By Bibler

The Baily Collegian

Published Fuesday through Saturday mornings inclusive during the College year by the staff of The Daily Collegian of The Pennsylvania State College.

Entered as second-class matter July 5, 1934, at the State College, Pa. Post Office under the act of March 3, 1879

Collegian editorials represent the viewpoints of the writers, not necessarily the policy of the newapaper. Unsigno editorials are by the editor.

Mary Krasnansky Edward Shanken Business Mgr.

Managing Ed., Ron Bonn; City Ed., George Glazer; Sports Ed., Ernic Moore; Edit. Dir., Bob Fraser: Makeup Ed., Moylan Mills: Wire Ed., Len Kolasinski: Society Ed., Carolyn Barrett; Feature Ed., Rosemary Delahanty: Asst. City Ed., Lee Stern: Asst. Sports Eds., Dave Colton, Bob Vosburg: Asst. Society Ed., Greta Weaver: Librarian, Joan Kuntz: Exchange Ed., Paul Beighley: Senior Bd., Bud Fenton.

STAFF THIS ISSUE

Night editor: Chuck Henderson; Copy editors: Andy McNeillie, Julie Ibbotson; Assistants: Irwin Weiner, Dick McDowell, Bob Landis,

Betty Allen.
Ad Staff: Alison Monley, Betty Lou Yarnell.

Ag Fee Increase Justifiable, Fair

While the move to have all students pay a ten cent per semester fee for the support of the agricultural judging teams appears on the surface to be an attempt by the aggies to get the rest of the College to bear the expenses of the teams, such is not the case. Careful consideration of all the facts leads us to believe that the fee is both justifiable and fair.

The nine judging teams for which the fee is he fine judging teams for which the fee is being asked do not represent the School of Agriculture. They represent Penn State, just as much as does the football teams, the debating team, the glee club, and the blue band. And, just as these activities are to a large extent supported by student fees, so should the judging teams.

while it may be true that the large majority of men on the teams is enrolled in the School of Agriculture, it is also true that a large part of the debating team is enrolled in the School of Liberal Arts and in the technical schools. The same analogy might be made of athletes; a large number of athletes are en-rolled in the School of Physical Education and Athletics.

We have heard no proposal that students in these schools be expected to meet the costs of debating or athletics without the aid of the remainder of the College chipping in.

The committee which has drawn up the proposal has been aware, however, that the people up on Ag Hill have a far greater interest in the judging teams than do the people "down on main campus." For that reason they have not proposed that the cost of supporting these teams be spread equally throughout the Col-

Since Ag Hill has a special interest in the judging teams, students enrolled in the School of Agriculture will be paying 85 cents per semester for the teams under the plan, while all non-agricultural students will be paying but 10 cents a semester. If the figures drawn up by the committee are accurate, and we have every reason to believe that they are. 18.2 per cent of the students (those enrolled in agriculture) would be paying about two-thirds of the costs of the judging teams, while the 81.8 per cent of the students in non-agricultural curricula would be paying one-third the costs. third the costs.

In cold cash figures, agricultural students would be paying about \$3000 per year in fees and all other students would be paying about

The need for the fee is apparent from the study made by the School of Agriculture committee. Judging team members are paying an average of \$44.80 per year out of their own pockets in order to represent Penn State in intercollegiate competition. On some teams the personal expenses of team members run up to \$87.20 a year.

Just as we would object to members of the debate team, the blue band, the glee club, or the football team being asked to pay for trips out of their own pockets, we think it is unfair to ask the members of the judging teams to do so.

Ten cents from each student is not asking too much. It is a fee which should be approved.

Without Honor

(From the Pitt News)

In the past, basketball—as played by Pitt—has occasionally bordered on the farcial. When it did, the reason was mainly because we were so obviously the underdogs. The farce was in the logsided score.

We have become accustomed to supporting second-rate teams, but our unhappiness about the win-loss record has always been tempered by a certain amount of good-humored tolerance. We didn't expect too much but we knew our boys were always trying. If they lost, it was

not without honor. But, no matter how you look at it, the performance last Saturday night was nothing but pure farce. The similarity between TV wrestling and Pitt basketball was overwhelming.

