BY W. LEWIS

HUNTINGDON, APRIL 30, 1856.

VOL. 11, NO. 45

## THE HUNTINGDON GLOBE,

" if not paid in advance, 200 No paper discontinued until all arrearages

A failure to notify a discontinuance at the ex-piration of the term subscribed for will be con-sidered a new engagement.

	TERMS OF ADVERTISING.									
	1			insertion.			2 ins.		3 in	
Six	lines o	or less,	,		· 25		371		1	
1 :	square.	16 lines,	brevi	er,	50		75	1	•	
2		44	44	1	00	1	50	2	E	
3	46	66'	66	1	50	2	25	3	€	
				`3m.		6m.		12n		
1	square	, brevier,		\$3	00	\$5	00	\$8	(	
2	û.			5	00.	8	00	12	0	
3	16	46		7	50	10	00	15	(	
4		"		9	00	14	00	23	(	
5	46			15	00	25	00	38	•	
10	_ 66	- 66		25	00	40	00	60	0	
0	I Prof	essional :	and ]	Busi	ness	Ca	rds	not	e:	
cce	ding 6	lines, one	year	r,	_		_	\$4		
E	Executo	ors' and A	dmin	istr	ators	'No	tice			
		s' Notice				-	•		2	
						_				

## SPEECH

## EX-GOVERNOR BIGLER,

OF PENNSYLVANIA,

DELIVERED AT HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT. Fellow Citizens of Connecticut,-This is my first visit to the New England States, and I should do injustice to my feelings, were I not to express to you the gratification excited by the frank hospitality of the people, and by the evidences of general prosperity and comfort which I have met at every point. Your country, naturally rugged, has yielded to the influence of industry and art, until its exterior is beautiful, and its productions abundant .-Your manufacturing establishments, in great variety, the evidences of industry, are found interspersed with the school house, the church, and the asylum, emblems of intelligence, religion, and charity.
I must beg you to believe that I have come

amongst you in no spirit of vanity. I do not believe I can tell you anything which you have not heard before, or tell it better. My presence is rather to manifest the deep solici tude which the Democracy of the old Keystone feel for the fate of their brethren of Connecticut, just now engaged in a terrible struggle with the common enemy. I would aid the Democracy if I had the power. I would certainly persuade you to join that party, and maintain its principles.

I am, besides, persuaded that intercourse of this kind, between the people of the several States, seldem fails to exercise a most salutary influence upon our social and political relations. Its certain tendency is to remove error and prejudice, and to unite us in bonds of imperishable fraternity. Why should it be otherwise? The imaginary lines dividing the States do not mark the limits of a people strangers to each other; nor are they high walls or deep chasms, that they may not be passed. We are mainly the descendants of the same parentage; heirs to a common inheritance, actuated by similar motives and

I am gratified to find so many of the Democrats of Connecticut in council on this occasion. It is the right of freemen, it is a cherished feature of our republican system that has made such counselling together proper and necessary. The elective franchise, the medium of self-government, makes each citizen a component part of the government, vested with privileges and prerogatives, and clothed with responsibilities. It is not only your privilege to vote, but it is your duty to do so, and to understand, as far as practicable, the consequences of the suffrage you are about to cast.

As an advocate of the Democratic party, set out with the general proposition, that the best interests of the nation require the ascendancy of its principles and policy, and the entire prostration of the nondescript opposition. The Democratic party is not only the oldest, but it is the purest and most patriotic political organization that has ever existed in the country. It is the only party now in existence, maintaining principles and a policy applicable to all parts of the Union. The scene before us is a beautiful illustration of its nationality. One of your guests on this occasion, Col. Orr, is from South Carolina, an original State on the Southern boundary. Another, Senator Weller, is from California, the youngest member of the family, on the shores of the Pacific, distant three thousand miles or more; and myself, from the Keystone of the arch, where the Declaration of Independence was adopted, and the Constitution framed; and yet, our principles and doctrines are in perfect harmony on every topic, and have been enthusiastically embraced by the Democracy of New England. In all past struggles, though occasionally suffering defeat, in the end its policy has been sustained by experience and popular will. The opposing party, by whatever name known, has been as uniformly wrong. Whatever they did when in power had to be undone; whatever they objected to has proved to be wise and proper for the country. Now, we commonsense people think that, for these reasons alone, the Democratic party is entitled to the confidence and patronage of the people. We never employ the mechanic or artist a second time, who has ruined the business the first; and the rule is just as good when applied to the science of government. These, gentle-men, have always failed. They did so in my State, and in your State, and in the whole nation; and now they have the boldness to ask another opportunity. This should not be granted. The lessons of experience are not

