BY MOORE & THOMPSON.

TE DE IN ME S'E

Thu " DEMOCRATIC BANNER", is published The "DEMOCRATIC BANNER" is published weekly, on Wednesday mornings, at \$2 per undum or \$1 75 if paid in advance.

No paper can be discontinued (unless at the option of the editors) until all arreatages are paid.

Pradvertisements, &c., at the usual rates.

## POETRY.

For the Banner.

OUT FOR A WIFE.

I am out for a wite, to got one if I can. A wife that will do for a sensible man; Like Paul, I confess, (the' not handsome I'own.) "Tis not good for man to be always alline !"

I wish for a wife that con do up nice dishes -'Can make pumpkin pics, and known how to "fr ' fishes;'"

Habe has not read Homer, nor Virgil, nor D'Orsay Yot, her soul may be filled with purer poetry.

I don't want a vixen, a slouch, nor a flirt. That's always at home, content in the dirt; Nor constantly gailding, and making of calls, And fixing up sating, to attend fancy balls.

I don't want a gigler, at this, and at that, Whether smart or genteel, shabby or flat But constant, and prudent-mild and discreet-Kind to friends poor and rich, whenever you mee

It protty, and witty, plays music and sings-They are well in their place, yet butterfly thing I want human nature, good sense, and no bran, · To compose the whole wite for a sensible man,

In this main question, of annexation, I wish her act free from all dictation, From triends or fondlers; father or mother. A cousin, an uncle, a sister or brother.

A bold, independent, and warm heated lass, That no sofrow can sink, no trouble harass; Who takes the world as it is, and accounts it pleasure:

To a sensible man, O! what a treasure!

I have smiled, and I've sighed, I have looked wise and winning,

But was always too bashful to make a beginning When you see this just try, if you suit the plane And sand in your bid, to A SENSIBLE MAN.

## Oregon Correspondence.

LETTER OF MR. BUCHANAN TO MR. PAKENHAM. Establishing our right to the whole of Oregon.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE. ?: .... Washington, Aug. 30, 1845. The undersigned Secretary of State of ntatement of hen Britannic Majesty's en-

our attention to the precise stipulations of that convention itself.?!

consideration, in the pres: The undersigned regrets that the Britquestion under consideration, in the prestion simply is, were the titles of Spain position of the true construction of the plenipotentiary must fail in the attempt the least intimation of this kind was ever always treated that title with respect. In and the United States, when united by Nootka convention. He had endeavored, to prove that it contains an admission communicated. the Rlorida treaty on the 22d of February, and he believes successfully, to prove that of certain principles of international laws, 1819, good as against Great Britain, to this treaty was transient in its very na- which will survive the shock of war. the Oregon territory as far north as the ture; that it conferred upon Great Britain the Gregon territory as the north as the jury, that it contents of the pritten previous properties convention was in force. It is the latitode of 54° 40'? no right but, that of merely trading with that from the silence, of Spain during the sed away and was forgotten, If they were, it will be admitted that this the Indians whilst the country should re- negotiations of 1818 between Great Britwhole territory now belongs to the United main unsettled, and making the necessary

The undersigned again remarks that it is not his purpose to repeat the argument ereignty of Spain over the territory. The by which his predecessor, Mr. Calhoun, has demonstrated the American title " to the entire region drained by the Columbia fair and legitimate, then it would not avail the entire region uramed by the Columbia Great Britain even if she should prove the the slipulations of the Nootka convention,

impair its force. .It is contended; on the part of Great Britain, that the United States acquired and hold the Spanish title subject to the terms and conditions of the Nootka Sound admission on the part of Great Britain convention, concluded between Great that Spain held the eventual right of sav-Britain and Spain, at the Escurial, on the creignty over the whole disputed territo-28th October, 1790.

