

DANIEL SNYDER'S VOTES.

Under this caption, John G. Montgomery, publishes in the last paper of the *Algerine*, a long list of what he calls Daniel Snyder's votes in the Legislature, and a batch of more Jesuitical, malicious, false misrepresentations were never given to the public. The intimation, too, that those votes were given upon the *main question*, is wholly false and gratuitous.

Daniel Snyder, unlike John G. Montgomery, has always been a uniform, consistent, decided democrat. None of your time serving, changing policy men, and as such he was elected by the democratic party, and having been so elected he sustained the interests of the party without any *skulking or dodging*. And we defy J. G. Montgomery, the *Algerine*, or any of the Danville faction, to point out a single prominent vote of his in the Legislature, where he was not sustained by the democratic members, not only in the house of representatives, but in the senate. Even the vote of David B. Montgomery, the brother of John G. Montgomery is placed side by side with that of Daniel Snyder, in the votes recited, and yet John G. Montgomery, is willing to outrage the feelings of a brother, and denounce the whole of the democratic members of the house in order to injure the election of Daniel Snyder, because it would be *against his personal interest, and be beneficial to the county generally*. And this act will be considered still more detestable on the part of J. G. Montgomery, when it is known, that David B. Montgomery lost his re-election by allowing himself to be used as a mere tool and sycophant of his brother to advance his *private interest and that of the Danville faction*.

We shall not attempt to follow him through all the catalogue, but merely glance at some of the votes mentioned in the article to show the utter recklessness of the writer, and how little dependance can be placed upon the remaining portion of his statement. The article says that Daniel Snyder

"Voted to increase the state debt \$800,000."

This bill was authorizing the governor to borrow this much money to pay the semi annual interest upon the state debt due in August following and was passed by a large vote. This interest must be paid or repudiate and declare the state insolvent. What Pennsylvania says he did not do right. None but an *Algerine* and his crew.

"He voted to authorize the issue of \$2,219,918 in certificates of state stock."

There were pressing claims on the state for money due the domestic creditors, and the state had no means of paying, and had this bill passed. There would have been no necessity of the passage of the celebrated Relief Bill, which is afterwards brought into the account of the crimes of Daniel Snyder.

"He voted to spend on corporations, \$500,000."

There is not one word of truth in the above. This sum was appropriated by an amendment offered to an original bill, expressly for the annual expenses of the government, the repairs, and the payment for work already done to the canals, and was to have been paid with the certificates of stock mentioned above. Both of these measures, however, were defeated, and of course, no appropriations had been made thus far—nor a dollar voted out of the treasury, except the first \$800,000, which went to pay the semi annual interest on the state debt.

"He voted to further increase the state debt to the amount of \$3,100,000—to allow the banks to issue shiplasters to that amount—to give the shiplasters to corporations &c.—and to tax the people to redeem their shiplasters."

This is a gross perversion of truth and of facts.

First—It did not increase the state debt, for the money was to go to pay debts already due from the state.

Second—The shiplasters were not given to incorporations, but used for the purpose above stated.

These shiplasters, however were nothing more than small bills issued by the banks, called Relief notes, and now constitute almost the only circulation that is about

And Daniel Snyder voted for them in consequence of petitions sent from Columbia county, signed by a large number of prominent democrats asking him to do so, and of others, who were in Harrisburg at the time the bill passed, urging him to vote for it. Among those who signed petitions for the issue of small bills by the banks, were John G. Montgomery, Wm. Colt, Wm. Donaldson, Peter Baldy, Valentine Best, and John and Richard Fruit the very men who denounce Daniel Snyder for voting for them, and so well satisfied were they with its passage, that delegates were elected in Danville and Madison, instructed without opposition, to sustain his re-nomination, and only brought up now, because he is the candidate of the removal party, and likely, if elected, to interfere with their *private interest*.

Thus much for these \$9,000,000, which they unblushingly charged Daniel Snyder voting away. But it is in character with them. Falsehood, misrepresentation and slander, are the only weapons they can use against him. And these will be used without stint or measure, and no act is too vile, gross or unprincipled, for them to resort to, to defeat the Election of Daniel Snyder, and by that means prevent the removal from taking place.

The remaining votes mentioned in the article referred to, are of a piece with those we have dissected above. Most of them were sections of amendments offered for the express purpose of killing the main bills before the house, and were voted down by the friends of the several bills to which they were to be attached, knowing them to be so intended. Daniel Snyder did vote for immediate resumption of the banks in almost every shape and form in which it was presented to the house, and voted for the final passage of the resumption bill, and the journals are full of his votes to that effect, which will be found by any man who will take the trouble to examine for themselves. We have the journals of both sessions, which any person can examine by calling upon us. They need not take the trouble of travelling to Danville, at the extreme end of the county for the purpose. They are in the centre of the county where the courts ought long since to have been held, and had they been, we should not at this day have had Columbia county excited by either the removal or division question.

THE TRUE VOICE OF ROARINGCREEK.

In pursuance of public notice, one of the most numerous and enthusiastic township meetings ever convened in Columbia county, assembled at the house of Elijah Price, in Roaringcreek, on Saturday, the first of October, 1842, and organized by appointing

GEORGE FETTERMAN, President.

JONAS FARINGER
VOLKLIANS REINHOLD
ABRAHAM BEAVER
ADAM MENSCH
CONRAD YOST
PETER MILLER
ABLE THOMAS
DANIEL KELLER
JOHN RHODES
JAMES A. FOX
JOHN HUGHES
JOHN LEE
GEORGE HOWER
JOSHUA FETTERMAN

V. Presidents.

Michael R. Hower
Leonard Adams
Henry Mity
Nathan Driesbach

Secretaries.

