[From the Boston Atlas.

Major Gen. Scott.

We notice that many of the Democratic papers are engaged in the unprofitable business of decrying the military reputation of Gen. Scott, whilst they laud the heroic deeds of Gen. Pierce. It is not becoming us to inform those papers, that they are engaged in a pursuit that will end in the defeat of the objects they have in view.

Gen. Scott's military character has been fairly and honestly won. There is not in the Union an intelligent citizen who will refuse to concede to him the reputation of one of the most successful chieftains that ever fought the battles of his native land. They who attempt to tarnish his reputation as a soldier, are seeking his election to the Presidency.

We do not sympathize with the party politics which Gen. Scott has embraced; nor is it probable that he will receive our vote. Still, we do not detract from him a single merit claimed by his warmest friends.

The American people are always grateful. They ever will be ready to defend and reward the gallant soldier; and if they discover any attempt on the part of the politicians of Gen. Pierce to disparage Gen. Scott's well-earned fame, they will instinctively fly to the rescue. They will not suffer Gen. Winfield Scott to be assailed with impunity.

But little benefit will be derived from comparing Gen. Franklin Pierce with Gen. Scott as a soldier. Gen. Pierce will unanimously and justly shrink from any such comparison. He would not do Gen. Scott injustice, to acquire even the Presidency itself. None but the basest or most high-flying quards, will contend that the achievements of Gen. Pierce should be mentioned in the same day with those of Gen. Scott.

Several communications have been sent to this office for publication, in which the morals and religion of Gen. Scott are impeached. They were addressed to the wrong quarter. We know that General Scott's private character is beyond the breath of reproach. His wife has been in keeping with the strictest moral code; and his religion is a matter concerning himself alone. He is an Episcopalian, and as such, conforms to the usages of that church. If he were a Catholic, as by some it is pretended he is, he would be entitled to no one respect. The subject of belief in one's salvation, is one that does not, in the remotest degree, concern us. We find it quite enough for us to take care of our own souls.

Cannot a presidential canvass be conducted in a successful issue, without plunging into requisition the whole vocabulary of Billingsgate blackguardism? We remind those eager partizans and scribes, that Gen. Scott's military and moral character, that they are only sinning steps in to secure his election. We shall not, under the columns of the *Atlas*, by publishing the foul remarks that disparagers transmit. We shall stand aloof, when asked to publish libels on a gallant soldier, which carry on the face of them the impress of a deep-seated falsehood, and infamy combined. Gen. Scott is a good, as well as a great man, and entitled to the report of his country-men.

THE CHOLERA IN EUROPE.—The accounts from Warsaw, Poland, are of the most distressing character. The cholera has raged there with unprecedented violence. On the 18th ult., the number attacked was 402, of whom 227 died, and 1,474 cases were under treatment in the hospitals of the city. From the first appearance of the disease, on the 4th of July, the attacks had proved fatal, but a slight improvement in the returns now took place, in hope that its fury had abated. But, however distressing these accounts are, it is far more alarming to learn that the frightful malady is advancing westward.

From Landsberg it seems ascending Berlin, and its progress towards that city is watched with deep anxiety; whilst northwards, along the whole course of the Vistula to the Baltic, the inhabitants of the numerous towns and villages have been carried away in great numbers. In some of the villages two-thirds of the inhabitants have perished. At Berlin the alarm is very great, and medical men have been dispatched to the frontiers to use their efforts to stay the pestilence. As the course of this destructive disease is not known, and which marked its track in former years, the next accounts are looked for with great anxiety.

A letter of the 17th, says that the cholera, at present committing such ravages in the neighborhood of Kalisch, is owing to its making some excavations in the cemetery of Lask, near Kalisch, where the victims of the cholera of 1832 were buried, and which, immediately after leaving work, several of the workmen employed in the excavation, were seized with the distemper, which has spread with great malignity.

SHOCKING MURDER AND SUICIDE.—A terrible tragedy occurred at Cincinnati, a few days ago, the particulars of which are thus related by the Times, of the 21st inst.:

John Richling and Christian Kramer had been living together in rather close intimacy, and it appears he had often threatened to kill her if she ever married another, which she did on Friday last—one Rudolph Bauer, of Lawrenceburg, Ia., so soon as Richling learnt of the occurrence, he resolved to carry out his purpose, and with that view, armed himself with a pistol, and proceeded to the house, where, after waiting some time for a favorable opportunity, rushed upon her as she descended a stairway leading from a porch, and buried the axe in her head twice, making two frightful wounds. Immediately he drew a razor into the cellar and with a razor cut his throat from ear to ear. The cry of murder was raised, and Officer Gilbert attracted to the spot, got into the cellar in time to catch the murderer and suicide as he was falling to the floor. He was taken out, but died in two hours. The woman lived over an hour.

