

quoted numerous instances to show how labor unions have used the boycott in their strikes to the great detriment of industry. Labor unions also limit the production. They reason on the principle that the less one man does the more there is for the others to do, and this was brought out most forcibly by Mr. Hayes by means of several very apt illustrations. He also dwelt to some extent on the intimidation of judges and justice in general which labor unions practice to a large extent, and showed that as all industry depends on the just administration of the laws of the country if the labor unions work to render these laws inactive and thus make them favorable to a special class they are striking at the very foundations of industry.

Mr. Rupp was the next speaker on the negative. He endeavored to show that the labor union is a great educational institution. In the enthusiasm of his argument he placed it above even the school and the church. He said that if you want to get the maximum output of a man and only want him to last a day, you must work him twenty-four hours; if you want him to last a year, you must work him twenty hours, if you want him to last a life-time, you must only work him eight hours. Numerous examples and the opinions of learned men were quoted to show the great advantages of short hours. He proved that the labor union was entirely responsible for all the laws which had been enacted for shorter hours in the past and the agitation which is going on at present. In short, Mr. Rupp built up an ideal union for the future, and what would happen *if* all these things came to pass.

Mr. Hart closed the instructive argument of the affirmative. He started out by endeavoring to show that the negative had been theorizing, that they were not there to discuss theoretical unions, but the labor union as it exists today. What the negative had been discussing was the principle of labor unionism. They had to confront facts not theories. He took up two phases of the labor union, due to their better organization, sympathetic strikes and trade of "professional" jealousy. The working of the sympathetic strike was taken up, as it is used in Chicago, and the building trade strikes of New York. The petty squabbles of the unions