In opposition to the argument of the undersigned, contained in his statement marked J. B. maintaining that this convention had been annulled by the war between Spain and Great Britain, in 1796, and has never since been revived by the parties, the British plenipotentiary, in his statement marked R. P. has taken the fol-

lowing positions: 1. That when Spain concluded with the United States the treaty of 1810, commonly called the Florida treaty, the conwontion concluded between the former power and Great Britain, in 1790, was considered by the parties to it to be still

stand with reference to a claim to the Or ted between the two parties (Great Britegon territory, in a position at least as fa- ain and Spain) in 1796, were thereby ratworable as the United States.".

The undersigned will follow, step by stept the argument of the British plenipo tentiary in support of these propositions. The British plenipolentiary states that the treaty of 1790 is not appealed to by the British government, as the American quemporeurary occurs to appropriate training to the former of the reference of the referenc but to show that by the Florida treaty of

Dregon territory of the colling par gar since 1826, the Norths Convention has vention. If the understaned had desired

"meth." thought on hother gir to gift

basis of title. What but to accord with on the 16th December, 1826, to declare possessions. that Great Britain claims no exclusive sovereignty over any portion of that terriother states, leaving the right of exclusive admission of certain principles of international law not to be abrogated by wark by that convention (of Nootks) it was Had Spain by this convention acknowless. at that time by either of the contracting colonies on the northwest coast of Ameriparties, should, thenceforward be equally | ca, bringing with them their sovereign juference, however clear. The British comor to the convention of 1790 it was thencenarratives of discoveries, several of them

case. they say: "Admitting that the United States have acquired all the rights which Spain possessed up to the treaty of Florida, colonies; which she would have had a February, 1819, nor, so far as the undereither in virtue of discovery, or as is pretended, in tight of Louisiana, Great Britain maintains that the nature and extent is limited to a mere right of joint occupan of these rights, as well as the rights; of

and stipulations of that convention itself.'

The uncersigned, after a careful examof the present British plempotentiary to and admissions of his predecessors. On jects of each power should have free acr tempted—she had been exhausted by one by Spain alone, before she had transferred the contrary, its general tone is in perfect cess, the right of exclusive dominion re- long and bloody war, and was, then enga- all her rights to the United States.

then, whether for good or for evil-whether to strengthen or to destroy the British, tentiary, marked R. P. and dated 29th this late hour go behind its provisions, and intended for any purpose but that of a tained the least idea that the Nootka cons in the attitude of a strapger to both titles.

> establishments for this purpose; and that Oregon territory, as well as ... from her sert the existence of the Nootka conven- of any other nower or State to any part of it did not interfere with the ultimate sov. silence with respect to the continued oc-British plenipotentiary has not attempted to resist these conclusions. If they be Nootka convention to be still in force .--On the contracy, this convention, if the construction placed upon it by the undersigned be correct, contains a clear virtual ry; and consequently that it now belongs to the United States.

The value of this admission, made in 1790, is the same whether or not the convention has continued to exist until the present day. But he is willing to leave this point on the unconfroverted argument contained in his former statement.

But is the Nootka Sound convention still in force? The British plenipotentiary does not contest the clear general prinin the first place, that this convention is partly commercial; and that, so lar as it ified and confirmed ;" and, 2d, " that in other respects it must be considered as an tional law," not to be revoked by war,

ly w 1814, without even specifying what The uniler agreed had believed that ever he considers to be that portion of that con-

commerce subsisting between Great Britthis construction could have caused Messrs ain and Spain previous to 1796 were con-

Tife second proposition of the British plenipotentiary descrives greater attention. lory. Her present claim, not in respect Does the Nootka Sound convention belong States. to any part, but to the whole, is limited to to that class of treaties containing "an aca right of joint occupancy in common with knowledgment of subsisting rights-an agreed that all parts of the northwestern edged the right of all nations to make discoast of America, not already occupied coveries, plant settlements, and establish open to the subjects of both for all purpo- risdiction, there would have been much ses of commerce and settlement-the sov- force in the argument. But such an adon this subject we are not left to mere in- intended to have been made by Spain .-

the country been unappropriated; but it to the present moment.

