them lead one of the protestant candidates—if we must so speak—to meet the charge of the Examiner.

Democrats did not vote against or either of them on account of their religion, but we have no doubt that of the twenty-five or thirty hundred voters who know Nothing like the editor of the Examiner, every one of them could persuade a weak-minded, unthinking Democrat to vote for one of their candidates, made it a special point to have Mr. Horsey or Mr. Elder cut. But in spite of concerted effort of this kind among the sworn brotherhood, throughout the county, nearly three thousand of them being engaged in the Christian and charitable work, they yet failed to throw either of these estimable gentlemen at the tail of the ticket. And having failed to produce that result, the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries out that Messrs. Horsey and Elder, in as much as they were not elected, "were proscribed and defeated by Democratic partisans."

Was it the religion of Messrs. Trail, Anan and Benton, or of the Rev. Mr. X. that defeated them? Will the Examiner explain? Cannot the bigoted editor of the Examiner imagine causes for the defeat of two of our candidates other than their religion? Or if the fact that they were Catholics was the special cause, in any case, of either their defeat or their election, may we not say that the bigoted editor of the Examiner, the organ of the bigots, now cries