to be trifled with in this way. But for the evidence of some of these assertions. Thomas Jefferson was the author of our faith, and our first leader. He had a the government. Even members of Congress great struggle with Alexander Hamilton, the went so far as to say that American soldiers ablest leader of the Federal party, at the time the government was first shaped. The latter hands to hospitable graves." But the great was the advocate of a system assimilating to heart of the nation pulsated in unison with a limited monarchy; he wanted a President the government, and State after State, com-

Party lines were not clearly drawn, however, until Adams became President. His election was a triumph of the enemies of Jeffersonian Democracy. The distinguishing acts of his administration were the alien and sedition laws. Under the former, it required fourteen years probation to become a citizen of the United States, and under the latter, a citizen was liable to be lashed or banished from the country, for words spoken against the President and other officers of the government.-Under this feature citizens of Berks county, now the Gibraltar of Democracy in Pennsylvania, were punished. But when Mr. Jefferson came into the Presidential chair, these laws were repealed. Were they in existence at this time, some of the present enemies of the Democratic party would be hourly in danger of the penalty.

Under Jefferson's administration the great of the public money and a reckless extension of the limits of our government. The press teemed with trash of this kind; and the rostrum, and even the pulpit, echoed the notes of alarm. But the purchase was made, and who can count the value of this single feature of Democratic policy. Had the doctrines of the opposition prevailed, the Mississippi river would now be in possession of France or England. The States of Louisiana, Missouri, Arkansas, Iowa, and the Territories of Nebraska, Kansas, and Minnesota would not be ours, but compose a part of a jealous, if not a hostile power. Their inhabitants would not now enjoy the blessings of a free government; and who, at this day, will dare to say that this measure of the Democracy was unwise, or who would ask to have so many fleurishing States driven out of the Union.

During the administration of Mr. Madison the great issue was one of war with England. When that insolent power claimed the right to search American vessels on the high seas, and press American citizens into the ranks of her servile army, the great heart of the nation repelled the indignity. The enemies of the Democratic party, in the main, were against the declaration of war. Some went so far as to meet in your own State at Hartford, to plot resistance, if not treason. But war came and went, and who will say now that it was not ple, fixed more firmly the position of our govsettled great rules of national intercourse and comity, which are now respected by all.

remarkable for partizan issues and great results. Under the former, the Territory of Florida, now a State, was acquired. General Jackson was the next President, and during his term the question of a national bank was the great issue. The opposition held that such an institution was indispensable to the business of the country—that we must have a great regulator of the currency, of exchan-Jackson determined to regulate it; and when its appliances had secured the passage of a re-charter through Congress, that man of iron will put his heel on it. The sensation pro- The Know-nothings, on the other hand, seek duced by the act was awful. The cry of to make birth-place and religious belief a the land. Old women and political hypochondriacs were in hysterics. Panic committees were seen wending their way to the White Honse to remonstrate and implore.-But all they could get from the Old Hero was a firm declaration of wholesome truths, touching the future trade and commerce and currency of the country, evincing a foresight on his part which I have ever regarded as more wonderful than his achievements on the field of battle. But now the bank is rated an obsolete idea; its former advocates concede the wisdom of the policy that terminated its existence. Many other great things were done by Jackson. When the French hesitated to The success of Know-nothingism involves pay, Jackson said "by the Eternal," and the the degradation of a large class of white citmoney came. When a sovereign State, dis- izens! But, offensive as the spectacle may satisfied with the revenue laws, threatened seem, you are bound to witness it. I witresistance, the same potent voice produced nessed it in Pennsylvania in 1845, and again peace. Under the administration of Mr. Van Buren, the sub-treasury was made a leading The purse and sword were notes of alarm. But this issue has been settled in our favor. The institution has worked well and

