



**Visitation Bill Passed by IFC**

HARVEY REEDER (standing center) IFC president presided over the IFC meeting last night. During the meeting IFC passed a bill allowing 24 hour visitation in fraternity houses.

## IFC Votes To Revise Visitation Restrictions

By BETTI RIMER  
Collegian Staff Writer

Women may now visit fraternity houses on a 24-hour basis according to a bill passed at last night's Interfraternity Council meeting. Action on the bill was taken without the approval of the Administrative Committee on Student Affairs which favored further investigation of the bill.

Harv Reeder, IFC president, said the objections to the bill raised by the Administrative committee concerned IFC and its policies rather than the nature of the bill itself.

According to Reeder the committee questioned the changing role of the Board of Control. He explained that the policy of the Board of Control was reinterpreted last spring which resulted in a loosening of controls on the fraternities. Presently the Board may only check private fraternity rooms if there is a complaint or an apparent problem.

### Men Need Not Be Policed

Reeder told the committee the fraternity men did not need to be policed and the individual brotherhood should be allowed to make its own regulations. "IFC should not exercise in loco parentis over fraternity houses," Reeder stated.

"Furthermore," Reeder said, "visitation rights are a privilege and a right that every fraternity man should have. He should have the same privileges as men living in apartments or even women for that matter."

The Administrative committee indicated to Reeder that if IFC would no longer exercise discipline over fraternity

houses, the University would establish its own means of checking.

Reeder said that at the last meeting of the Administrative committee, committee members issued a statement which raised possible technicalities involved in the bill. "We had hoped for a yes or a no answer," Reeder said.

At last night's meeting, Reeder urged council members to take action on the visitation bill. "The legitimate channels of change have become clogged," Reeder said.

"The action, or rather inaction, of the committee is a personal insult to one of the longest standing groups on campus who have always shown themselves to be responsible in handling their activities," Reeder said.

"I don't foresee 24-hour visitation as turning fraternities into a brothel," he added.

### Support for Visitation

A referendum circulated earlier in the week indicated wide support for both the visitation bill and action by IFC in the event that the council did not receive the approval of the Administrative Committee on Student Affairs.

Out of 1,517 votes, 95 per cent of the fraternity men voted yes to the question "Do you support recent IFC action to give the individual fraternity man the right to exercise the prerogative of entertaining women guests 24-hours a day in fraternity houses."

To the question "Would you be in support of IFC should it decide to implement a policy of 24-hour visitation without the explicit approval of the Administrative Committee on Student Af-

fairs," 83 per cent of the men voted yes. Reeder expressed satisfaction that the bill was passed although he indicated that such passage without action by the Administrative Committee could cause "difficulties."

Tom Eschbaugh, Board of Control president stated that as a result of the bill's passage a slight change would be made in the definition of a social function. He explained that the presence of alcoholic beverages in public areas of a fraternity house constitutes a social function. Social functions must end at 2 a. m.

In other business a bill, passed at the last IFC meeting calling for a revision of rush policies, was repealed at last night's meeting. As a result of the action, first term students may no longer rush.

### Reconsider Rush Bill

Upon reconsideration of the bill many fraternity men found difficulties in the 13-week rush period initiated by the bill. Members of the council expressed concern over the financial problems created by the bill. It also was felt that the new rush policy would hurt the smaller houses and favor those houses open during the summer.

Reeder said the change of rush regulations deserves more time and consideration than was given to the bill. A committee has been established to investigate programs for the restructuring of rush policies as well as studying them at other universities of comparable size.

An announcement was made that the Iron Butterfly concert scheduled for Saturday night in Rec Hall was a sell-out as of 10 a. m. yesterday morning.

## Oswald Sees University; Spends Weekend Here

By DENISE BOWMAN  
Collegian Staff Writer

John W. Oswald, mentioned as the possible successor to Eric A. Walker as president of the University, visited the University this weekend.

Oswald, who is vice president of the University of California at Berkeley, attended the Penn State - Ohio University football game with his wife and son, and later attended a reception at the home of Col. Gerald F. Russell, assistant to the provost.

Oswald arrived at the University Saturday morning and toured the campus by air and on foot with his family and Russell before the football game.

Oswald said he "enjoyed the visit very much as did Mrs. Oswald and my son John." The Oswalds sat in the west stands at the game at the 30-yard line.

"The only bad part about the whole trip," Oswald said, "was when Penn State was behind 3-0, but I was assured that wouldn't last too long."

Sunday afternoon, Oswald visited Old Main and talked with Stuart Patton, professor of agriculture and member of the Senate committee who did not meet Oswald at his July interview. Before leaving the State College area, Oswald and his family toured the residential areas and saw the Episcopal church.