THE THREATENED INVASION OF CUBA.—The New York Times states that, on Tuesday, Com. Perry received a telegraphic despatch, requesting him to come immediately to Washington. Those who profess to know, say that the Government has information that an attempt will soon be made to invade Cuba from ports in the United States, and that Com. Perry has been ordered to Washington that the Government may have the benefit of his advice. It is further said that the Commodore will in a few days sail for Havana, in the steamer Mississippi, for the purpose of preventing any infraction of our treaty stipulations with Spain.

The colour of the Democrat says that the vast gathering at Niagara Falls to celebrate the battle of Lundy's Lane was a failure." That's just what he says of the battle itself.

THE STAR AND BANNER.

GETTYSBURG.

Thursday Evening, Sept. 16, 1852.

FOR PRESIDENT,
GEN. WINFIELD S OTT.

VICE PRESIDENT,
WILLIAM A. GRAHAM.

FOR SUPREME JUDGE,
JOSEPH BUFFINGTON.

FOR CANAL COMMISSIONER,
JACOB HOFFMAN, (Berks)

FOR CONGRESS,
SAMUEL L. RUSSELL,
OF BEDFORD.

WHIG COUNTY TICKET.

ASSEMBLY.
David Mellinger, (of East-Berlin,) COMMISSIONER.
John Mickley, jr., (of Hamiltonban,)
John Dickson, jr., (of Straban,)
DIRECTORS OF THE POOR.
Joseph Gilbert, (of Mountjoy,)
Joseph Baily, (of Cumberland.)

"I HAVE SERVED THE UNION FOR FORTY-ODD YEARS, AND FEEL MYSELF A CITIZEN OF EVERY PART OF IT; AND WHILE LIFE AND LIMB AND STRENGTH I MAY HAVE SHALL BE DEVOTED TO ITS PRESERVATION."—*General Winfield Scott, in reply to the Democratic Address.*

Alliance

Between the leaders of the Locofoco party in the U. States and the Free Soil Chief Martin Van Buren, TO BREAK DOWN AMERICAN MANUFACTURES AND TO SECURE THE MARKET FOR ENGLAND!

From the London Times.

The visionary schemes of the Free Soil or Democratic party, brought forward by the men of the South, will never, probably for ever, be the cornerstone of Ameri-can commercial principles; and if Lord Derby should next year be disposed to take his own hint-land for his model, we have little doubt that it would be found to remove the last illusions of the protective system from his breast.

On this point, we take Gen. Pierce to be a fair representative of the Locofocos. They are opposed to the protective system, and to such a measure as the Tariff of 1842, which is as much a part of the Constitution as any other measure, and which has been sustained by the people of the United States, when they voted for Gen. Harrison, and when they voted for Mr. Polk.

Whenever a professed democrat, and especially a democratic leader, becomes so exceedingly popular as to be run for President, he is sure to have many votes from that, as from his own party, run very justly counted, there's something rotten in the Democracy. Such was the case with Gen. Jackson, who was a man, no matter of what party, unsuitable or barely suitable for offices as to go before the public and solicit favor and support for any office at the same time, you may as justly suspect him of being a demagogue, as a patriot.

An open foe is better than a secret friend, says the proverb, and he might have added, more honorable. Such a man is Gen. Scott, and such a man is the party; however erroneous you hold his views and principles to be; still, if he advocate them with the boldness, the candor and sincerity of a man, he will attract your respect and your esteem and admiration. But on the other hand, how contemptible the sycophant; he who with base duplicity pervert his views and principles, and who vote for any at least some which he deems wrong in public; when he speaks with Peter, he blames Peter and all Peter's friends, and when he meets with Paul, he blames Paul and Peter's friends, and when he meets with Peter and Paul are together they are all very good friends.

"The friend who hails you Tom or Jack
And proves by thumping on your back,
His sense of your esteem and worth,
Is such a friend that, one had need
Be very much his friend indeed
To pardon or to bear it."

In the face of all other sycophants, the political sycophant is the most intolerable, the most mean who will profess—where no one bears him—a certain political creed, and yet, practically be, all things to all men. Such will never be a leader for free men; such will claim membership in one party, and yet play his treacherous game of duplicity between and among other parties; such will be the voters of all and more offices at the expense of principle! Long may it be ere this public mind of ours be contaminated with the congressional district, and of this ancient and honorable commonwealth, shall be so far imposed upon as to elevate such a man to a position for which he is so utterly unfitted, and of which he is so utterly unworthy.

DEMOCRAT.