the sword has been orderly. In 1840 our opponents obtained power once more. Coming in through a kind of political phrenzy, they had a long programme of measures on hand. What did they do! Contrary to their pledges they attempted to fasten another bank upon the country. They did pass a bankingt act, which in the short space of one year wiped out hundreds of millions of honest debts, and was then repealed in accordance with the indignant voice of the nation. The issues on the question of the tariff, so prominent at that time, have all been settled in accordance with Democratic policy. The next great issue was the aunexation of Texas. The measure was supported by the Democracy, and resisted by most of the opposition.
But who, among them now, will say that Texas ought not to be ours? Who regrets her admission into the Union, save only a few fanatics? The opposition said war with Mexico would follow, and it did follow. This was the only hit they had made for a long and inquire what good thing each would do time. War did commence by the act of Mexico, and what then! Why the opposition to notice that they are the two parties against press again teemed with denunciations against the President and his party. The war was admonished the people in his farewell addenounced as unjust and aggressive on our dress. For one is a secret society, to accompart. The rostrum and the pulpit again plish political or partizan ends, and the other echoed the alarm, and joined in defaming the government. Even members of Congress in Mexico should be "welcomed with bloody

republic of Mexico, and be inhabited only by an ignorant and imbecile people. Had the Democracy no other claim to the favor of the one, embraced slavery. Now we have firpeople, this achievement alone should secure them many years of ascendency and rule.-But all the old issues have been settled in iavor of the Democracy. Indeed, had it been the avowed purpose of the opposition to be uniformly wrong on every question, whether of foreign relations or domestic concern, of peace or war, they could not have succeeded so well. Of all the measures they ever proposed, there is not now a vestige to be found in the policy of the country. One by one, contest was in reference to the acquisition of in turn, their hobbies have fallen at the hand the Territory of Louisiani. The opposition of time; been discarded by the people, and denounced the purchase as a prodigal waste abandoned by their authors. It will be so the public money and a reckless extension of the limits of our government. The press but some of the old parties are gone your institutions, I am sure the people of Penusik those now pending. Not only are their sylvania to indulge in unkind criticisms of very should not extend north of the parallel of the limits of our government. The press but some of the old parties are gone your institutions, I am sure the only tenden. also. It was the sagacious Webster who wrote, that after 1852 the Whig party would exist in history only, and we have the verifi-

cation of his prediction. Now, fellow-citizens, what I wish to impress on your minds is this: That in this long history, covering many important epochs. there never was a time when the Democratic party occupied a nobler position than just Their lecturers commenced to harrangne the for some other mode of adjustment was thus now. There never was a time when its ascendancy was more essential to the peace all parts of the Union with inflammatory doand progress of the nation; and I am quite sure I have never seen the day when I so proud of my humble membership with it. In a distinct struggle for the constitutional rights of the States, and the rights of the citizens of each State, the virtues of this old party are best reflected. My friend, Senator adhere to their constitutional right to have Weller, says, that the old opposition party the institution with increased tenacity .was only intended to perform the offices of a brake on the track. When Democratic loco- proper right to do so. But suppose no conmotion got too high, the opposition answered stitutional obstacle intervened, or that the for a brake. I have compared it to a dead

election which will involve vital issues. The the true policy of this nation to have it? In alias Abolitionism, will compose one wing of brought North, in what particular will their its progress and consequences it honored our the enemy, and Know Nothingism the other; condition be improved? Will they live betflag, elevated our character as a warlike peo- and not withstanding the striking dissimilarernment as one of the family of nations, and and the doctrines they hold, I predict their united actions against us in the Northern to all these questions is to a certain extent, States. Had I not witnessed this humiliating The periods of the administration of Mr. | union in my own State, and did I not see evi-Monroe and the younger Adams were not so dences of it in yours, I migh hesitate to express this opinion. But as was the effect in Pennsylvania, so I trust it will prove to be in means, be induced to join the Democracy.