ain and the United, States respecting the

be still in force. tinguished by war, can be revived without been in existence, would have remained entirely failed to sustain his position, that where the obligations of a treaty, once exa positive agreement to this effect between entirely silent in regard to a treaty which, the United States can found no claim on the parties, after the conclusion of peace, as Great Britain now alleges, gave her e- discovery, exploration and settlement, should perform positive and unequivocal qual and co-ordinate rights with Spain to without, admitting the principles of the should perform positive and unequivocal quartant co-ordinate rigots of America? Nootka convention. That convention these must be construed as merely volun- At that period Great Britain confined her died on the commencement of the war tary, to be discontinued by either at pleas- claims to those of discovery and purchase between Spain and England, in 1796, and ure. But in the present case it is not e- from the Indians. How vastly she could has never since been regived. ven pretended that Spain performed any have strengthened these claims, had she act in accordance with the convention of then supposed the Nootka convention to deavors to prove that, even if the Nootka Britain of 1814. Her mere silence is te of its provisions. Even in 1824 it was position of Great Britain in regards to her

lied upon to revive that convention. ciple of public lay, "that war terminates that prither by public nor private law, American plenipotentiacy... all subsisting treaties between the bellig-will the mere silence of one party, whilst But the British plenipotentiary allegiant powers." He contended, however, another is encroaching upon his rights, e- that "the United States, can found

In the present case, it was scarcely pos- rangement."

But, says the British plenipotentiary, Spain looked in silence on the continued occupation by the British of the settlements in the Columbia territory subsequently to the convention of 1814; and, therefore, she considered the Nootka purchase, you thereby evinced that you Sound convention to be still in force.— did not regard such title as valid. And Sound convention to be still in force .--The period of this silence, so far as it could affect Spain, commenced on the plenipotentiary has attempted to destroy 28th day of August, 1814, the date of the both the American and Spanish titles, On additional articles to the treaty of Maulrid, the Contrary, in the case mentioned, the ereignly remaining in abeyance." But mission never was made, and never was and terminated on the 22d Feb. 1819, the possession and the outstanding title being date of the Florida treaty. Is there the united in the same individual, these con-The Noutka Convention is arbitrary and least reason from this silence to infer an joined would be as perfect as if both had missioners, in their statement from which artificial in the highest degree, and is any admission by Spain of the continued exist been vested in him from the beginningthe undersigned has just quoted, have vir- thing rather than the mere acknowledg- tence of the Noetka Sound convention?tually abandoned any other title which ment of simple and elementary principles In the first place, this convention was en-Great Britain may have previously assert consecrated by the laws of nations. In tiroly confined " to landing on the coasts of them separately to be good as against, ed to the territory in dispute, and express- all its provisions it is expressly confined of those seas, in places not already occu- Great Britain, has studiously avoided in, ly declare "that whatever that title may to Great Britain and Spain, and acknowl- pied, for the purposes of carrying on their stituting any comparison between them, have been, however, either on the part of spain, priedges no right whatever in any third powcommerce with the natives of the country, But admitting, for the sake of the argument Britain or on the part of Spain, prier to interfere with the northwest coast of or of making settlements there."

It did
ment merely, that the discovery by Capt. America. Neither in its terms, nor in its not extend to the interior. At the date of Gray of the mouth of the Columbia, its, forward no longer, to be traced in vague essence, does it contain any acknowledge this convention, no person dreamed that exploration by Lawis and Clarke, and the ments of previously subsisting territorial British traders from Canada, or Hudson's settlements upon its banks at Astoris, admitted to be apochryphal but in the text rights in Great Britain or any other na. Bay, would cross the Rocky mountains were encroachments on Spain, she and tion. It is strictly confined to future en- and encroach on the rights of Spain from she alone, had a right to complain. Great, And again, in summing up their whole gagements; and these are of a most pecu- that quarter. Great Britain had never liar tharacter. Even under the construct made any settlement on the North western tion of its provisions maintained by Great coast of America, from the date of the between Spain and the United Stales .--Britain, her claim does not extend to plant Nootka Sound convention until the 22d But Spain, instead of complaining of these right to do under the law of nations, had signed is informed, has she done so down

