

The Daily Morning Post

THOS. PHILLIPS & W. H. SMITH, EDITORS. PITTSBURGH, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 1894.

FOR PRESIDENT, JAMES K. POLK, BY THE PEOPLE.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT, GEO. M. DALLAS, BY PENNSYLVANIA.

SENATORIAL ELECTORS. WILSON M'KIMBLED, of Allegheny. ADA DIMOCK, of Washington.

FOR GOVERNOR, FRS. R. SHUNK, OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY.

FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER, JOSIAH BARTSHORNE, OF CHESTER COUNTY.

Congress, ALEXANDER BRACKENRIDGE, PHILADELPHIA.

Assembly, JAMES A. GIBSON, PHILADELPHIA.

Commissioner, WILLIAM EWING, ROBINSON.

DEMOCRATIC TICKETS. For the election on Tuesday, October 30, CAN BE HAD AT THIS OFFICE.

The Flag Slender. A gentleman in this city received a letter yesterday from some citizen of Westmoreland, from which we make the following extract for the purpose of again exposing the flag slender.

"We would respectfully request you to state to us at what time the flying of the corner stone of the German Catholic Church of the Fifth Ward, Pittsburgh, took place.

"Also, whether there was any effort carried on in front of the procession, and if so, what it was, if you please, whether the American flag was walked over by the Catholic Priest."

The corner stone of the German Church was laid on Thursday, May 25, 1842, and it is thus seen that the parties have been more than two years deliberating on the propriety of becoming idolaters at Mr. Shunk's flag being present, among hundreds of his fellow-citizens of all denominations. As to the carrying of the flag in the procession, we state in plain terms, that it is false, and that those who charge it do not know what they are saying.

The following statement from the officers of the volunteer Companies that were out on the occasion, and are present, is a sufficient refutation of the flag lie.

TO THE PUBLIC. "If you have noticed for some days past a charge made by the editor of the 'American' against Francis M. Shunk, Esq., that at the laying of the corner stone of the German Catholic Church, in the Fifth Ward, he had trampled on the American flag, and had it spread out for the friends and the procession to walk over. A more absurd or unfounded charge could not be made against any person, than this, that is now urged against Mr. Shunk for the purpose of injuring him in the opinion of his fellow-citizens. We were present during the whole of the ceremony of laying the corner stone, as Commanders of the Military Companies that were out on the occasion, and we can state from our personal observation, that no such proceeding took place as the trampling on the American flag, and that the flag was not walked over by any unauthorized person. There was no National Flag on the ground, nor had any of the Volunteer Companies their flags with them, as it was deemed by us unnecessary to have them on such an occasion. Nothing approaching in the most distant manner to any one shoulder utter an unfounded a calumny against a gentleman who is esteemed by all who know him as one of our most worthy and patriotic citizens.

HENRY ITIN, Major. LEOPOLD SAHL, Captain. JOHN N. STRAUSS, Captain. CONRAD MUSLER, Captain. VICTOR GUTZWILLER, Lieutenant. FRANKS EBYVOGLE, do. PHILIP BELL, do. PHILIP YAGEL, do. F. G. HEINMAN, do. J. OS DUBAIL, do. EUSTACH KRAUT, do.

Among the demonstrators, we recognize some gentlemen who are prominent members of the party, and were at the flag ceremony held in this city on the 10th of September. Major TATE and Capt. SAHL, assistant Marshals. It cannot be supposed that either of these gentlemen have any political sympathy with Mr. Shunk, or that they would volunteer as demonstrators of the calumny, if they did not know that it was one of the most unfounded falsehoods that was ever uttered.

We may also state that a majority of the gentlemen who sign the above card, are members of Protestant Churches, and if anything had taken place that was offensive to their religious feelings, they would not, of course, attach their names to a communication of the charges. But they know, as does every other man who witnessed the ceremony, that nothing took place that could give offence to any portion of the religious community, and they have promptly refused the flag slender which was intended to operate upon thousands of members of their respective Churches.