The Locofoco press teems with abuse of Gen. Scott for having at one time written a letter in favor of Native American principles. They have grossly misrepresented the facts in the case. Gen. Scott never changed his views and renounced the principles therein expressed. And yet these same journals float at the head of their columns the name of King electoral ticket the name of George W. Kendall, who, in the Texas Reform Convention, moved to instruct a Committee to report a clause to *amend* the Constitution so as to *prevent any foreigner, who might come to this country,* from ever voting! Is this State after the 4th of July, 1841, *from acquiring the right to vote or to hold office in this Commonwealth.* He not only offered such a resolution, but he made a speech in its favor. He declared it to be our duty to amend the Constitution, and from which we quote, "the following extract:

No, I appreciate as much as any man living, the many political rights and privileges which I, in common with the people of the United states, am now enjoying; but it is my honest impression, that we do but squander those privileges, when we confer them upon any individual who chooses to come and claim them. He has not identified a portion of those who came among us from foreign countries, more especially in the large cities of the population of those countries, that they are *unappreciated* with the value of the privileges and that therefore they *do not know how to value* them. I think that it should be *restricted* to some criminately upon all, we are doing injury to our liberties and our institutions; and I believe that, if the time has not come, it will speedily, when it will be indispensably necessary either for this body, or for some other body of this State, or of the United States, to inquire whether it is not right to put some limit upon our liberality in conferring *it should be prevented from controlling our elections, and from bestowing our American citizens at the polls.*

Such were the opinions, freely and publicly expressed, by George W. Woodward in 1838, who now hends the Locofoco electoral ticket, holds a commission as Judge of the Supreme Court from Gov. Bigler, and is a candidate for nomination for that office. Does he still entertain those views? If not, why then not abuse him in the same style as they abuse Gen. Scott for changing his? Perhaps the *Pennsylvanian* can explain, or will it keep quiet.

The Borough of Jersey Shore, Pa., resolved to subscribe for $25,000 of the stock of the Sunbury and Erie Railroad.

Mutiny in the Camp.

☞We referred incidentally last week to the doings of the Locofoco Convention, which assembled in this place on Monday week, when the "Young Democracy" were deliberately thrown out of the Convention and the Old Hunkers appropriated the spoils. The following communication, which we copy from the Sentinel, affords evidence of the dissatisfaction which is brewing in the ranks of the Opposition.—Mr. McNair, *therefore*, and was appointed by Mr. Polk *because he was a Locofoco!* Is it very surprising, then, that they may be opposed to the election of Gen. Scott? Is it not a shameful recollection that Mr. Polk proscribed Whigs so his did, in the army, where Whigs and Democrats were alike gallantly supporting the flag of their country? Almost every General in the regular service is a Democrat. Yet, where is the authority for the above sweeping statement, either as to Volunteer or Regular General Officers? Except Shields, Quitman, Butler and Pillow, where or when have any of these spoken? The three former, though democrats, have spoken in the highest terms of him.

GEN. BUTLER'S OPINION OF GEN. SCOTT.

—Gen. Scottis known to us and to the world; and as it is not my province to paint Whig principles, I will not do it. I will not be judged before the world without saying anything of my personality, but for the known position we have relatively occupied in the city of Mexico, and for the purpose of saying publicly that throughout that eventful campaign, during which difficulties and embarrassing, he acquitted himself in a manner to win my esteem and confidence.

GEN. SHIELDS' OPINION.

—Gen. Winfield Scott is the candidate of the Whig party for the Presidency. He is a gallant and good regard and esteem. I admire him as much as any man. I have been his subordinate at one time, and I consider him entitled to the gratitude of the country for his glorious military services."

GEN. QUITMAN'S OPINION.

—Some situation having been made to his (Scott's) wish, and he having accepted the same, I am sure it would be unwise in us [the Democratic party] to oppose him. He has been military distinction—That this Mexican war has been a glorious one, as Vera Cruz, to the fall of the Capital, was one of the most brilliant achievements in history. That it was directed by none Members about which I have not the slightest doubt. That the brilliant issue of his victorious march from the sea board to the highest plateau in the world, has been the result of his sagacity, and consummate leader in the hour of battle and decay.

Whenever a professed democrat, and especially a democratic leader, becomes so exceedingly popular as to be run for President, he is sure to have many votes from that, as from his own party, you may justly conclude, there's something rotten in the Democracy. Such was the case with Gen. Jackson, who was a man, no matter of what party, unsuitable or barely suitable for offices as to go before the public and solicit favor and support for any office at the same time, you may as justly suspect him of being a demagogue, as a patriot.

An open foe is better than a secret friend, says the proverb, and he might have added, more honorable. Such a man is Gen. Scott, and such a man is the party; however erroneous you hold his views and principles to be; still, if he advocate them with the boldness, the candor and sincerity of a man, he will attract your respect and your esteem and admiration. But on the other hand, how contemptible the sycophant; he who with base duplicity pervert his views and principles, and who vote for any at least some which he deems wrong in public; when he speaks with Peter, he blames Peter and all Peter's friends, and when he meets with Paul, he blames Paul and Peter's friends, and when he meets with Peter and Paul are together they are all very good friends.

"The friend who hails you Tom or Jack
And proves by thumping on your back,
His sense of your esteem and worth,
Is such a friend that, one had need
Be very much his friend indeed
To pardon or to bear it."

In the face of all other sycophants, the political sycophant is the most intolerable, the most mean who will profess—where no one bears him—a certain political creed, and yet, practically be, all things to all men. Such will never be a leader for free men; such will claim membership in one party, and yet play his treacherous game of duplicity between and among other parties; such will be the voters of all and more offices at the expense of principle! Long may it be ere this public mind of ours be contaminated with the congressional district, and of this ancient and honorable commonwealth, shall be so far imposed upon as to elevate such a man to a position for which he is so utterly unfitted, and of which he is so utterly unworthy.

