

Pittsburgh Gazette.

E. RIDDLE & CO.,
EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.
Political Office, Fifth street, near Smithfield.
Morning and Evening Editions daily, containing
news from all parts of the country and
from Europe, &c.—Price 25 cents per number.

MORNING EDITION—25 cents per number in advance, or 12c. per
week. EVENING EDITION—25 cents per number in advance, or 6c. per
week. SUBSCRIPTIONS—Single copies, 25 cents annum; five copies,
125c. six or ten or upwards, 25c. per month, payable in
advance.

ADVERTISING AT REASONABLE RATES.

TUESDAY MORNING, FEB. 6, 1861.

The State's Code is a New York—One of the remarkable features of the times is the popularity with which both wings of the Democratic party urge the adoption of the Crittenden proposition, which is simply a re-affirmation of the Southern doctrine of the discarded Breckinridge platform.

Our dear sib-sib, ever the fashion which rejected the secession from the Charleston Convention, united in rejecting the doctrine of the Slave's Code for the Territories, and now we find Douglass men and Bell men earnestly entreating the "Republians," as a means of saving the Union, to recognize this abominable political party.

It will be seen by reference to the Breckinridge platform and the Crittenden Com-

promise, which is the point of comparison, that it is the duty of the federal government, in all its departments, to protect when necessary, the rights of persons and property in the Territories." The Crittenden proposition is more specific and therefore more offensive to the North. In that portion of the platform the demand of 30°, it stipulates "slavery" shall not be interfered with by Congress, but it does not say it shall not be protected by the departments of the territorial government." It argues very little in favor of the boasted devotion to principle of the advocates of "popular sovereignty" that they should abandon all their positions at the time of the formation of a new nation.

The platform simply declares that it is the duty of the federal government, in all its departments,

to protect, to any countrymen, when they are

in danger, to do what they can.

Why do we say another to one another?

Some of our friends have said, "I only withdraw

from the contention of popular sovereignty."

What is the difference between the two?

They are as acknowledged as the right of self-government.

Health, abundance, and peace were the

result of the war.

My dear sib-sib, you will be interested to

know that the author of the speech which

you sent me, told me he did it for the sake of

the South.

He had been writing a speech to be delivered

at the meeting of the

Confederacy, and had

written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a

very poor style.

He had written it in a