The Free Lance.

Vol. 2. STATE COLLEGE, PA., DECEMBER, 1888.

No. 6.

THE FREE LANCE.

Published monthly during the college year by the Students of The Pennsylvania State College.

STAFF:

EDITOR, CURTIN G. ROOP, '89.

ASSOCIATE EDITORS:

JOHN S. WELLER, '89.

H. R. LEYDEN, '90.

J. C. M. ELLENBERGER, '90.

B. W. DEMMING, '90.

T. A. GILKEY, '91.

J. FRANK SHIELDS, '91.

Business Manager, W. H. WALKER, '90.
Assist. Business Manager, F. A. BRYAN, '90.

Contributions of matter and other information are requested from all members and ex-members of the College.

Literary matter should be addressed to the Editor. Subscriptions, and all business communications should be addressed to the Business Manager.

Entered at State College Post Office as second-class matter.

OR THE BENEFIT OF OUR BOYS who may have not seen the mention accorded us by the *Mirror* of Bucknell University, we clip the following: "If the corresponding secretary of the State College foot-ball team looks over his correspondence he will find a challenge from Bucknell which has not been accepted. These rash youths from the wilds of Centre county followed out the old saying, that 'fools rush in where angels fear to tread' by attempting to play base-ball with us last June. Of course, defeat was their experience. This fall they endeavored to get even with Bucknell by the aid of their foot-ball team. We were giving them the same dose as last June, and at the moment when our fellows were going to make a touch down, Cleaver

their referee, gave a rank decision. Our boys objected to the injustice, and State College only too glad to find a chance to escape said, that they would quit playing. They were perfectly willing to 'sneak off' without demanding any money for expenses." Also, "where are the loud-mouthed State College kickers? We have not heard anything of them lately." What the mission of the *Mirror* is, or what the code of Bucknell students as gentlemen (?) is we do not know. We quote the above to justify in the eyes of our readers the taking of space in our paper for the statement of facts which we are compelled to seriously say have left us with little respect for the word, lionor, or ordinary civility of Bucknell students, or at least that portion of the students who speak and act as representatives of "Bucknell athletics." All of the correspondence above referred to has been handed us. Under date of October 15, R. M. West, Bucknell's mouth-piece, wrote, "I should like very much to arrange for a game. . . I will guarantee you \$20.00 and locals; return game the same conditions;" (we italicise the word return because the correspondent underscored it.) On the strength of this offer we sent our eleven to Bucknell. After playing about twenty minutes Bucknell disputed a decision of State College's referee. The dispute was upon our referee's interpretatation of the rules on a certain point. When he asked Bucknell, whom we were visiting, to produce a copy of the rules, (our team had neglected to carry a copy along) for reference, they said, "We don't have any." We mention this incidentally, as significantly indicating the character of "Bucknell athletics," if they have a definite character, and also indicating the range of a Bucknell "kicker." When no copy of the rules could be produced our referee