Spain could not, therefore, have comcy, not in respect to any part but to the plained of any such settlement. In re- between the two titles was ended, both Not separate and distinct colonies, but Company, neither Spain nor the rest of fare, were thus blended together. The ination, can discover nothing in the note scattered settlements, intermingled with the world had any specific knowledge of title now vested in the United States is, each other, over the whole surface of the their existence. But even if the British just as strong as though every act of dis-Mr. Calhoun, of the 12th September last, territory, for the single purpose of trading plenipotentiary had brought such knowl- covery, exploration, and settlement on the to impair the force of these declarations with the Indians, to all of which the sub redge home, to her which he has not at part of both powers had been performed maining suspended. Surely it cannot be ged in another with her colonies; and was, The two powers are one in this respect ; accordance with them. maining suspended. Surely it cannot be ged in another with her colonies; and was, The two powers are one; in this respect; with them. which is consequences, successfully contended that such a treaty besides, negotiating for a transfer of all the two titles are one; and, as the underinternational law," so sacred and so per- America to the United States. Surely confirm and strengthen each other. Af reminity, marked as the same and the same and sa gard to unappropriated territory as those States before she had ceded this territory therethan to state, that the United States, ish plenipotentiary has not noticed his ex- embraced in this treaty; and the British to them for an ample consideration. Not before they, had acquired, the title of, Spain,

> 1819 had no idea that the Nootka Sound United States had a perfect right to that But the British plevipotentiary contends convention was in force. It had then pas- country ; but insisted that their claim was

the reason why Great Britain did not as that of Nootka Sound, reserved the claims tion during the negotiation between the the said country. This reservation could cupation by the British of their settle two governments in 1818, was, that no have been intended for Spain along. But, ments in the Columbia territory, subse-quently to the convention of 1814," it may the American government not having then Spanish title, they have always assected fairly to the convention of 2022, it shall acquired the title of Spain. It is very true and maintained their right in the strongogic that the United States had not then acquisterms up to the Russian, line, even whilst and the principles therein laid down, to red the Spanish title; but is it possible to offering for the sake of harmony & nease, imagine, that throughout the whole nego. to divide the territory in disputa by the The undersigned cannot imagine a case tiation, the British commissioners, had 49th parallel of latitude, 196 1191 they supposed this convention to have

But the British plenipotentiary allegus, States. ... In order to establish this position, another is encronching upon his rights, e. that "the United States, can found no he must show that the British claim in the ven if he had knowledge of this encroach- claim on discovery, exploration, and set- qual in validity to the titles both of Spain ment, deprive him of these rights. If thement effected previously to the Florida and the United States. These can never partitive of this character, it was revived this principle be correct as applied to indi-treaty, without admitting the principles of now be separated. They are one and the partitive of this character, it was revived this principle be correct as applied to indi-treaty, without admitting the principles of now be separated. They are one and the partitive of the principle of the principle of now be separated. They are one and the partitive of the principle of now be separated. They are one and they by the treaty, concluded at Madrid, on the viduals, it holds with much greater force the Nootka convention; "mor can they same. Different and diverging as other principles of now be separated. They are one and the And 2. But that, even if no such treas 28th August, 1814, which declares "that in regard to nations. The feeble may not appeal to any exclusive right, as acquired may have been before the Elorida treasy.

19 that ever existed Great Britain would all the treaties of commerce which subsist be in a condition to complain against the by the Florida treaty, without upsetting they are now blendid together and didentipowerful; and thus, the encroachment of all claims adduced in their own proper fied. The separate discoveries, explorathe strong would convert itself into a per-right, by reason of discovery, exploration tions and actifoments of the two spowers

