## Editorial Opinion

## No May Day-At Last!

A ridtculous and quite unnecessary event has been climmated flom the student's calendar of events-May Du:

One thang is certain. No one wall weep over its absence, nor will the Unversity suffer any loss of prestige over the fact that coeds will not be prancing around Old Main lawn this spring.

Another event. Senior Class Night, will take its place. The recognition that this event has not received in the past may be achieved through such excellent substitution. The senior class as a whole, and not a small number of "honored" coeds can enjoy Class Night events.

The reasons given for dropping the May Day are the same ones that have been in the minds of a great many students in years past, but which were thrown off lightly by the people responsible for the event.

A new tuend which has been evident in the past semes ter has been for the dean of women's office as well as WSGA to consider possible problems relating to the administratoon of women students and to come up with positive solutions. This is a great improvement over the old policies of leaving matters as they were for the sake of remaining "stable.

For this recent measure of abandoning the May Day tradition and for the action that is being taken to reevaluate all policies pertaining to the women students, those responsible must be commended.

Such an attutude can only result in a more responsible, mature and satisfied body of women students at this University.

## Trying to Save Money

More efficient operation of the University's academic and business staffs is one way the University might make the best of its present financial condition.

President Enc A. Walker in his State of the University message to faculty and staff yesterday said that one of the nine colleges may be used as an experiment toward better efficiency.

Under such an experiment, Prexy explained the organization of one of the colleges would be completely reatranged. Dilferent operating systems would be tested. This method would supply better information than if smaller experiments were conducted in separate colleges.

Regardless of our financial situation, such an experiment would provide valuable information. And since the whims of state legislators are so irregular, this information could be used to save money under any conditions.
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Letters

## Sr. Balks at Greek Critics

TO THE EDITOR: I would like to personally commend The Daily Collegian and Froth magazine for their perfectly system which are so explicitly based on generalizations and stereotypes - which are supposedly reserved for fraternity men themselves. It is truly can be so completely dragged through the mire on what amounts to three charges of very questionable validity: (1) pledging, (2) parties and (3) scholarship.
The Daily Collegian and Froth happen to be the only cation on the campus and can therefore take any stand and stitle criticism to any degree desired, but since freedom ol the press is so jealously guardvoice in defermining what will be advocated in these our official school publications. I wonder to what degree these "wo publications have studied Hell Week and pledging practices.
Most of the houses (I'm certain namesuest) be provided and humanized pledging, but Froth in its latest humorous issue even manages to deride sincere improvements. Freedom of the press involves responsi-
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bility, especially with the absence of competing mass communications.
Parties - is there something nald life on Satubout enjoyAre all fraternity men on the way to becoming alcoholics? In a letter to the editor one can never develop arguments in defense of twelve pages of
attack - especially when it will probably be cut anyway. To The Freshmen: rush, look, ask questions. If the answers are vague or incomplete, ask again; be pointed and rational; and remember we could make which are based on equally re pugnant filth as lack of religious emphasis (which Froth stresses).
Fraternity men are men who have decided that one way of life has advantages over the other way and are proud of sides, scholars, it is the only way to make sensible choices. - -Steven Ott. '60 Lo specific fut where reference their policies were mentioned. (Editor's Note: It has always been a policy never to cut arguments presented in a letter-
to-the-editor unless they were repetition of previous arguments).

