



Nixon, Kissinger discuss Middle East

PRESIDENT NIXON MET with Secretary of State Henry A. Kissinger yesterday to discuss the Middle East fighting. Nixon said yesterday the United States was preparing a diplomatic proposal that "we hope will be effective in stopping the fighting." Nixon has been in contact with Soviet leader Leonid I. Brezhnev but the contents of these messages was not disclosed.

House foresees trouble in funding concurrence

By **STEVE OSTROSKY**
Collegian Staff Writer

The state House of Representatives today is scheduled to vote on appropriations for Penn State and five other state-related universities, but House members said there may be trouble concuring with the Senate bill.

The Senate last Tuesday passed a bill which would give a six per cent increase over last year's appropriations to Penn State, the University of Pennsylvania, the University of Pittsburgh, Temple University, Drexel University and Lincoln University. The Senate bill would give Penn State \$87.75 million.

The House, which agreed to a five per cent increase in July, which would give Penn State \$86.9 million, must concur with the Senate's version of the bill to enable the universities to receive the funds.

Rep. Galen E. Dreihelbis, D-State College, told The Daily Collegian the Republican leadership in the House is going to ask for non-concurrence with the Senate bill.

Dreihelbis said, "Right now it's a 50-50 chance the House will concur. If the Republican leadership sticks to its guns and presses the matter, I'm sure the Republican majority in the House will vote against concurrence."

But Dreihelbis said if the Republicans do not take a strong position, some Republican support may weaken and the chances for concurrence would improve.

"There's a lot of movement, including myself, for concurrence," Dreihelbis said. He added he did not consider the funding difference between the House

and Senate proposals "a waste of money."

"I don't think the Senate was as interested in raising the appropriations for the universities as in gaining one-upmanship on the House," he said.

Dreihelbis said he is worried about the time factor if the House does not concur with the Senate's bill.

If the House does not concur, a conference committee consisting of two Republicans and one Democrat from the House and two Democrats and one Republican from the Senate will be formed to draft a new bill. The committee's bill would have to be approved in both houses.

Dreihelbis said if this happens, "it could be after the November elections before the appropriations are approved. This would be unfair to the universities," he said. "Before it didn't matter if the appropriations were passed since the universities would not have received any money until Sept. 30. But now we are past that date and there is injury being done since the universities must borrow money and pay interest on it."

Penn State last week had to borrow about \$7 million to meet the end-of-the-month payroll. A University spokesman said he expects Penn State will be borrowing fairly steadily until the appropriations are approved and the University begins receiving payments.

Pitt has borrowed about \$10 million because of the funding delay and Temple has borrowed about \$4.5 million. Penn and Lincoln also have indicated they will have to borrow money if the delay runs

into November.

House Appropriations Chairman H. Jack Seltzer, R-101, told the Collegian, "It was through me the universities got a five per cent increase in funds when the governor didn't want to give them an increase. I'll hold to that."

Seltzer has referred to the Senate's increases as "gamesmanship."

The Senate also added two amendments to the appropriation bills which the House did not have on its bills.

One amendment calls for monthly payments of state funds instead of quarterly payments to Penn State, Pitt, Temple and Lincoln. This could save Penn State about \$7 million a year.

Dreihelbis said several House members favored the proposals, while others were considering the loss in state revenue this could cause.

By making payments monthly, the state would have less money to invest and less time to make money on investments.

Dreihelbis said this could weigh in the House's decision.

The other amendment, proposed by Sen. Richard A. Snyder, R-13, requires the six universities to submit a study on the number of hours a week each faculty member works.

Dreihelbis said this amendment "is not a sensitive issue in the House" and would not be an important factor in the House's vote.

Dreihelbis said Gov. Shapp has indicated he will sign the appropriation bills, whether the increase is five or six per cent.

Do evaluations do the job?

Editor's note: following is the second of a five-part series on course evaluation.

By **ROBYN MOSES**
Collegian Staff Writer

Is student evaluation really the most effective way of measuring course success?

Robert E. Swope, assistant dean for resident education for the College of Agriculture, said, "Student evaluation has its drawbacks. I think the students get irritated at filling out these forms at the end of every class. Perhaps they are overloaded with evaluation forms."

