

Carruthers, Dave Burke, Tom Garrett.

On Cabinet and the Student Body

New Penn State students will soon find, if New Penn State students will soon find, if they don't know it already, that most student proposals must pass through All-College Cabinet before they may go into effect. New students will also find that they, and old students, don't take much interest in what cabinet is or does. That is unfortunate if for no other reason than this: cabinet spends \$12,000 annually in student fees. Most students do not realize that. If they did, interest in cabinet affairs would probably soar. soar

Cabinet will convene at 8 tonight for the first time this year. Seating space in 201 Old Main, cabinet's chamber, is limited. It is usually filled with students there to give reports, plus a few interested administrators.

Tonight cabinet will consider the first of several proposals coming out of the 1953 Student Encampment. Some proposals will have only indirect influence upon the student body,

through student government. Many proposals coming out of the encamp

ment, however, will have direct importance to the student body. A proposal for wearing coats and ties for some dormitory meals may be heard. Proposals for revision of traffic and park-ing penalties may be presented. A decision may be made on Penn State's membership in the National Student Association.

Cabinet is willing to hear a student voice his opinion at any meeting, but few students avail themselves of the chance. They prefer to criticize the College and cabinet, and are not willing to attend a meeting where they may get all or most of the facts.

It is wasted effort to bemoan the lack of interest in cabinet action. Someday, perhaps in the far off future, students may become in-terested enough in cabinet at least to force it into a larger room. This would be a start.

Until students take a deeper interest in their own affairs, cabinet will have difficulty in meas-uring student sentiment. As a result, true student desires will often not be met.

Kinsey Report: Let's Dr. Alfred C. Kinsey's latest book was pub-Face It

lished last week with much less of a hub-bub lished last week with much less of a hub-bub than reports of its contents earlier this sum-mer. Kinsey's book, "Sexual Behavior in the Human Female," was published Sept. 14. The hub-bub was precipitated because the study told us some things we didn't want to hear. The world is still here and American morals are much the same this week as they were the more the same the same the same the same the

week before the Kinsey report came out. The book was the culmination of a 15-year study of 5940 white females, published about six years after Kinsey told us about the American male. Kinsey's findings showed most American women do not live up to American moral standards. These standards, he suggests, are not nat-ural. Kinsey says the human is natural, his actions conflict with the moral code, and thus the code must be unnatural. 🚿

One reaction to the Kinsey report was that it is not factual, and that it will lead the nation's women to moral degeneration. Kinsey protected his report by admitting the faults and saying he is only reporting facts, not advising. And although Kinsey's word will not be the last, his report, factual or not, is the closest thing to fact we know.

Many newspapers unrealistically ignored the report. Ministers said it is dangerous and one even suggested it be restricted to teachers and marriage guidance experts. Such restriction would only negatively popularize the book.

We are living in an age of unparalleled frankness. Young people want to know about sex and sex relations, and they deserve to know the facts and learn them in the proper way. Hiding what little we know, refusing to acknowledge what is fact, is not the way to better education.

Kinsey returns profits of his books into more research and does not benefit materially from them. He works only as a scientific reporter. If what he reports is repulsive, it is not his fault, but rather the fault of those he reports about. If he finds humans do not abide by their own moral standards, he is not advocating removal of those standards. But when standards and actions do not coincide, some alteration must be made

Kinsey has suggested the human moral code

is unnatural. This, however, does not mean the code is wrong. If the code were natural, humans would likely behave as animals. It is necessary to have a moral code to raise the level of those actions.

Reaction to the Kinsey report has been some-what immature. We must be able to face the facts. Kinsey himself admits the facts may be distorted, but until someone comes up with something better, there is not much reason not to believe them. Any distortion was not intentional.

We cannot hide from what Kinsey found. If we do not like what he found, we can change it. And no person can actually use Kinsey's findings as an excuse to be immoral. The reaction to Kinsey by some people re-

minds us of the little girl who was embarrassed when she read a book on penguins. "I learned lots about penguins," she said, "but I didn't want to learn so much."

Gazette ...

ANDROCLES HAT SOCIETY MIXER, after pep rally, Pi Kappa Alpha. CHAPEL CHOIR rehearsal, 7-9 p.m., 117 Car-

negie. CHRISTIAN SCIENCE ORGANIZATION, 6:45 COLLEGIAN CIRCULATION STAFF meeting, 6:30 p.m., Business office. LAVIE CANDIDATES meeting, 8 p.m., 405 Old

Main.

NEWS AND VIEWS staff and candidates, 6:30

p.m., 14 Home Economics. WRA SWIMMING CLUB, beginners, 6:45 p.m., advanced, 7:30 p.m., White Hall pool. WRA OFFICIALS CLUB, 6:30 p.m., 2 White

WRA OFFICIALS CLUB, 6:30 p.m., playroom, Hall. WRA OUTING CLUB, 6:30 p.m., playroom, White Hall. YOUNG REPUBLICANS MIXER, 7:30 p.m., State College Hotel. STUDENT EMPLOYMENT

day through Saturday.

One breadslicer and wrapper from 7 to 9 p.m. Sunday through Friday.