cause they say it is humane and philanthropic. and in secrecy, whilst the Abolitionists act in day light, promulgating their sentiments every where with peculiar boldness. Who would venture to predict that two such parties could fraternize? Imagine them in juxtaposition. With a shade of white on one side, and a shade of black on the other. The one seeking the elevation of the colored man, and the other concerned exceedingly for the humiliation of white people. The triumph of Abolitionism is the triumph of the colored race. in 1855. At the election in the latter year, each of these parties had presented their candidate for Canal Commissioners, a Knownothing and an Abolitionist or Republican, so views. But, on the eve of the election, the leaders withdrew the names of these gentlemen and presented another in their stead; the willing embodiment of all the isms, and the mass of electors found themselves in a position where they had to take the whole dose or nothing. The foreign born or Catholic abolitionist or republican could not reflect his cherished views without, at the same time, endorsing the doctrine of the Know Nothings, which were intended to proscribe him from civil office. The Know-Nothing, on the other hand, however national in his views, could not declare his principles without speaking in a voice of Abolitionism also. The result of this shameless attempt to prostitute the ballot-box was a Democratic triumph. ' A large element of the dissolving Whig party could not stand the whole dose at one time, and they came over to our ranks; and I doubt not such will be the result in Connecticut.

But let us look at these parties separately, for the country. And we should not neglect which Washington, with wonderful foresight. is clearly geographical in its organization.— Read the address for yourselves, and see how striking the application. First then, comes the Republican or Abolition party. It is cer-tainly much older, if not much better than its compeer. Its movements are associated with my earliest recollections of political af-

teen slave and sixteen free States. Connecticut and Pennsylvania have abolished it .--Virginia and Maryland have retained it .-The people of the latter States have no proper right to complain against the action of those of the former, and vice versa. For will it do to say that constant and bitter denunciation by the people of one State against the institutions of another, is no interference; barren as to practical results, it is still fruitful of ill feeling. The certain tendency of which is to alienate the feelings of the people of the was disposed of by the adoption of what is several States, and at the same time, defeat familiarly known as the Missouri Comprocy would be to force you to cherish them the acquired, the question was disposed of in the more closely. Such has been the only effect of political Abolitionism. When southern men, with Clay at their head, started the sented the question again. An effort was ists? Did they second the movement? By ding the Missouri line to the Pacific ocean, no means. Nothing would satisfy them but immediate and unconditional emancipation .people, and their colporteurs were sent into cuments, to accomplish this end. The consequence was, that the southern people became alarmed and receded from the position they had taken. The opportunities of the slaves were restricted, their education neglected, and the southern people constrained to South should agree to emancipate the slaves at a stated period, provided they were taken weight on the skirts of progress.