We lost and lost without honor. The "deep traces" tactics may have kept the score down

freeze" tactics may have kept the score down but they made Pitt look like a troop of buffoons. If we are to follow the theory that our athletic teams represent us on the playing fields, what must they be thinking up at Penn State?

We think it stinks!

Chapel Goal Would Increase Collections

We wouldn't like to make any predictions, but the prospects for a campus chapel seem to be getting better.

Sentiment for the chapel is growing among students as well as faculty members. Before we will be able to get a chapel, however, we will have to get the funds, and that means that the Chapel collection will have to be diverted toward the construction of a chapel.

At present the Chapel collection, which in recent years has reached \$4500 annually, has been going to Penn State-in-China. But since the communist government has made it impossible for Westerners to operate on the mainland, the Penn State-in-China operation at Linguan University has ceased.

The Penn State-in-China funds are now going to Daddy Groff and an assistant who are preparing research data on Oriental plant life. We think the original intent of the Penn State-in-China has long ended and that students would rather see the Chapel collection go toward the construction of a chapel.

One result of the diversion of the funds to a chapel would probably be an immediate increase in the amount of money given in the collection. Given a tangible goal, students and other Chapel-goers would, we feel cer-

tain, be more generous with their money.

From almost every angle, the diversion of the collection for a chapel seems the wise thing to do at this time.

Safety Valve-Reader Calls Prejudice Against Jew a Sickness

TO THE EDITOR: The recent controversy concerning the alleged anti-semitism and bigotry of Upton Close, has prompted me to put try of Upton Close, has prompted me to put into writing my personal views on the subject of religious prejudice. I can honestly say that in my lifetime, I can remember hating only one thing: that thing has been, and always will be, prejudice against the Jew. Please don't misunderstand me and form the opinion that I hate people who are prejudiced against Jews. I don't hate these individuals, I only feel a deep offliction for them. I firmly believe that the affliction for them. I firmly believe that the existence of such a prejudice-feeling in the mind is a definite form of sickness.

By now, the reader probably realizes that I am a fanatic on the issue of prejudice. I cannot for the life of me understand how anyone can dislike a person because he is Jewish. In the next few lines, I would like to present true-to-life happenings that may give the reader a few sound reasons to agree that prejudice against the Jew is the sign of a warped and unjustified form of thinking.

My very best friend is a Jew. He firmly believes that Christ has not yet arisen. I am, on the contrary, a firm believer in the present-day existence of Christ. Although the two of us differ greatly in these respects, we are still the best of friends. Yes, Harold Goldstein is now, and I hope and pray, always will be my best friend.

Let's suppose that I had been prejudiced at the time that I met Harold. By being prejudiced, I would have denied myself the greatest friend a person could hope to find. We've taken trips together; we've gone out on double dates together; we've stayed at each other's homes; and we've eaten at each other's table. Is there anything wrong in this? Even though Harold would do, and has done, anything I ask of him, should I despise him because he is a Jew? I should I despise him because he is a Jew? I cannot imagine how any broad-minded, sensible human being can answer yes to any of these

questions.

I fully realize that I, and people who think as I do, are in the minority, but I pray to God, that the present day minority will someday be the majority. I am not trying to change anyone's opinion on this matter. I am only making an attempt to try to get the Jew-hater to think, and to ask himself, "Why am I prejudiced?", and to be honest with the answer that God will put into his heart. will put into his heart.

• Letter Cut

Gazette . . .

Wednesday, March 12 CAMERA CLUB, demonstration on "The Use of Varigam," 305 Horticulture Building,"

CHESS CLUB, 3 Sparks, 7 p.m. COFFEE HOUR, dean of men and cabinet, 109 Old Main, 4 p.m.

DELTA SIGMA PI. Phi Kappa Tau, 7:30 p.m.
MINING ENGINEERING SOCIETY, Mineral
Industries art gallery, 7:30 p.m.
NEWMAN CLUB lecture-discussion, Prof.

Case in charge, Rectory basement, 7:30 p.m. THETA SIGMA PHI, Grange game room,

6:30 p.m. WRA MODERN DANCE GROUP, Modern

dance room, 7 p.m.