According to Swope, the College of Agriculture monitors classes through the Courses of Study Committee, which evaluates new courses and course changes.

Swope said, "We get news of bad

courses through the students, but we don't get much bad news in this college. The Course of Study Committee is our mechanism for evaluation. This committee evaluates course content and the level of instruction, if the professor is doing a good job."

Student evaluation is initiated by the instructor. It is not compulsory, although most instructors use it and find it reliable. Many find the evaluation the best way to keep the course at a high level and use it once a year, Swope said.

"The ideal situation would be for a student volunteer to assist the instructor and for the instructor to be honest enough to listen to him," Swope added. Obviously this is a two-way thing and some instructors aren't good receivers and some students aren't good at

offering criticism.

"It is at least as difficult to evaluate courses and instructor performance as it is to evaluate testing methods and student performance," he concluded.

The difficulty with fair course evaluation is evident in the Earth and Mineral Sciences Student Instructional Report, the standard evaluation form which took two years to complete, according to E. Willard Miller, associate dean for resident instruction.

According to Miller, the evaluation form was composed four years ago by a faculty-student committee. Ninety-eight per cent of the faculty participate in this requested form of evaluation, and every course is evaluated every term, he said.

"This questionnaire gives the faculty information not available any other way. These evaluation forms are kept completely anonymous. The instructor tries to be totally fair, he doesn't look at the forms until after he grades, since there are many small classes and he may recognize handwriting from the written part of the form," Miller said.

Asked about the effectiveness of the standardized form, Miller said, "Our first response is still our response. Our questionnaire indicates that our faculty is doing a marvelously fine job in teaching and this is something we would like to advertise to the world, so our results are put in the library for all to see."

"We are beginning to use this form in many graduate courses also," he added.

Senate to consider adjunct rule change

The University Faculty Senate today will consider a proposed revision of a rule governing transfer of adjunct students to degree candidacy.

The revision would allow the Admissions Director, with the approval of the appropriate college dean, to admit to candidacy for a bachelor's degree any adjunct student who has completed at the University at least 18 credit hours of courses with at least a 2.0 grade point average. These students would be admitted to candidacy without fulfilling regular University entrance requirements.

The change would lower the grade point average requirement from 2.70

If approved by the Senate, revised Rule A-11 would be returned to 2.0 and would reduce the number of credit hours from the number required for the first two years of a major to 18 credit hours.

University Provost Russell E. Larson for implementation and would replace Rule E-8 in the Student Handbook.

In other business, the ad hoc committee studying the implications of collective bargaining will present a progress report.

The Intra-University Relations Committee is scheduled to present an informational report on faculty organization constitutions.

Weather
Partly sunny and unseasonably warm with morning fog today and Wednesday, high today 74. High Wednesday 76. Tonight fair and mild, low 56.

Egypt says civilians hurt Israelis bomb port

CAIRO (AP) — Israeli jets yesterday attacked near the Mediterranean end of the Suez Canal and Egypt said the strike loosed bombs on Port Said, inflicting civilian casualties and destroying some homes.

It was the first reported raid on a populated area in the new Middle East war.

An Israeli communique said military installations and missile batteries around Port Said, a city of about 180,000, were attacked in the air raids during the day. No mention was made of an attack on the city itself.

Egyptian communiques claimed their forces on the Israeli-occupied east bank of the Suez Canal "liberated" the city of El Qantara, about halfway down the 103-mile canal from Port Said, in hand-to-hand combat and attacked and burned oil fields run by the Israelis in southern Sinai on the Gulf of Suez.

Israeli communiques said nothing about any attacks on oil fields and military officials declined to comment on the Egyptian claim that El Qantara had been taken. The Egyptians say they now control the canal's east bank, which Israel seized along with the rest of the Sinai Peninsula in the 1967 Arab-Israeli war.

Israel has not reported officially on the number of Arab planes its forces had shot down, but one senior air force officer estimated the number at 65 to 90.

This officer said in a radio interview the Syrian and Egyptian air forces "are still basically intact."

"We did not attack and eliminate them on the ground as in the six-day war of 1967," he added.

The Israeli communique said of the air attacks around Port Said: "The batteries were damaged and put out of use and the installations were heavily damaged," it said.