Oswald visited the University at the invitation of Russell on behalf of the Trustees.

Paul W. Bixby, Senate committee chairman, said the committee decided not to meet with Oswald last Saturday since his visit was to be a "social one."

The announcement of a new University president is expected to come "before Dec. 1," according to Roger W. Rowland, president of the Board of Trustees. Rowland indicated that the special Trustee "search" committee "hopes to have a recommendation for the executive committee to consider "at its Nov. 14 meeting."

A full Board is needed to approve the recommendations of the Board committee before the announcement can be made. Ten days notice must be given before a full Board meeting can be held and considered legal.

The full Board was scheduled to meet on Nov. 14 but was cancelled when several members said they could not attend. Five members of the 32-member Board are needed to call or cancel a full Board meeting.

Oswald was one of three men invited to the University last July to meet with members of a special University Senate advisory committee to the Board of Trustees. The other men were Gordon J. F. MacDonald, vice chancellor of research and graduate affairs at the University of California at Santa Barbara, and Stephen H. Spurr, dean of the graduate school at the University of Michigan.

All three men were on the Senate committee's "A" desirability list which was submitted to the Trustees on May 15. The desirability lists were compiled by the committee from the more than 100 names submitted to it. The Senate committee placed each man on one of the five lists, from "A" to "E", on the basis of biographical data secured by them.

Following the interviews, each of the three men were

rated separately by members of the Senate committee and these ratings were submitted to the Trustees on July 31.

The consensus of the ratings of the committee as a whole showed Spurr as first, followed by MacDonald and Oswald. Robert S. Bernstein, graduate student in biochemistry and student member of the committee, said as far as qualifications go, "any one of the three men interviewed would be very qualified to serve as University president."

Oswald reportedly left his former post as president of the University of Kentucky for the University of California as a result of a disagreement with the Kentucky legislature over academic freedom. Though Oswald gave no reason at the time of his resignation, the April 22 Chronicle on Higher Education said Oswald was "concerned about what he considered threats to academic freedom in Kentucky."

The first threat, the Chronicle said, was the creation of a Kentucky Un-American Activities Committee in 1968 by the general assembly. The second was the criticism of three university faculty members, who were engaged in a lawsuit challenging the constitutionality of the committee, by Kentucky Governor Louie B. Nunn.

The third threat, according to the Chronicle, was a statement by Nunn, who said, "It seems to me we are going to take a long, hard look at some of the people to whom our youth are exposed."

The fourth, and most controversial, threat was an unsuccessful effort by the Kentucky general assembly to pass a speaker-ban law for state-supported institutions.

The State Journal said, "there may have been at least a tinge of politics involved in the resignation of Dr. John W. Oswald as president of the University of Kentucky."

## Sudborough Directs Resolutions To 'Year of the Black' Policy

By MIKE WOLK  
Collegian Staff Writer

Graduate Student Association policy this year will be directed to make this "the year of the black," according to Hal Sudborough, GSA president.

Three major resolutions for GSA policy were proposed by Sudborough at last night's GSA meeting.

The proposals were:

—the establishment of scholarship funds "for equal opportunity, to assist culturally different, as well as economically and socially disadvantaged graduate and undergraduate students enrolled or planning to enroll at the University."

—that the GSA council main-

tain full participation in the University Senate.

—establishment of a policy of increased GSA public relations to increase communication among graduate students, and with the University community."

GSA voting procedure dictated that a vote on adoption of the resolutions be postponed until the next scheduled meeting, to take place in two weeks.

Last night's meeting also opened GSA nominations for delegation to the University Senate. A complete list of

nominees will be available by the next scheduled meeting, when a vote will take place.

The proposed scholarship fund must be submitted for approval to the University Board of Trustees, whose next full meeting takes place in January. If approved, the scholarship proposal will be implemented immediately. The funds gathered for U.C. scholarships will be handled by the Penn State Foundation, an alumni group dedicated to raising funds for the University.

GSA participation on Senate committees will give the graduate students a more direct role in implementing useful programs for the University, according to Sudborough.

Public relations between graduate students themselves and between graduates and the rest of the university community would best be channeled through "The Fructus," a publication by GSA members and through "better use of The Daily Collegian," according to Sudborough.

## People Support Letter Criticizing Oct. M-Day

By ALLAN YODER  
Collegian Editorial Editor

A letter to the editor from the dean of the College of Engineering criticizing the thrust of the Oct. 15 Vietnam War Moratorium prompted 81 persons to endorse the letter and return it with signatures to the Collegian office.

In his letter, Nunzio J. Palladino, dean of the college, said, "We chastised ourselves on Oct. 15. It is time to turn our pressure for peace upon Hanoi."