COLLEGE HOSPITAL

Ascher Barmish, Elva Burgess, Sally Collins,
Paul Crofford, Douglas Frey, William Hamilton,
William Hartie, Lucy Joiner, Leonard Kilmer,
Barbara Lascher, Irving McNair, Jean Nisley,
William Parks, Hudson Samson, Charles Suer-

AT THE MOVIES
CATHAUM: Detective Story 2:13, 4:06, 5:59, 7:52, 9:45. STATE: When Worlds Collide 2:11, 4:03, 5:55,

NITTANY: The Strip 6:25, 8:19, 10:15.

Little Man On Campus



"Honest, Worthal, I'll just pop if I eat another bite."

Interpreting the News

Reds Try to Block German Cooperation

By J. M. ROBERTS JR. Associated Press News Analyst

Russia is making a new and carefully conceived effort to prevent the integration of Western Germany into the European defense setup. Her proposal for a German peace treaty climaxes years of lip service to the ideal that Germany must be reunited, but with treaty

regulation of her ability to make war. The Russians are burning both ends of the candle in this climatic

effort to prevent West Germany

let her have a national army under a general government, talks blithely of freedom of speech, press, religion, political

convictions, and assembly.

She appeals to France with a warning about the revival of German militarism and offers to en-

ter into a multi-power treaty; something like the one she al-ready has with France, directed against any Ger-man attack on the nations who fought her in World War II. All of the old Russian double-

talk is there about suppressing organizations which threaten democracy, and everyone now knows what Russia means when she uses that word—she means her own system. is If Russia were to grant to her

own citizens the rights which she now offers Germany—and were to live up to Western definitions of the terms—there would be no more cold war and no threat of hot war. She calls her iron disregard for the digwnity of the human being demo-

a month or more to its inception now under way.

from making new contractual arrangements with the Allies in lieu
of a peace treaty.

Moscow appeals to the German desire for unity, offers to
let her have a national army

through the puppet government of
Eastern Germany, it contains dangers for Russia, too, and by that
very fact proclaims itself as a
step in desperation.

The Allies can now, and probably will, take the offered opportunity to make Russia put up or shut up. They can hold a conference, and take the Russian proposal paragraph by paragraph and make the Moscow delegation book down There can be no clear. back down. There can be no clearcut victory, because the ultimate failure to agree would be over definitions. But this is one of the best chances yet to highlight Russian insincerity.

In the meantime, the Russians would accomplish one of their purposes if they could de-lay the arrangements now under way between Germany and the Allies. The Allied cue would be to go right ahead with the contractual and military arrangements, on the grounds that everything of this nature has always been subject to an ultimate peace treaty. Russian insistence that all steps should be delayed during negotiation might well bog down the whole conference. Russia would probably profit some by this through increasing confusion in the German mind.

There is hardly any doubt, however, that the Allies and the internationalists among the West Although this latest manuever Germans will push the contrac-was carefully planned, going back tual and military negotiations

COLLEGE PLACEMENT

American Smelting and Refining Co. will interview June graduates in Mctal. and Min.E. Monday, March 24.

Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Co. will interview June graduates in Ch.E., E.E., P.N.G. and Metal. Saturday, March 15.

S. S. Kresge Co. will interview Juneary Co.

M.E. and E.E. Wednesday, March 19.

Dr. Paul E. Williams will interview June graduates in Metal., M.E., E.E., C.E., Fuel Tech., C&F, A&L, Chem., Pre-Med., Zoo., Sci. and M.S. or B.S. candidates with experience in Bact. Monday, March 24.

Rohm and Haas Co. will interview June graduates in Ch.E. Chem. and Phys, Monday, March 24.

United Aircraft Corp. will interview ployment Camp Conrad Weiser, Wernersville, Pa. Will interview male councelors March 18 from 9 to 12 noon at the Student Employment Office, 112 Old Main.

June graduates in M.E. and Aero.E. Mon-day, March 24.

will interview June graduates in Commerce Monday, March 24.

Corning Glass Co. will interview June graduates in M.E., Ch.E., E.E., I.E., and Cer. Monday, March 24. They will interview Chem. and Phys. graduates at all levels.

Curtiss Wright Corp. (Propeller Division) will interview June graduates in Aero.E., March 25. They will also interview M.S. and E.E. Wednesday, March 19.

Dr. Paul E. Williams will interview STUDENT EMPLOYMENT