at a stated period, provided they were taken
We are rapidly approaching a Presidential away or maintained, what could be done?— How many would Massachusetts take?--Democracy will be arrayed on one side, and the combined elements of fanaticism and bigotry on the other—Black Republicanism, take? Not one! But if they could be ter? Will they have better ideas of civilizaity in the character of these organizations tion and Christianity? Will they be elevated in the scale of moral being? The answer furnished in the pitiable condition of the free blacks. True, there are those who would be willing to give the negro equal, social, and political condition with the Anglo-Saxon; but I am not one of those, and have no pa-Connecticut, and that a large number of the tience to even discuss this offensive idea.—best men of the old Whig party will, by such What then should those abolitionists do?— Why mind their own business. That is gen-sonable in us to insist on the right to select Now, let us analyze the elements of these erally profitable. Many men get rich rich in and regulate the domestic institutions under ges and values. But when the bank deter-mined to regulate the politics of the country, sever the shackles of the slave; they would Their delegates in the convention that made their representatives in Congress, should degive him greater political and social rights the present Constitution, consented to the concide their local policy on any question? The and opportunities; all this they would do bethis disgraceful traffic had been legislated aga- admittedly one for the disposition of a sovinst by the States of Virginia, Maryland, and North Carolina. All the New England States | ple of a Territory. When the people of a ruin and tyranny was heard in all parts of test for civil office, and on these principles voted for the clause continuing the slave trade would humiliate this large class of white cit- up to 1818, whilst Virginia and Delaware omnipotent. Why should it be restrained izens by sinking them below the condition of the regulated its that first during the existence of the Territorial government? Why not permit the full power of growth. For the increase during that long the people, under the Constitution, to operperiod, the New England States are largely responsible, and this fact should at least constrain them to a charitable view of this vexed fied by the opposition with the view to poliquestion. The extent of the justitution is tical capital. They speak of the action of not to be measured by the Territory over which it may spread, but by the number of people of the Territory forever. That is not beings in bondage. Were I a citizen of Kan-the case. The people of a State may change sas, I should vote against slavery; but in do-ing so, I would not feel that I was lessening necticut had the institution of slavery. She the number of slaves, or doing them a special kindness. Not would the restoration of the dare interfere. Just so with any other State. southern slaves to the condition of their an- Kansas, or any other territory coming into cestors in Africa, better their condition. To the Union as a free State, can afterward esupon humanity. But my object is only to during the territorial probation, and the suggest these ideas, not to elaborate them.—
I have no doctrine to present on this point of the subject. I only wish those who are so that each voter might express his peculiar constantly exercised on the question, to point out their remedy; to tell us what can be done. The Democratic party say yes! The Isms Nor would a dissolution of the Uinon free the slaves. The southern States would go to-

> This is a question of obeying or disobeying icans, or Abolitionists, in the main, favor reoffspring of the Constitution. The two are inseparable. The former could not survive the destruction of the latter. Nor can the

ment presented the best model the world had ever seen; but the views of Jefferson prevailed, and hence our representative system. Party lines were not clearly drawn, however, until Adams became President. His election would have been forced into an alliance with the most fanatical will admit, that as citi-England or France, and California, the rich- | zens of a free State, they have no legal right, ilar to that now in existence, excited but litest State in the Union, so wonderful in her to interfere with the institution; that it is the the resistance at the time. It passed the Senpast growth, and promise for the future, clear constitutional right of each State to have a te without a division, and received 45 out would still be an integral part of the degraded it or not; will they claim that the States have of 56 votes in the House of Representatives. for this they may claim the thanks of the republic of Mexico, and be inhabited only by failed to exercise this right. When the ConMassachusetts cast 6 votes for it, and 1 other side. But you are told that the Terriagainst it. But now other councils prevail, anr. every man who says that this part of the Constitution must be carried out, is denounced as a "negro driver."

