

and thanks for his efforts in behalf of our team and for his efforts to make the meet a success. In the developing of the material all efforts possible were put forth by those interested in this line of work. And praise is also due to those who by their aid helped in this work. Their efforts are appreciated by all.

The last and concluding debate of the series was lost by "State" at State College by a score of 55 to 45. (The points counting on debate alone, as the oratorical ability of the two teams was declared equal by the judges.) Throughout the debate the greatest interest was manifested by the audience which was very enthusiastic and impartial. From beginning to end both teams fought valiantly, and had the debate ended with honors as they were at the end of the regular debate, State must have won. But the failure of State's team to rebut in a forceful and decisive manner lost for her what she had gained in the regular debate. But notwithstanding this State's work was good.

Dickinson, confident of winning, began in a manner not conducive to such confidence by the audience as was manifested by her team, but grew stronger and continued to grow thus until the finish. And in rebuttal, where State was weak, she developed her best arguments. One feature which received no approval by the audience was the mimicry practiced by Dickinson during the debate.

The mandolin club furnished music during the evening and was enthusiastically applauded and graciously responded when called upon.

Gen. James A. Beaver (of the class of '98) was presiding officer. The judges were:—Rev. George S. Chambers, D. D., Harrisburg, Pa.; Judge A. O. Furst, Bellefonte, Pa.; John E. Fox, Esq., Harrisburg, Pa.

The Dickinson team was composed as follows:—Caleb E. Burchenal, Canterbury, Del.; Boyd L. Spahr, Mechanicsburg, Pa.; Edmund Y. Presby, Manahawkin, N. J. State's team was:—Willis H. Landis, Lancaster, Pa.; Floyd H.