Palladino asked readers who agreed with his letter to sign it and return it to the Collegian. Since last Thursday when the letter was published, the Collegian has received not only singly signed copies, but ones accompanied by as many as 48 signatures.

The letter was also printed in the Centre Daily Times, which reported yesterday that eight signed copies were returned to that newspaper.

In a front page column, the CDT said, "A grand total of eight responses have been received. Any more?"

One person who agreed with Palladino's letter was the adviser to the Young Americans for Freedom, Harold G. Richard, also a research assistant in the University's Laboratory, added to his endorsement: "I'm surprised that you (The Collegian) were even willing to include the letter in The paper. The other

side of the coin is not often seen in the Collegian." He added, "The Collegian is normally as objective and impartial as the Viet Cong."

Palladino's letter, which called for action to force North Vietnam "to negotiate a peace which will give the South Vietnamese a chance to reasonably determine their destiny," was not supported by YAF.

The organization did, however, post the letter at the table on the ground floor of the Hetzel Union Building so that passers by could sign it.

The letter published in The Collegian was the same as the one published in the CDT. Both letters stated in Hanoi "instead of gloating over the war weariness in America and refusing now to admit South Vietnam to the peace table, will feel some pressure to negotiate for peace not for the spoils of war."

While some letter signers said that the "silent majority" was now being heard, Collegian Managing Editor Glenn Krantley evaluated the letters response by saying, "Signatures don't equal 4,000 candlelight marchers."

## Name Change Proposed For Discussions Office

By ROB McHUGH  
Collegian Staff Writer

University President Eric A. Walker this week will receive a proposal recommending that the Office for Student Discussions be renamed the Office for Student Inclusion.

The recommendation was formed Sunday at an open meeting of a special committee set up to nominate candidates for a permanent director of the office. About 20 non-members attended the meeting.

Committee Members: Student members of the committee are: Ted Thompson, president of the Undergraduate Student Government; Ron Batchelor, president of the Organization of Student Government Associations; Hal Sudborough, president of the Graduate Student Association, and Jim Dorris, editor of The Daily Collegian.

Faculty members of the committee include: Hugh E. Urban, associate professor of human development; William A. Steele, professor of chemistry; Richard G. Cunningham, head of the department of mechanical engineering, and Margaret B. Watson, professor of sociology.

Members of the committee argued that the name change would better fit the functions of the office as defined by the committee.

At an Oct. 12 meeting, the committee adopted a definition for the office: "The Office for Student Discussions, through the director, shall seek to insure the inclusion of students' interests in the decision-making processes in the University."

The committee agreed at that time that the job of the director would not be to obtain a consensus of student opinion for presentation to those making University decisions, but to insure the presentation of the range of student opinions. The committee also agreed to recommend that the director have access to all committees within the University.

Delays Nominee Discussion: Although Sunday's meeting was to be used for discussion of nominees for the office, the committee delayed this action. Sudborough argued it was necessary to define the role of the office before nominees could be considered. "I'm under the impression that there are a lot of groups and people who will not consider

this office until its role is defined," Sudborough said.

He added that the committee "won't be able to consider certain people until the office's role is well-defined." Members of the committee agreed that the discussion of candidates should wait until they received assurances that Walker and Charles L. Lewis, vice president for student affairs, would accept the new definition of the office and its functions.

Donald Rung, associate professor of mathematics, questioned the functions defined for the director. "A director representing the spectrum of student opinion is absurd," Rung said. He added that there is "no way of sampling" all student opinion.

"I prefer to see the idea of an ombudsman pursued," Rung said, a director who would "attempt to redress serious grievances the system closes itself against."

Committee members again discussed whether the director should have access to all committees "is something no one could guarantee."

Access to Committees: Thompson suggested that whenever the office involved with an issue, Walker could write a charge giving it access to relevant committees. Steele said it should be the director's job to make sure there is an answer to a specific complaint or issue. "It's not his responsibility (to insure) that this be a satisfactory answer," he added.

"We've got no way to guarantee that any particular point of view will be bought," Urban commented. He called the office "a mechanism designed to provide an opportunity for students to speak for themselves."

The committee also discussed whether the office should be formed as a voice of minority groups on campus. Thompson called for an office "with power to address itself to an issue, not to (be concerned with) the minority vs. the majority."

Earlier Thompson said, "If we're to set up an office to stand for 'the silent majority,' I see no need because we already know how much this majority speaks up. We just can't create an office for silence." Steele told the other members, "If you make him (the director) an advocate, you're required to make him an advocate of the majority."

## Second USG Election Fills Four Vacant Fraternity Seats

By LARRY REIBSTEIN  
and STEVE SOLOMON  
Collegian Staff Writers

Four fraternity candidates were elected to the Undergraduate Student Government yesterday in an election marked by an unusually light turnout.