DEMOCRAT.

☞The Locofoco press teems with abuse of Gen. Scott for having at one time written a letter in favor of Native American principles. They have grossly misrepresented the facts in the case. Gen. Scott never changed his views and renounced the principles therein expressed. And yet these same journals float at the head of their columns the name of King electoral ticket the name of George W. Kendall, who, in the Texas Reform Convention, moved to instruct a Committee to report a clause to *amend* the Constitution so as to *prevent any foreigner, who might come to this country,* from ever voting! Is this State after the 4th of July, 1841, *from acquiring the right to vote or to hold office in this Commonwealth.* He not only offered such a resolution, but he made a speech in its favor. He declared it to be our duty to amend the Constitution, and from which we quote, "the following extract:

"Locofoco Argument."

☞We find the following paragraph published conspicuously in the columns of every Locofoco newspaper in the country:

"It is said to be a fact that every general officer who served under Gen. Scott in the Mexican war, is opposed to his election to the Presidency, believing that he does not possess the civil qualifications to fit him for that high and responsible station. Does not this fact speak volumes against the party's fitness for the highest civil Office in the world?"

Every general officer in the volunteer service is a Democrat, and was appointed by Mr. Polk *because he was a Locofoco!* Is it very surprising, then, that they may be opposed to the election of Gen. Scott? Is it not a shameful recollection that Mr. Polk proscribed Whigs so his did, in the army, where Whigs and Democrats were alike gallantly supporting the flag of their country? Almost every General in the regular service is a Democrat. Yet, where is the authority for the above sweeping statement, either as to Volunteer or Regular General Officers? Except Shields, Quitman, Butler and Pillow, where or when have any of these spoken? The three former, though democrats, have spoken in the highest terms of him.

GEN. BUTLER'S OPINION OF GEN. SCOTT.

—Gen. Scottis known to us and to the world; and as it is not my province to paint Whig principles, I will not do it. I will not be judged before the world without saying anything of my personality, but for the known positions we have relatively occupied in the city of Mexico, and for the purpose of saying publicly that throughout that eventful campaign, during which difficulties and embarrassing, he acquitted himself in a manner to win my esteem and confidence.

GEN. SHIELDS' OPINION.

—Gen. Winfield Scott is the candidate of the Whig party for the Presidency. He is a gallant and good regard and esteem. I admire him as much as any man. I have been his subordinate at one time, and I consider him entitled to the gratitude of the country for his glorious military services."

GEN. QUITMAN'S OPINION.

—Some situation having been made to him (Scott's) wish, and he having accepted the same, I am sure it would be unwise in us [the Democratic party] to oppose him. He has been military distinction—That this Mexican war has been a glorious one, as Vera Cruz, to the fall of the Capital, was one of the most brilliant achievements in history. That it was directed by none Members about which I have not the slightest doubt. That the brilliant issue of his victorious march from the sea board to the highest plateau in the world, has been the result of his sagacity, and consummate leader in the hour of battle and decay.

We appeal to candid men, if such expressions as these, from honorable opponents, do not speak more than the highest evidence in Gen. Scott? Yet the Locofocos cite their opposition as evidence of the unfitness for the Presidency. Such are the poor arguments of the Locofoco press against the Whig candidate.

Description of a Fugitive-Slave Law Court, by Edmund Burke.

In the celebrated speech of Edmund Burke in the British Parliament on "Conciliation with America," occurs this passage, in speaking of the Courts of Admiralty:

"Courts incomprehensibly situated, in effect doing infinite mischief, constituting in the *fruits of its condemnation, in a robber.*"

It would almost seem that Burke must have had a prophetic eye on the extraordinary tribunals created by the Fugitive Slave act of the last Congress; or that the courts of which the British orator made this declaration, must have been the model which the Congress of 1850 framed. The description of the prescription are true. The constitutional tribunal of the slave-catching commissioner is often far from the home of the fugitive. In the midst of strangers he is impotent to establish and claim his freedom, although he may have abundant evidence of the fact. Most of the cases of the cases tried under the Fugitive act, illustrate this point.

But the latter clause of the description is most life-like. "A court partaking in the fruits of its own condemnation, is a robber." Did Burke have in his prophetic eye the paltry five dollar bribe, offered to the commissioner by the fugitive slave law?

"The Congress of America," continues Burke, "complain, and complain justly, of the *corruption* of their judges. Would the mend of this complaint encourage them, by a three-fourths of a century this same Congress would themselves enact into law this very grievance in its most offensive form, and by a law patched in the tongue, and cause and him in shame to hide his head, for raising his voice in defence of such clients. Could his opponents, in the great struggle on the American question, have foreseen that in seventy-four years this same American Congress would establish all over the territory, petty, irresponsible and unconstitutional courts, "sharing in the fruits of their own condemnation," and that men in the free states would boast of their agency in enacting this iniquity, such a sardonic laugh of scorn would have rung through the halls of Parliament as would have shamed the friends of America into utter silence. Alas, for us the mighty jest of the very wrongs which the American Congress rose to resist, are now enacted into law, by their degenerate posterity of the second generation. The strength of their colonies was in the justice of their cause. Having, in their independence, legalized the same robbery of which they complained in British courts, they have introduced the same element of weakness by which Britain failed in her struggle against American independence.