But I must speak of the question of slave-

y in the Territories. This has long been a opic of angry controversy in Congress. The as the Northwestern Territory, by the ordinance of 1787, a kind of compact between the people of the Territory, the State of Virginia, and the United States under the first confederacy. In the Louisiana Territory it Colonization Society, what did the Abolition- made to dispose of the controversy by extenbut the proposition was rejected, and mainly by the votes of the North. The necessity presented. The party now complaining most of the Nebraska law, contributed to the creation of this necessity by their hostiltty to the Missouri line. There seemed to be no alternative lest but to refer the whole questoin to the people of the Territories. Clay, Cass, Webster, and others recognized this policy in the compromise acts of 1850, and it is for this principle that the Democratic party are now contending—the broad doctrine of non-intervention by Congress, and the right of the people in the Territories to decide the question for themselves, on the principle of selfgovernment. As a candidate before the people, I maintained this doctrine; I thought it sound in theory, and that it could not fail in practice. I think so still. Without stopping to inquire how far Congress might legally interfere, I was convinced that it was wise for Congress to forbear. The Principle of non-intervention, or popular sovereignty in the Territories, is in beautiful harmony with our whole Republican system. The inherent right of self-government, and the capacity to exercise that right, are not determined by geographical lines. A man is none the less ompetent because he resides in a Territory, nor are his reserved rights under the Constitution less. Many of your neighbors and mine have gone to the Territories. Are they less qualified to judge of their own interests than when they were citizens of Connecticut or Pennsylvania? Certainly not! Is is reaereign State, and so it should be for the pen-Territory become a State, their will is to be ate at once? It will do its work in the end anyhow. But this whole question is magni-Congress as determining the policy of the people of the Territory forever. That is not could have it again, and no power on earth whole controversey is reduced to this one point: as the people of a State can do as they please on a question of domestic policy, shall they be permitted to do so while a territory. say no; and on this the issue is made. But it is diligently asserted that the Kansas law gether, and of course retain the institution, so legislates slavery into the territory. That is long as it might be the pleasure of the peo- not true! The words are explicit that it is the "true intent and meaning of this act not to legislate slavery into any State or territoite hobbies of the Republican party, and I ry, nor to exclude it therefrom, but to leave desire to call your attention to the inevitable the people thereof, perfectly free to form and consequences of their doctrine, for a moment. regulate their domestic institution in their own way, subject only to the Constitution of the letter of the Constitution, and the kepub- the United States." Under this provision it is true Kansas may become a slave State .sistance. The Constitution declares, that It might have become such in the end, no 'no person held to service or labor in one matter what Congress might do in the prem-State, under the laws thereof, escaping into ises. Specific action, as in the case of the another, shall, in consequence of any law or Missouri line, if constitutional, would be regulation therein, be discharged from such binding on the people of the territory; but the service or labor, but shall be delivered up on shackles would fall off so soon as they belabor may be due." These are very explicit certainly shape the policy of the State than terms. They furnish a distinct guarantee to the laws of Congress. If the climate and the citizens of the slave holding States, that soil invite the institution, it will be difficult

> -people, and regard the question as finally set-But Democrats are charged with inconsis-

iled in that way.

Within two days thereafter, these gentlemen turned around and elected Gen. Cullom, of Tennessee, a slaveholder, their clerk-and tory of Kansas has been invaded by the peo-ple of Missouri, and the voice of the bond fide citizen has been smothered. It is quite clear to my mind that excesses were indulged at the election; that the ballot-box has been abused; that men have voted who had no right to vote. Bitter conflict, if not actual question was regulated in what was known violence, was the certain consequence of the circumstances surrounding the organization. The attempt to cram the Territories, on the one hand, and the counteracting efforts on the other, could scarcely fail to lead to abuses of the right of suffrage. But this is not fatal to the theory of the law, nor to its ultimate workings. All sides are pled to the protection of the ballot box in future—Southern men as well as Northern—and I think it may be safely assumed that the next election will be a fair one. That uncontrolled and unawed, the voice of the bona fide citizens of the territory will be expressed. If the free State party are in the majority, as is so confidently claimed, they will elect the next Legislature and repeal the objectionable laws, and shape the policy of the territory to suit themselves.

I shall now speak of the Know-Nothing or-ganization. This is a party of bad principles and worse practices. They propose to make oirth-place and religious belief a test for civil office, and to accomplish the end through the agency of secret and oath-bound societies.— At least such is their plan of operations in my State. They profess to believe that our institutions are in danger from the influence of foreign born citizens and the power of the Catholic church. They fight under the motto, that "Americans must rule America." They complain of great evils and then determine to practice them. They claim to be pecu-liarly American, but maintain doctrines distincily anti-American. Professing deep con-cern for the stability of our republican institutions, they make war on the noblest characteristics of our whole system, civil rights and religious freedom. Deprecating secret and clannish movements in others, they have adopted the practice themselves. Discarding, in terms of bitterness, Jesuitism in matters of religion, they have determined to try it in politics. Professing peculiar reverence for the name of Washington, they have chosen a plan of political organization against which hat good man admonished the people. Alarmed about the power of the Catholic