"We may state, that although the above contradictions have been published and republished in the democratic papers and even inserted in one of their own independent organs, they have never dared to refer to it, or to express the slightest doubt of the veracity of one of the gentlemen who signed it. They know that here, no body believes the slander, and they dare not meet the contradiction published by Major Itin and the other off-

icers. Hoping that it can be used to the injury of Mr. Shunk abroad, they procure the certificate and signatures of persons whose words and acts obtain the highest credit, and they are known, and scatter them through the country with the hope that the public can be led to support the whig candidates through the slanders certified to by the sign shop loafers that are thus made prominent leaders in the whig cause.

Moral sense of Public.—We published an article few days since, showing the panic which pervades the whig party in Virginia, and other parts of the Union. The New York Tribune of Friday last has an article in which it is stated, in a striking degree, the trembling terror now surcharges the breasts of the whigs every where. The Tribune writes thus about whig prospects in New York: "Nothing but the complete defeat of many thousands of them [the whigs] by the bold and reckless falsehoods of the Loco Foco and Abolition papers to prevent Mr. Clay's routing the vote of the State. It is just possible now—THROUGHER IT DID NOT SEEM SO TWO MONTHS AGO—that the conjoint falsehoods of these two classes of enemies to the Whig party and policy, MAY PUSH UP BIRNEY'S VOTE ABOVE 20,000, and so endanger Mr. Clay's success; but we trust the most desperate efforts to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

The editor thinks that on the Texas question will turn the vote of New York. How can the Tribune fear the result on that question? Has not Mr. CLAY again and again written letters defining his various positions upon it? Have not the whigs procured the services of the celebrated abolitionist, C. M. CLAY, to speak for Mr. Clay on that subject? And they do not prevent the possibility of C. M. CLAY's doing his utmost to that end will prove unsuccessful."

CLAY AND TEXAS.—In nothing is the dishonesty of the whigs and their total want of every thing like a claim to principle, as seen in the manner in which they conduct on the Texas question. When the good and pious Deacon White was negotiating his arrangement with the fifteen whigs, one of the stipulations in the bill of sale was, that the whig candidate should be willing to be persuaded to support Clay as the candidate, and at first he writes a dozen faced letters from which the whigs of the north inferred that he was opposed to annexation. But after the lapse of a little time he wrote a second, from which it was plain to see that he had a feeling of affection for Texas, and a third soon followed in which he came out "flat footed" in favor of annexation. Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

"Notwithstanding this the whigs of our day are well and candidly admit, that Mr. Clay is in favor of annexation. To show this we make the following extract from the address of Mr. Charles Anderson, candidate for the Ohio State Senate, and Henry S. Gault and J. M. Kinney, candidates for the House of Representatives, as published in the Dayton Journal.

MORE PIPE AND MONEY WANTED. Some days ago, we were informed, that the whigs of this city had already subscribed \$25,000 for election purposes. Whig lowest purpose such an enormous sum could be intended for, we could not imagine, and we must, if any whig committee, or club, or even the casual theorist, can satisfactorily explain the people of Pennsylvania. We are now informed from a reliable source, that another large subscription is now necessary to the whigs to effect some great scheme, which they have on foot.

Philadelphia Sentinel. A portion of the above, has probably been spent in this neighborhood, to pay for the certificates and peddlars that have been brought out against Mr. SHUNK. We know that those who have been engaged in circulating them, will not work without a living, and they doubtless received a reward proportionate to the infamous nature of the labor performed.

The second subscription spoken of in the above paragraph, is we suppose, pay for some new schemes of fraud.

IMPORTANT TO TARIFF MEN! In the celebrated speech at Raleigh (N. C.) delivered on June 29, 1844, HENRY CLAY defined his position on the tariff question, in the following manner: "We must REPEAL both the duties of Free Trade and a HIGH and exorbitant Tariff. All parties ought to be satisfied with a TARIFF FOR PROTECTION."

As if by an