admission of certain principles of interna pandency of negotiations between the U- king two distinct and independent titles view of the subject, the undersigned was nited States and Great Britain, in relation by the same nation worse than one of ar- surprised to find that in the companion In regard to the first proposition, the to the porthwest coast of America, before raying them against each other, and thus and contrast instituted by the British undersigned is satisfied to leave the question to the former by the Florida treaty of 22d forget that the United States own both Britain and that of the United States own both Britain and that of the United States own both himself with merely asserting the fact, that occupation between the United States and or conjointly against the claim of Great veries, explorations and adulements hade Great Beltain was not signed at London Britain lat their pleasure? From the course by Spaint Phe undersigned willtend eastto exclusive dominion over any part of the Sound convention was revived by the treat until the 20th October, 1818—but four of his remarks; it might the supposed that or to supply the emission of many part of the Sound convention was revived by the treat until the 20th October, 1818—but four of his remarks; it might the supply the emission of many part of the supply the emission of the supply the supply the emission of the supply the sup months previous to the date of the Florida Great Britain and notothe United States, But before he proceeds to the main artreaty; and the ratifications were not ex had acquired the Spanish; title under the gument on this point he leefs himself-binchanged, and the convention published. Florida treaty. But Great Britain is a strained to express his surprise that the until the 30th of January 18819? . . . . burd: party an sentire strangers to both British plenipotentiary shoulds again have and the second description which terms of the second and the second description which terms of the second description description which terms of the second description description which terms of the second description descri totitist in the second of the population of the population of the second management in the second field of the second management in the second of the second

claim identified it with the construction | deen to the Duke of Sotomayor, dated | monced as early as December, 1815, and | By what authority can Great Britain which they have imposed upon this con- 30th June, 1845, in which his lordship were in full progress on the 20th October, interpose in this manner? Was it ever vention necessarily excludes every other clearly established that all the treaties of 1818, when the convention was signed between Great Britain and the U. States .- acquisition of a new title destroyed the It does not appear, therefore, that Spain old one; and vice versa, that the purchase, Huskisson and Addington, the British fined to the trade with Spain alone, and had any knowledge of the existence of of the old title destroyed the new one forminissioners, in specifying their title, did not embrace her colonies and remote these negotiations; and even if this were In a question of mere private right, it otherwise, she would have had no motive would be considered absurd, if a stranger to complain, as the was in the very act of to both titles should say to the party who transferring all her rights to the United had made a settlement, you shall not avail vourself of your possession, because this was taken in violation of another outstand ding title, and although I wast, admit the you have also acquired this outstanding yet even this avails you nothing, because, having taken possession previously to your vet such is the mode by which the British

The undersigned, whilst strongly asser-Britain was a third party; and, an such. had no right to interfere in the question acts as encroachments, on the 22d Rebruary, 1819, by the Florida treaty, transferred the whole title to the United States. From that moment all possible conflict Great Britain, are fixed and defined by whole, the sovereignty remaining in abeygard to the encroachments which had been being united in the same party. Two tithe convention of Nootka," &c. &c. and to what kind of occupancy? made from the interior by the Northwest tles, which might have conflicted, thereis "an admission of certain principles of her right on the Northwestern coast of signed will show hereafter, they serve to the United States, deems it; his duty to claim, it is now too late for the British petual in their nature as not to be annulmake some observations in reply to the government to vary their position. If the led by war, On the contrary, from the lence, without inferring that she acquies had acquired the title of Spain, she might statement of hen Britannic Majesty's en- Nootka Convention conters upon them no character, of its provisions, it cannot be cede in the continued existence of the have contended that those acts of the led by war. voy extraordinary and minister plenino such rights as they claim, they cannot at supposed for a single moment that it was Nootka convention. ... If Spain had enter- States were encreachments, but standing

the negotiations of 1818, the American Like Great Britain, in 1818, Spain in plenipotentiaries, "did not assert that the at least good against Great Britain," and The British plenipotentiary alleges, that the convention of October 20, 1818, unlike

The British plenipotentiary, then, has

The British plenipotentiary nextingene Nootka Sound, after her treaty with Great be in force, with her present construction Sound convention had never existed, the first introduced into the negotiation, not claim, whether to the whole or its vany The undersigned asserts, confidently, by commissioners, but by Mr. Rush, the particular portion of the Oregoniterestoff,

feet title against the weak. This is a most ingenius method of ma- ted States alone. Under this palpable