[*Christian Press.*

☞We understand that Mr. DANNER was nominated by the Opposition Conference, at Chambersburg, on Tuesday, as the "Democratic" candidate for Congress, without opposition—the other candidates named having all declined. The next thing in order is to determine whether the majority by which he is to be beaten in the District shall be 1,000 or 1,500.

☞The Whigs of Baltimore hold a grand Ratification Meeting last Monday night, which the Baltimore Sun characterizes as the most imposing political demonstration ever wit nessed in that city.

Locofoco Arguments.

☞We find the following paragraph published conspicuously in the columns of every Locofoco newspaper in the country:

"It is said to be a fact that every general officer who served under Gen. Scott in the Mexican war, is opposed to his election to the Presidency, believing that he does not possess the civil qualifications to fit him for that high and responsible station. Does not this fact speak volumes against the party's fitness for the highest civil Office in the world?"

Every general officer in the volunteer service is a Democrat, and was appointed by Mr. Polk *because he was a Locofoco!* Is it very surprising, then, that they may be opposed to the election of Gen. Scott? Is it not a shameful recollection that Mr. Polk proscribed Whigs so his did, in the army, where Whigs and Democrats were alike gallantly supporting the flag of their country? Almost every General in the regular service is a Democrat. Yet, where is the authority for the above sweeping statement, either as to Volunteer or Regular General Officers? Except Shields, Quitman, Butler and Pillow, where or when have any of these spoken? The three former, though democrats, have spoken in the highest terms of him.

GEN. BUTLER'S OPINION OF GEN. SCOTT.

—Gen. Scottis known to us and to the world; and as it is not my province to paint Whig principles, I will not do it. I will not be judged before the world without saying anything of my personality, but for the known positions we have relatively occupied in the city of Mexico, and for the purpose of saying publicly that throughout that eventful campaign, during which difficulties and embarrassing, he acquitted himself in a manner to win my esteem and confidence.

GEN. SHIELDS' OPINION.

—Gen. Winfield Scott is the candidate of the Whig party for the Presidency. He is a gallant and good regard and esteem. I admire him as much as any man. I have been his subordinate at one time, and I consider him entitled to the gratitude of the country for his glorious military services."

GEN. QUITMAN'S OPINION.

—Some situation having been made to him (Scott's) wish, and he having accepted the same, I am sure it would be unwise in us [the Democratic party] to oppose him. He has been military distinction—That this Mexican war has been a glorious one, as Vera Cruz, to the fall of the Capital, was one of the most brilliant achievements in history. That it was directed by none Members about which I have not the slightest doubt. That the brilliant issue of his victorious march from the sea board to the highest plateau in the world, has been the result of his sagacity, and consummate leader in the hour of battle and decay.

We appeal to candid men, if such expressions as these, from honorable opponents, do not speak more than the highest evidence in Gen. Scott? Yet the Locofocos cite their opposition as evidence of the unfitness for the Presidency. Such are the poor arguments of the Locofoco press against the Whig candidate.

Description of a Fugitive-Slave Law Court, by Edmund Burke.

In the celebrated speech of Edmund Burke in the British Parliament on "Conciliation with America," occurs this passage, in speaking of the Courts of Admiralty:

"Courts incomprehensibly situated, in effect doing infinite mischief, constituting in the *fruits of its condemnation, in a robber.*"

It would almost seem that Burke must have had a prophetic eye on the extraordinary tribunals created by the Fugitive Slave act of the last Congress; or that the courts of which the British orator made this declaration, must have been the model which the Congress of 1850 framed. The description of the prescription are true. The constitutional tribunal of the slave-catching commissioner is often far from the home of the fugitive. In the midst of strangers he is impotent to establish and claim his freedom, although he may have abundant evidence of the fact. Most of the cases of the cases tried under the Fugitive act, illustrate this point.

But the latter clause of the description is most life-like. "A court partaking in the fruits of its own condemnation, is a robber." Did Burke have in his prophetic eye the paltry five dollar bribe, offered to the commissioner by the fugitive slave law?

"The Congress of America," continues Burke, "complain, and complain justly, of the *corruption* of their judges. Would the mend of this complaint encourage them, by a three-fourths of a century this same Congress would themselves enact into law this very grievance in its most offensive form, and by a law patched in the tongue, and cause and him in shame to hide his head, for raising his voice in defence of such clients. Could his opponents, in the great struggle on the American question, have foreseen that in seventy-four years this same American Congress would establish all over the territory, petty, irresponsible and unconstitutional courts, "sharing in the fruits of their own condemnation," and that men in the free states would boast of their agency in enacting this iniquity, such a sardonic laugh of scorn would have rung through the halls of Parliament as would have shamed the friends of America into utter silence. Alas, for us the mighty jest of the very wrongs which the American Congress rose to resist, are now enacted into law, by their degenerate posterity of the second generation. The strength of their colonies was in the justice of their cause. Having, in their independence, legalized the same robbery of which they complained in British courts, they have introduced the same element of weakness by which Britain failed in her struggle against American independence.