The election was held after the USG Supreme Court last week voided the returns for fraternity candidates in the USG general elections. The

Supreme Court ruled that "undue influence" by pollsters prevented a fair election.

In its decision, the Court upheld a complaint that voters were told they must vote for a full slate of candidates, which is contrary to USG election rules.

### Election Results

The winning candidates and their vote totals are as follows: —Sandy Lipsman (Phi Kappa Theta) - 64

—Gary Rochester (Zeta Beta Tau) - 52

—Jeff Michelson (Sigma Alpha Mu) - 47

—Barry Newman (Alpha Epsilon Pi) - 46

The two losing candidates are Barry Roberts (Zeta Beta Tau) and David Rosenberg (Alpha Epsilon Pi).

According to Steve Smallow, a member of the elections commission, about 135 of the more than 2,500 fraternity students voted.

Registration houses were required to submit a list of their membership to allow the elections commission to validate fraternity affiliation. Smallow said a few houses

did not submit the list, thus disqualifying their members from voting through members.

The Supreme Court will rule today on a charge that Ray DeLevie, winner of a West Hall's seat, had terminated his residence hall contract and moved to an apartment before being certified.

USG Supreme Court Chief Justice Harry Hill said that impeachment proceedings will be taken by Congress if DeLevie's alleged move is found unconstitutional.

### Refers to Constitution

DeLevie said last night that he did not attempt to violate the USG constitution. He referred to a clause in the constitution which he said implied that a change of residence was not enough to disqualify his seat.

The clause reads: "An elected congressman shall retain his seat on the Congress despite a change in his place of residence, as long as he retains his status as a matriculated student, and meet any other requirements set by the Congress and the University."

"I was a legal candidate and was legally elected," DeLevie said.

### Half-Time Reserved for Blacks

## L.A. 198 To Issue Statement

By RENA ROSENSON  
Collegian Staff Writer

The possibility of plans for a half-time demonstration during the Boston College-Penn State football game Saturday were revealed last night at a regular meeting of the New University Conference.

According to Wells Keddie, assistant professor of labor studies, the Liberal Arts 198 class with the help of the Black Student Union, the NUC and unaffiliated participants have reserved half-time to present a statement to the public.

The proposed format of the demonstration includes the reading of the statement by one person surrounded by all other participants on the field, according to Keddie. Keddie refused to give details of the statement except to say that it is "a very strong statement" and "not one which merely discusses the sins of the whites."

He said the statement will be presented in writing to all members of the press in the press box at half-time, but the contents will remain a secret until that time.

Keddie said Donn Bailey, instructor of liberal arts 198, hopes to have television coverage of the demonstration. He said it is hoped that the demonstration will be a half-hour documentary staged around the demonstration, the statement and audience reactions. NUC has

been asked to assist cameramen in getting the desired shots of the entire demonstration, and in "protecting the cameras."

In other business, suggestions for activities for the Nov. 13-14 Moratorium were discussed. NUC is in charge of getting its members and supporters to participate in Friday's workshops, and therefore decided not to participate in Thursday's activities and Saturday's march on Washington, but to concentrate their efforts on Friday's activities.

According to Diane Weiss, (graduate-political science-State College), the idea of the workshops is to "delve more intensively into the issues which were only touched upon during the October Moratorium." She said the Coalition for Peace, the group in charge of organizing and publicizing the Moratorium, has taken over the entire Hetzel Union Building for Friday's workshops.

Weiss said the format of the workshops has not officially been decided, but between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. separate workshops will be held in rooms all over the building. The discussions will be informal, she said, and the leaders will decide on a specific topic for discussion well in advance of that day. According to Fred Reisz, of the Lutheran Ministry, the purpose of the workshops is "to get away from the five minute speeches with no interchange."

## Whitmore Lab Probes Apollo 11 Moon Rocks


The moon fell on State College yesterday. Yesterday afternoon the University received a part of the moon brought back to earth by the men of Apollo 11.

Robert Barhens, graduate student in high temperature chemistry, said the rock arrived yesterday directly from the Houston manned space center.

The rock, according to Barhens, was sent to the University's High Temperature Chemistry Group for "high temperature thermodynamic experiments and calculations."

The group will study the thermodynamic properties and crystalline structure and compare them to known earth substances.

"Most of the rock will be kept in a vacuum at all times," Barhens said. The rock is being kept in Whitmore Laboratory.



—Collegian Photo by Pierre Bellin  
STARS MAY HAVE FALLEN on Alabama, but the Moon fell on State College yesterday. Part of the moon brought back by Apollo 11 has arrived at the University for analysis.