

attending a "Musical Convention" then being held in the Lutheran church at Pine Grove, a quiet, beautiful and peace loving village which nestles in the bosom of the mountains at a point six miles south of Pennsylvania State College.

And now, judging from the yarns in various newspapers, and an associated press report, there were some frightful things done that night. From some of the reports, one would think that these forty students bid fair to make their number as immortal as did Ali Baba's "forty thieves."

It certainly smacks of the Arabian nights to learn that forty students "terrorized" the four thousand inhabitants of Bellefonte, a town twenty miles distant, on this same evening. It is a great tribute to the prowess of our students, and if we could only rely upon it, we should certainly choose our foot-ball eleven from these forty magicians, tooters of tin horns and caterwaulers, certain of victory over all the teams between the two oceans.

But we cannot. The plain facts are, that these students did behave in a rude and boisterous manner. They did give "cuckoo yells" and applaud when the merits of the performance hardly demanded it. They did make a very cheap and free show of themselves to the great amusement of quite a number of the less thoughtful people of Pine Grove.

But they did not terrorize anyone. They did not harm anyone, or do anything that could justly be called malicious or vicious. They, it must be admitted, purchased their tickets and made the "convention" a financial success.

One thing is certain: these forty students are not willing to put themselves in such a false position again, or again make such use of the high spirits they get from our pure air. The thoughtful judgment of the great body of the students of Pennsylvania State College, which may be relied upon we know, is against ungentlemanly behavior, and demands that it shall not be misrepresented by the heedlessness of forty lower classmen, who perhaps do not yet realize the force and power of the sentiment for the right among the students, of

the existence of which we have again and again had evidence.

* * *

WE see that the "*Lafayette*" still insists that we are one of the "smaller colleges."

We are not "sensitive" upon this point:—the thought does not even "ruffle" our feelings as is sympathetically suggested. But we continue puzzled at the freedom with which Lafayette talks about "small colleges." We hope heartily for the sake of that institution that the standard of "smaller colleges" has been raised, and that it will be some time before we stop growing, and commence chewing the "nutmeg of consolation" in this style.

Eleven of our men—bona fide students, regularly matriculated, and pursuing studies giving them the right to be considered students, faced eleven of Lafayette's men—similarly qualified we suppose—and outplayed them from first to last. If we are of the "smaller colleges," pray where does Lafayette stand? Why "away down yander," so far as foot-ball is concerned. Though it never was more than a question of foot-ball, when it does come to a comparison of equipments we are ready to return Lafayette a Roland for every Oliver she can frighten out of cover, and assure her that she must at least go down with us into the lingo of the "smaller colleges."

However, since so great an authority as Cannon Farrar has pronounced in favor of smaller colleges, Lafayette can at least console herself that all is not lost.

* * *

OWING to the rigid system of inspection there can be no signal uncleanness upon the part of those rooming in the College building in the treatment of their rooms. And yet many do not show such a full appreciation as they might of the great health giving powers of light and fresh air.

To successfully do the absorbing work which is always facing him requires, demands, increasing care upon the part of the student for his general