church! Why, according to the census, we have in this country, about 800 Catholic priests, to over 25,000 Protestant ministers hirty of the latter to one of the former .-There is surely no cause of alarm in such a state of facts, nor can there be any sincerity in the pretension. According to the same census, we have twenty-three natives to one foreign born citizen. Is this startling? Can't man or Dutchman? But I deny the premises and the conclusions. Our institutions are in danger from no such cause. We have more to fear from elements longer in the country than the much dreaded foreigners. But who originated this new scheme, and

what is its nature? It is "an old enemy in a new garb," one whose long ears the lion's skin does not entirely conceal, as it goes about to scare people. The leading spirits in getting it up are the same who denounced the Democratic candidate in 1852, as a bigoted Protestant, and attempted to prove it by a certificate signed by Whig Catholics in New Hampshire; the same who circulated the famous pictorial biography of Gen. Scott, their candidate, displaying him in the midst of raw Irishmen, listening to their complaints, and ministering to their wants, and all this to prove that he was a generous man, who would not neglect the poor down-trodden foreigner. It was the candidate of these Know-Nothing leaders who traveled the country in the last Presidential contest to win the votes of foreign born citizens by ridiculous twaddle about change would reduce them to the lowest state tablish slavery, and vice versa. Congression. the "rich Irish brogue," and the "sweet German accent." It was their candidate who appear have a lowest tablish slavery, therefore, can only operate the "rich Irish brogue," and the "sweet German accent." It was their candidate who appear have the "rich Irish brogue," and the "sweet German accent." It was their candidate who proposed to interpolate a new plank in the platform, to the effect that any species of humanity mustering in the army for one year should have the right of suffrage. Notwithstanding Gen. Scott's identity with the Catholic church, these Know-Nothings voted for him, and they would have done so had the Pope been his daily companion. But Scott was not elected. These much courted people voted as theretofore, some for the Democratic, and some for the Whig candidate, thus vindicating themselves against the charge of clannishness now so freely made. But a change came over the views of their former admirers, the Know-Nothings. The foreign accent lost its charms, and the groups of Irish with which he was identified, have been converted into hideous monsters to alarm the weak and ignorant; hence Know Nothingism.

But is it not unjust to disfranchise a man because of his place of birth? He could not help it. Geography is not understood in the preexistent state. Birth a standard for office! Why the idea is only worthy of ridicule. claim of the party to whom such service or come a State. The laws of nature will more Birth is not a virtue, it is an accident or circumstance. It may be a good thing to be born in this favored country, but it would be better were it a matter of choice. It is the the fugitive should be returned, and made it to keep it out. If they are against it, no virtue of the animal. The buffalo and the the clear duty of Congress to devise means to agency of Congress cau maintain it. For one, catamount have it. The Indian and the negro carry out the condition. The Union is the I am willing to leave the question with the have it. Tiger-tail, the Indian chief, can boast a better title to it than the oldest of the Know-Nothings. It may be a God-send to political bankrupts to set up a standard of Constitution be maintained in part, and distregarded in part. It must be respected as a Missouri line; I have already given a suffi-with the best; by which Mr. Hiss would whole. Those who resist the letter of any cient answer to this allegation by showing out-rank John Wesley, and Benedict Arnold part of the Constitution, virtually repudiates that the Abolitionists forced the necessity for would be preferred to Lafayette. But honest the whole; and those who do this, rebel a new mode of adjustment. But how stands men will repudiate the idea. They will established the idea. that the Abolitionists forced the necessity for would be preferred to Lafayette. But honest: against the Union; and, doing this, are reck- the case on the other side? Wonderfully timate the man by a higher standard, the less of the true welfare of both races, and of consistent! When James Lanman, your Sen- head, the heart, the soul. They will never for life, and senators for life, and other features consistent with a powerful central system; he maintained that the British Govern-