The Egyptian communiques did not say how many civilian casualties there were or how many homes were damaged. Port Said once was used by the Soviet navy but the Russians pulled out of Egypt last year.

The communiques were issued in Cairo at 10 p.m. after a day in which the Israelis claimed their tanks and planes were chasing the Syrians out of the Golan Heights in the northern sector and had pushed the Egyptians back to the canal.

But the Egyptians countered these claims by saying their tanks were cutting deeper into the Sinai Peninsula, occupied by the Israelis since the 1967 Middle East war, and the Israeli defenders were being overrun by troops supported by devastating air cover.

The earlier communiques from Cairo did not say exactly how far into Sinai the Egyptian forces had gone since first crossing the canal on Saturday as Jews observed Yom Kippur, the solemn day of judgment.

The Syrians claimed they hurled back an Israeli counter-offensive in the Golan Heights and in this third day of the fourth Middle East war since 1948 had retaken a large chunk of the area seized by the Israelis in 1967.

bombing attack was followed by another about half an hour later. This claimed that El Qantara had been taken from the Israelis in hand-to-hand combat and that former Egyptian oil fields run now by the Israelis had been attacked and set afire by Egyptian troops at El Bilaiyim.

The Israelis pump about \$350,000 worth of oil a day from Sinai wells.

The communique added that the decision to hit the fields about 150 miles south of the southern entrance to the Suez Canal was made by Gen. Ahmed Ismail, the Egyptian armed forces commander, "to deprive the enemy of Sinai oil."

"Thus our troops raided the oil fields at El Bilaiyim on the Gulf of Suez. Fires raged and a driller was destroyed. Our troops returned safely to their bases."

Earlier in the day, the Israeli military chief of staff, Lt. Gen. David Elazar, told a news conference in Tel Aviv: "We are continuing our counterattack. We are moving from our territory against the other side. The next days will see the direction and results."

"We will attack the enemy until we break their bones."

Elazar added that Syrian forces had been pushed back to the ceasefire line established in the Golan Heights in 1967 and that "some Egyptian forces" remain on the Israeli-occupied east bank of the Suez Canal but that the Israeli forces had "limited to a great extent the area captured by the Egyptians."

Elazar rejected Syrian claims broadcast by Radio Damascus that Israeli counterattacks had been thrown back. He said only a few Syrians were holding out in the Israeli-occupied territory.

There was no way to obtain independent verification of the claims of either side since news correspondents are not permitted into the battle zones.

A special session of the U.N. Security Council in New York adjourned until today without taking any action on a U.S. proposal that all sides in the conflict withdraw to positions they held before the fighting began Saturday. The Soviet Union and China demanded Israel withdraw from all the Arab lands it conquered in 1967. The meeting was called at the request of the United States.

President Jaafar Numeiry of Sudan announced in Khartoum that he had dispatched an undisclosed number of troops to fight with the Egyptians and Syrians.

The Israelis have said their aircraft had been attacking inside Egypt and Syria but communiques issued in Tel Aviv listed only military installations and airfields as targets.

Most of the Egyptian and Syrian air activity apparently has been in aerial combat against Israeli planes. The Egyptians and Syrians claim their planes and antiaircraft defenses have brought down 160 Israeli planes since hostilities began. Israel reportedly has 480 warplanes.

The Israelis denied these Syrian and Egyptian claims.

Egypt's communique reporting bombing raids on Port Said, a major port city, did not say anything about numbers of casualties.

"This is the first civilian city hit by the Israelis," the military communique said. "The enemy should be prepared to bear the consequences of his deeds."

Later, the Interior Ministry in Cairo warned civilians in repeated broadcasts to avoid picking up "strange objects" from the ground.

"The inhuman enemy may throw objects that may be explosives," the ministry said.

The military communique on the

Last chance to register

Today is the last day to register to vote in State College for November's election.

Registration will be held 9 a.m. to noon and 1 to 5 p.m. at the County Commissioners office in the Centre County Court House in Bellefonte.

Anyone needing a ride to Bellefonte to register should call the Undergraduate Student Government office for help.

Campuses not contacted

By **STEVE OSTROSKY**
Collegian Staff Writer

Undergraduate Student Government President Mark Jinks yesterday said the student trustee selection committee did not contact most of the commonwealth campuses for applicants.