[*Christian Press.*

☞We understand that Mr. DANNER was nominated by the Opposition Conference, at Chambersburg, on Tuesday, as the "Democratic" candidate for Congress, without opposition—the other candidates named having all declined. The next thing in order is to determine whether the majority by which he is to be beaten in the District shall be 1,000 or 1,500.

☞The Whigs of Baltimore hold a grand Ratification Meeting last Monday night, which the Baltimore Sun characterizes as the most imposing political demonstration ever wit nessed in that city.

Democratic Meeting.

☞We were in error in stating in the issue of Gen. Pierce ON THE SUBJECT OF CO-OPERATION WITH WHIG MEN, reading: BUT WE CANNOT SAY THAT WE FEEL ANY APPREHENSION AT THIS POINT, *and we shall await the fruit of elections and actions of men in the confidence of many American friends that it will result well.*

From the Manchester Examiner.

The election of Gen. Pierce will at any rate prove that the Democratic party is not dead. Whatever may be their other differences, or uneasiness in their intercourse, they can unite in a liberal, conciliatory, and safe government he may form will be one on which THIS COUNTRY MAY RELY FOR EFFECTUAL CO-OPERATION in inducing, where necessary, existing impediments to international intercourse.

From the Liverpool European Times.

As regards the Democratic party, however, it is needless to say, is enlisted on the side of the Democratic candidate. NOTWITHSTAN. PIERCE IS CONSIDERED THE BETTER MAN. NOTHER-WISE. He is merely ACCEPT-ED BECAUSE HE IS THE MAN upon whom the party desire to put the PRINCIPLES OF FREE-TRADE TO ITS UTMOST LIMITS.

☞In briefly noticing the doings of the Opposition County Convention last week, we omitted to state that the nomination for Assembly had been tendered to E. B. BUEHLER, Esq., of Hamiltonban, but declined; also that the nomination for Congress, instead of being unanimous, was made in the face of a bitter and active opposition—

☞S. PERSE, Esq., of this place, and Dr. W. H. STEVENSON, of Petersburg, receiving the support of the Representatives of the "Young Democracy."

☞E. B. BUEHLER, Esq., was appointed one of the Delegates to the next State Convention, and Capt. WM. F. WALTER Representative delegate.

☞We give in another column a communication from a "Democrat" who, expresses his dissatisfaction with the doings of the late Convention of his party in strong language—stronger, in part, than we have deemed proper to admit into our columns. We have also the liberty to omit portions of the communication, unduly severe in expression and not essential to the writer's purpose. We have no objection to the publication of the article, as we say that it is the *bona fide* production of a prominent, consistent, and hitherto hard-working Democrat, who has probably never cast a Whig vote. The domestic differences of our political opponents are not a matter of particular concern to us, and in yielding our columns to a "*Democrat,*" we but evince a willingness to see *fair play* between the rival interests of the Democracy.

☞The meridian line for Adams county, and the standard gauge of 4 feet 8½ inches in the Surveyor General's Office, has been erected and established in Gettysburg, by CHARLES A. PROCTOR, Esq., under the direction of the County Commissioners. The paper points are placed in the Diamond on the west side of the Court-house, near the dwellings of Prof. Stoever and Mr. Stevenson. The Northern point is surrounded by a horizontal Dial plate, by which the solar hour angle can always be seen in clear weather.

By an act of the Assembly, approved April 26th, 1850, all Land Surveyors in said Adams county, are required to test their compasses, and try their measuring chains, as soon as can be, by this meridian and standard pole distance. The book of record in which every surveyor will enter the variation of his compass needle, and the date of his trial by this established meridian, will be kept by the County Clerk in the Commissioners' Office.—*Sentinel.*

☞Mr. Hain has accepted the Free-soil nomination for President.

Mutiny in the Camp.

☞We referred incidentally last week to the doings of the Locofoco Convention, which assembled in this place on Monday week, when the "Young Democracy" were deliberately thrown out of the Convention and the Old Hunkers appropriated the spoils. The following communication, which we copy from the Sentinel, affords evidence of the dissatisfaction which is brewing in the ranks of the Opposition.—

☞WHITE HORSE TAVERN, Sept. 14, 1852.

Mr. Editor:—Not [as the scholar than the soldier, whose pen and sword have been worthily and nobly employed] will I be the debut of the campaign.

The soldier! Who like ever awake the low of the battle? The supreme rule of action, and which he has always fulfilled its strictest requirements. He never is a single instance transcended its limits."