Short 'Change By GUS VOLLMER. For the benefit of new students on campus and to the other 'old timers" who perhaps skipped over "Short 'Change" before, this is a column of items found in college newspapers throughout the country. These are, in short, items I have "lifted" because I thought they might be of interest to you, the readers. It seems the hardest fought

sity last spring wasn't fought for any student office. It was for a comic strip. The present comic any student onice. It was for a alone," Mrs. Claudine Mason, comic strip. The present comic counselor to women, said. "The strip in the Cornell Daily Sun, girls merely wanted to have cos-"Li'l Abner," was running second to "Peanuts." Third choice was "Beetle Bailey," with "Alley Oop," "Steve Canyon," and Bibler following in close succession

following in close succession.

5-08

NOT PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN THE COURSE, BUT I HAVE AN OPEN PERIOD AT

THIS TIME

Abner.

"We agree wholeheartedly with

your decision to exterminate Li'l of course. Abner. Please replace him with our favorite little friend, Dennis the Menace.'

"Votes for Li'l Abner. Our sec-ond choice is for that great Amer-ican hero Mickey Spillane."

sation.

Daily gloated, noticea, that a University are professionalized. Professional athletes are paid to win.' ***

of Colorado gave his number one Some letters to the editor read: prize for absentmindedness to a Some letters to the editor read: prize for absolutiniteduces to a "Eat Peanuts. We want Li'l professor who gave his Tuesday-"Thursday course a lecture meant bner." "Weep Li'l Abper and take out for his Monday-Wednesday-Fri-

"Keep Li'l Abner and take out day course. the movie reviews." His second prize? To students who never noticed the difference,

> The Georgia Tech Technique claims touring a dance floor will

They say at Mississippi State **Denver University** has lost for the second time its court battle against the Colorado State Su-preme Court's decision that one of its injured football players should get workmen's compen-sation

does. The University of Colorado Here at Penn State, have you preme Court decision does not wearing new shoes and freshman mean that athletics at **Denver** women carry purses? Here's a story from the UCLA Daily Bruin: "Blondes battle brunettes in 2 to 5 pm.

ALWAYS ROOM FOR ONE MORE-TAKE MY CHAIR AN' I'LL HAVE ONE SENT UP FOR MAR YOU TOMORROW

1,8

Ties in Dining Halls: Coats and

Pro . . .

The requirements for men living in College dormitories to wear coats and ties to be ad-mitted to noon meal Sunday is a constructive one, and should be accepted by dormitory men as a requirement which will benefit them greatly as they continue their College careers.

For students who have already incorporated such a habit into their living pattern, this re-quirement is not a burden. However, for those who resent an improvement in their living habits, such a change is dastardly. "Why should the College run my life for me?" may be the retort of some. However, these students simply show, through

analysis of this remark, that they lack any idea of how the College is trying to aid them personally.

Some feel a system of required coats and ties is applicable to the West Dormitory area, but not to the Nittany-Pollock dining hall, While many men living in the East Dorms area rile at the condition of the "mess hall," the requirement should not be prohibitive. In fact, coats and ties can provide a pleasant change of at-mosphere while men eat their meals. Although the hall is unattractive, the requirement for men to wear the additional clothing does not lose merit.

The policy has been tried one week. After it has been tested one year, a better view of the requirement may be made and proper judg-ment applied. Until then, coats and ties should continue for the Sunday noon meal. As time goes by, there will be fewer gripes and more understanding if the men can look to the future and not at what their past customs have been. -Phil Austin

Con . . .

No one would give serious thought to asking a "skid row" inhabitant to wear a tux for din-

a "skid row" inhabitant to wear a tux for din-ner, even on Sunday. While living conditions in Nittany-Pollock are not quite down to "skid row" level, they are still not of a type in which you could ex-pect students to "dress up." There are East Dorms residents who, at Sun-

day noon, return from church and change to casual clothing before going to dinner because (1) the atmosphere isn't there or (2) they don't want to get their better clothing spoiled.

Certainly there is some reason for men (and women, too, for that matter) to dress a little better than usual on Sunday, but requiring them to wear coat and tie in the Nittany dining hall is asking a little too much. It would be much more sensible if, instead, the Dean of Men's office would request shirts (dress or sport) and slacks (to eliminate dungarees) be worn on Sunday.

An Army-barracks-type dining hall just doesn't seem to be the place for shirts and suits. Even more objectionable than requiring these

people to wear ties and coats is the method of enforcement: refusal to serve them a meal which has already been paid for. Of course, if refunds were granted to those who did not care to eat in Nittany on Sunday, the idea would probably be greeted with great joy, and these lucky students would most likely wear "coat and tie" to eat somewhere with a Sunday atmosphere.

Certainly any student dressed in decent, clean clothing should be allowed to eat his Sunday 🐣 meal.

.

<u>—Bill Jost</u>

he said. *** Under the title "The Baby Shoes of Democracy" the student magazine of the Free University of Berlin gives a pair of baby shoes to the member of the Ger-man parliament judged respon-sible for the year's worst oratory. This year the contest was a two-way draw, and a shoe apiece of redheads, naturally as well as

This year the contest was a ganized rooting section composed two-way draw, and a shoe apiece went back to the winners. One sent his shoe back to the magazine, but the other wanted to know how he could earn the entire pair. Northwestern students are all in a furor over a recent ruling of the Associated Women Students, banning Bermuda shorts from university buildings. Bermuda shorts end just one inch above the knee. Pedal pushers, wear tennis shoes." , Ē

.