Applications, available in 202 HUB, must be completed and returned there by 5 p.m. Thursday.

Jinks told The Daily Collegian the committee contacted only Behrend College and Ogontz campus for applicants. He said the other campuses have only first through sixth term students and the committee wants an older student as trustee.

"The Commonwealth campus student doesn't have the varied experience at the University and with University people which a trustee needs," Jinks said. He would not be able to represent the University Park campus the way a University Park student could, Jinks added.

Asked how a University Park student could represent Commonwealth campuses, Jinks replied, "It came down to a time and value judgment." He said

it would be impossible to get the applications out and interview all applicants from Commonwealth campuses.

Jinks said a Commonwealth campus student, like any full-time undergraduate or graduate student, can apply for the position and the committee will send him an application.

Jinks said the committee is asking some students to apply for student trustee "who we felt were qualified but probably would not apply."

Jinks would not say which students were asked but said the last student trustee selection committee also had solicited students.

Jinks also said any committee member wishing to apply for student trustee will be asked to resign from the selection committee.

Other selection committee members are Frank Muraca, USG vice president; Roger Richards, Graduate Student Association president; Thomas Ingersoll, graduate student representative of the University Council; and Doreen Robotti, Association of Women Students president.

Each applicant must write a brief essay on his views of the goals of the University and the Board of Trustees and how the student trustee should operate to obtain these goals.

The committee will select three to five applicants and submit their names to State Secretary of Education John C. Pittenger. Pittenger then will interview the candidates and make his recommendation to Gov. Shapp for approval. The state Senate then must approve Shapp's nomination by a two-thirds vote.

Jinks said the committee is looking for two things: the ability to communicate ideas about the University and the ability to serve as a trustee for between three and four years.

Fifty-five students have obtained applications but only five have completed and returned. The committee hopes to submit its recommendations by Oct. 19. Shapp is expected to submit a nomination before the November trustees' meeting.

The student trustee position was left vacant by the resignation of Benson Lichtig who is now head of the Student Employment office.

Zemel claims PSU lied

By **ANDY ISAACS**
Collegian Staff Writer

PSU Branch President Jacqueline Zemel yesterday accused the University of stalling faculty unionization under false pretenses.

In telegrams sent to University President John W. Oswald and Secretary of Education John C. Pittenger, Zemel contended a "Proposal for New Administrative Structures Relating to Commonwealth Campuses" contradicts the University's position that it is "one" University, geographically dispersed.

That position was accepted by the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board last summer in a decision denying PSU Branch, a union of branch campus faculty, recognition as a collective bargaining unit separate from University Park faculty.

The "Proposal for New Administrative Structures," recommends each University college establish a junior unit responsible for lower-level courses, associate degree programs and community services.

Those are the only services branch campuses, except for Behrend College and Capitol Campus, offer.

Zemel's telegram to Pittenger states the proposal shows "the administration's intent to institutionalize and perpetuate the default second-class status of the branch campuses."

"We call upon you to take immediate affirmative action to distinguish your position, which we had understood to be that of the PSU administration," the telegram continued. "Otherwise it would appear that your participation in the PLRB procedure was merely to assist the University in delaying the onset of collective bargaining until the demeaned and inferior status of the (Commonwealth) Campus faculty became a hardened reality."

Zemel's telegram to Oswald states: "Since the testimony and the proposed plan seem to be in direct conflict, we demand immediate clarification of your position and ask that you meet with representatives of our organization in

participation with the PLRB within the next two weeks."

PSU Branch, denied recognition as a separate branch campus bargaining unit, now seeks a single organization of faculty on all Penn State campuses, including University Park.

Zemel said in a prepared statement: "Within days of our decision as PSU Branch faculty to drop our appeal and join with interested University Park faculty to seek collective bargaining as one unit, the University is proposing a plan to create different department structures and classifications for branch campus faculty."

"Both the University and Dr. Pittenger testified under oath during the PLRB hearings that the purpose and structure of the University and protection of public interest demand equality of treatment of faculty at all campuses and that the present University-wide department structure is the basis for their position of one collective bargaining unit for all faculty," Zemel's statement said.