Our guess: The invincible champion of *our* rights! the bloodless intolerable, the man who will profess—where no one bears him—a certain political creed, and yet, practically be, all things to all men. Such will never be a leader for free men; such will claim membership in one party, and yet play his treacherous game of duplicity between and among other parties; such will be the voters of all and more offices at the expense of principle! Long may it be ere this public mind of ours be contaminated with the congressional district, and of this ancient and honorable commonwealth, shall be so far imposed upon as to elevate such a man to a position for which he is so utterly unfitted, and of which he is so utterly unworthy.

DEMOCRAT.

☞The Locofoco press teems with abuse of Gen. Scott for having at one time written a letter in favor of Native American principles. They have grossly misrepresented the facts in the case. Gen. Scott never changed his views and renounced the principles therein expressed. And yet these same journals float at the head of their columns the name of King electoral ticket the name of George W. Kendall, who, in the Texas Reform Convention, moved to instruct a Committee to report a clause to *amend* the Constitution so as to *prevent any foreigner, who might come to this country,* from ever voting! Is this State after the 4th of July, 1841, *from acquiring the right to vote or to hold office in this Commonwealth.* He not only offered such a resolution, but he made a speech in its favor. He declared it to be our duty to amend the Constitution, and from which we quote, "the following extract:

"Locofoco Argument."

☞We find the following paragraph published conspicuously in the columns of every Locofoco newspaper in the country:

"It is said to be a fact that every general officer who served under Gen. Scott in the Mexican war, is opposed to his election to the Presidency, believing that he does not possess the civil qualifications to fit him for that high and responsible station. Does not this fact speak volumes against the party's fitness for the highest civil Office in the world?"

Every general officer in the volunteer service is a Democrat, and was appointed by Mr. Polk *because he was a Locofoco!* Is it very surprising, then, that they may be opposed to the election of Gen. Scott? Is it not a shameful recollection that Mr. Polk proscribed Whigs so his did, in the army, where Whigs and Democrats were alike gallantly supporting the flag of their country? Almost every General in the regular service is a Democrat. Yet, where is the authority for the above sweeping statement, either as to Volunteer or Regular General Officers? Except Shields, Quitman, Butler and Pillow, where or when have any of these spoken? The three former, though democrats, have spoken in the highest terms of him.

GEN. BUTLER'S OPINION OF GEN. SCOTT.

—Gen. Scottis known to us and to the world; and as it is not my province to paint Whig principles, I will not do it. I will not be judged before the world without saying anything of my personality, but for the known positions we have relatively occupied in the city of Mexico, and for the purpose of saying publicly that throughout that eventful campaign, during which difficulties and embarrassing, he acquitted himself in a manner to win my esteem and confidence.

GEN. SHIELDS' OPINION.

—Gen. Winfield Scott is the candidate of the Whig party for the Presidency. He is a gallant and good regard and esteem. I admire him as much as any man. I have been his subordinate at one time, and I consider him entitled to the gratitude of the country for his glorious military services."

GEN. QUITMAN'S OPINION.

—Some situation having been made to him (Scott's) wish, and he having accepted the same, I am sure it would be unwise in us [the Democratic party] to oppose him. He has been military distinction—That this Mexican war has been a glorious one, as Vera Cruz, to the fall of the Capital, was one of the most brilliant achievements in history. That it was directed by none Members about which I have not the slightest doubt. That the brilliant issue of his victorious march from the sea board to the highest plateau in the world, has been the result of his sagacity, and consummate leader in the hour of battle and decay.

We appeal to candid men, if such expressions as these, from honorable opponents, do not speak more than the highest evidence in Gen. Scott? Yet the Locofocos cite their opposition as evidence of the unfitness for the Presidency. Such are the poor arguments of the Locofoco press against the Whig candidate.

Description of a Fugitive-Slave Law Court, by Edmund Burke.

In the celebrated speech of Edmund Burke in the British Parliament on "Conciliation with America," occurs this passage, in speaking of the Courts of Admiralty:

"Courts incomprehensibly situated, in effect doing infinite mischief, constituting in the *fruits of its condemnation, in a robber.*"

It would almost seem that Burke must have had a prophetic eye on the extraordinary tribunals created by the Fugitive Slave act of the last Congress; or that the courts of which the British orator made this declaration, must have been the model which the Congress of 1850 framed. The description of the prescription are true. The constitutional tribunal of the slave-catching commissioner is often far from the home of the fugitive. In the midst of strangers he is impotent to establish and claim his freedom, although he may have abundant evidence of the fact. Most of the cases of the cases tried under the Fugitive act, illustrate this point.

But the latter clause of the description is most life-like. "A court partaking in the fruits of its own condemnation, is a robber." Did Burke have in his prophetic eye the paltry five dollar bribe, offered to the commissioner by the fugitive slave law?

"The Congress of America," continues Burke, "complain, and complain justly, of the *corruption* of their judges. Would the mend of this complaint encourage them, by a three-fourths of a century this same Congress would themselves enact into law this very grievance in its most offensive form, and by a law patched in the tongue, and cause and him in shame to hide his head, for raising his voice in defence of such clients. Could his opponents, in the great struggle on the American question, have foreseen that in seventy-four years this same American Congress would establish all over the territory, petty, irresponsible and unconstitutional courts, "sharing in the fruits of their own condemnation," and that men in the free states would boast of their agency in enacting this iniquity, such a sardonic laugh of scorn would have rung through the halls of Parliament as would have shamed the friends of America into utter silence. Alas, for us the mighty jest of the very wrongs which the American Congress rose to resist, are now enacted into law, by their degenerate posterity of the second generation. The strength of their colonies was in the justice of their cause. Having, in their independence, legalized the same robbery of which they complained in British courts, they have introduced the same element of weakness by which Britain failed in her struggle against American independence.

[*Christian Press.*

☞We understand that Mr. DANNER was nominated by the Opposition Conference, at Chambersburg, on Tuesday, as the "Democratic" candidate for Congress, without opposition—the other candidates named having all declined. The next thing in order is to determine whether the majority by which he is to be beaten in the District shall be 1,000 or 1,500.

☞The Whigs of Baltimore hold a grand Ratification Meeting last Monday night, which the Baltimore Sun characterizes as the most imposing political demonstration ever wit nessed in that city.

Locofoco Arguments.

☞We find the following paragraph published conspicuously in the columns of every Locofoco newspaper in the country:

"It is said to be a fact that every general officer who served under Gen. Scott in the Mexican war, is opposed to his election to the Presidency, believing that he does not possess the civil qualifications to fit him for that high and responsible station. Does not this fact speak volumes against the party's fitness for the highest civil Office in the world?"

Every general officer in the volunteer service is a Democrat, and was appointed by Mr. Polk *because he was a Locofoco!* Is it very surprising, then, that they may be opposed to the election of Gen. Scott? Is it not a shameful recollection that Mr. Polk proscribed Whigs so his did, in the army, where Whigs and Democrats were alike gallantly supporting the flag of their country? Almost every General in the regular service is a Democrat. Yet, where is the authority for the above sweeping statement, either as to Volunteer or Regular General Officers? Except Shields, Quitman, Butler and Pillow, where or when have any of these spoken? The three former, though democrats, have spoken in the highest terms of him.

GEN. BUTLER'S OPINION OF GEN. SCOTT.

—Gen. Scottis known to us and to the world; and as it is not my province to paint Whig principles, I will not do it. I will not be judged before the world without saying anything of my personality, but for the known positions we have relatively occupied in the city of Mexico, and for the purpose of saying publicly that throughout that eventful campaign, during which difficulties and embarrassing, he acquitted himself in a manner to win my esteem and confidence.

GEN. SHIELDS' OPINION.

—Gen. Winfield Scott is the candidate of the Whig party for the Presidency. He is a gallant and good regard and esteem. I admire him as much as any man. I have been his subordinate at one time, and I consider him entitled to the gratitude of the country for his glorious military services."

GEN. QUITMAN'S OPINION.

—Some situation having been made to him (Scott's) wish, and he having accepted the same, I am sure it would be unwise in us [the Democratic party] to oppose him. He has been military distinction—That this Mexican war has been a glorious one, as Vera Cruz, to the fall of the Capital, was one of the most brilliant achievements in history. That it was directed by none Members about which I have not the slightest doubt. That the brilliant issue of his victorious march from the sea board to the highest plateau in the world, has been the result of his sagacity, and consummate leader in the hour of battle and decay.

We appeal to candid men, if such expressions as these, from honorable opponents, do not speak more than the highest evidence in Gen. Scott? Yet the Locofocos cite their opposition as evidence of the unfitness for the Presidency. Such are the poor arguments of the Locofoco press against the Whig candidate.

Description of a Fugitive-Slave Law Court, by Edmund Burke.

In the celebrated speech of Edmund Burke in the British Parliament on "Conciliation with America," occurs this passage, in speaking of the Courts of Admiralty:

"Courts incomprehensibly situated, in effect doing infinite mischief, constituting in the *fruits of its condemnation, in a robber.*"

It would almost seem that Burke must have had a prophetic eye on the extraordinary tribunals created by the Fugitive Slave act of the last Congress; or that the courts of which the British orator made this declaration, must have been the model which the Congress of 1850 framed. The description of the prescription are true. The constitutional tribunal of the slave-catching commissioner is often far from the home of the fugitive. In the midst of strangers he is impotent to establish and claim his freedom, although he may have abundant evidence of the fact. Most of the cases of the cases tried under the Fugitive act, illustrate this point.

But the latter clause of the description is most life-like. "A court partaking in the fruits of its own condemnation, is a robber." Did Burke have in his prophetic eye the paltry five dollar bribe, offered to the commissioner by the fugitive slave law?

"The Congress of America," continues Burke, "complain, and complain justly, of the *corruption* of their judges. Would the mend of this complaint encourage them, by a three-fourths of a century this same Congress would themselves enact into law this very grievance in its most offensive form, and by a law patched in the tongue, and cause and him in shame to hide his head, for ra