After the organization of the meeting it was ably and eloquently addressed by Col. Michael R. Hower, and William Thomas Esq., both of whom are mechanics and residents of Roaringcreek. They spoke with power and effect, and depicted in strong language their utter detestation of the course pursued by the Danville faction in their attempt to coerce the people of the county into the support of Richard Fruit, that they may effect their division project, by slander, detraction, and false misrepresentation, and repudiated the idea in indignant and manly language, that the citizens of Roaringcreek were to be bought for five dollars, or by a taste of gingerbread, to throw away their own interest, that a few reckless speculators of Danville, might riot on the fruits of their toils, earned by the sweat of their brow.

On motion a committee of 100 were appointed to prepare resolutions expressive of the sense of the meeting to wit:

Benjamin Staum
Lewis Biddins
Jos. Long
Abraham Steward
D. R. Hower
Jonas Barringer Jr.
G. Craig
John Evans
John Bass
John C. Myers
Jacob Fisher
Henry Dye
Harwin Yost
Henry Fisher
John Johnson
Cyrus Shuman

John Folliger
Charles Levan
Joseph Levan
Robert Hampton
William Roth
O. Williams
John Hughes
James Mears
Lewis Lee
Wright Hughes
Geo. Dreisbach
Geo. Fedderman Jr.
Even Griffin
Thornton Mears
John Forhinger
John Underman
William Scott
Gideon Giger
J. Giger Carpenter
John Swaby
Rubin Orrange
Elijah Price
C. M. Rink
Joseph Dengler
Aaron Yost
Joseph Snoker

William Hughes
D. Hauch
Jonas Forhinger
Rubin Yost
Isaac Griffin
Philip Yost
John Perry Esq.
Daniel Raup
Samuel Eck
Casper Shurt
Peter Gearhart
D. Larow Jr.
Gideon Creshier
D. Hauch Sr.
M. Perry

Who reported the following which were unanimously and enthusiastically adopted.

Resolved—That we believe the time has arrived, when there must be either a removal, or a division of Columbia county, and of the two we are satisfied, that the Danville people would choose a division because they then would retain the courts of the county.

Resolved—That of the two, we prefer a removal, because it would be but an act of justice to the inhabitants of the county, and the least expensive.

Resolved—That we will, therefore, sustain Daniel Snyder, for the legislature.

Because he obeyed the instructions of twenty eight hundred of his constituents, constituting a large majority of them, by sustaining and voting for the passage of a bill removing the seat of justice from Danville.

Because he opposed and defeated the project of a division of the county of Columbia.

Because we have confidence that he will again use his every exertion to defeat a like bill should it be again brought before the legislature.

Because he will advocate a removal in preference to a division, a measure which the inhabitants of the county should dread equal to a scourge, as it would be destructive to our prosperity.

Because we know him to be an honest man and a faithful representative, and that with him we can safely trust our rights and interests.

Because the Danville faction use no argument other than abuse and slander, to show that the removal is not just and right, and would not be for the interest of the people of the county.

Because we believe that the removal would save to the county thousands of dollars annually by reducing the expenses of the county, and the travelling expenses of individuals to and from the county seat.

Because the present location of the public buildings are extremely unjust, compelling the inhabitants of the county to travel at least one third further to attend courts, than they would were they in the centre.

Because this unfair location makes the burden bear unequally upon the people, which is anti republican and contrary to the principles of our form of government, and the just rights of man.

Resolved—That we cannot support Richard Fruit.

Because he now professes to be opposed to a removal, when but a short time since he was in favor of it.

Because, if he will abandon his friends and throw himself into the hands of his enemies, for the sake of being their candidate for the legislature, there is no knowing what measure he would not sustain, if it was calculated to advance the interest of his new allies.

Because we cannot have any confidence in the pledges of a man, who can thus change from party to party for mere interested motives.

Because we are satisfied that if he is elected, a division of the county will be the consequence, therefore.

Resolved—That we go the polls on the 11th of October next and vote for Daniel Snyder and the whole removal ticket of equal rights and Justice, against Richard Fruit, Division, Taxation, and Oppression.

Resolved—That we as freemen, do not wish John G. Montgomery, and John Rhodes, to come in our midst to dictate and tell us how to vote.

Because, John Rhodes, has made his boasts, that he could buy all Roaringcreek township for \$5.00.

Resolved—That we look down upon the unwarrantable conduct of many of the Danville faction, with utter contempt on account of their having attended a meeting recently held in Roaringcreek, expressly called by

E. S. Fox
Jonas Keitt
Solomon Levan
S. Hampton Jr.
A. Mears
Samuel Horn
Jesse Price
Jesse Williams
Clarke Williams
G. Mears single
E. Delopinger
Hane Fox
John Fisher
V. Forhinger
J. Hiderman
Jesse Lee
W. Thomas
J. R. Hower
S. Hiderman
A. Barringer
John Snyder
Peter Miller
A. Beaver
R. Fedderman
C. Forhinger
Isaac Irwin
D. Gensel Jr.
George Walter
S. Weary
John Pitner
W. Biltet
M. Snyder
John Beist
S. Mears Jr.
Peter Miner
D. Sauseman
Thomas Thomas
S. Griffin
John Aldrick
John Perry Jr.
Isaac Lee
Samuel Dyer

the removal party, for the purpose of offering their sentiments in favor of a removal and against a division, and using the most degrading means in order to prevent the people from expressing themselves, &c. in their bold and daring attempt to have the meeting organized with officers in favor of the Danville faction, who were not invited there, &c. unquestionably had no voice in the meeting.

Resolved—That we cannot nor will not make a willing sacrifice of justice, and our interest in the removal of the seat of justice from Danville to Bloomsburg, in order to gratify the ambition of a couple of individuals in Roaringcreek, one of whom is hounding and thirsting to be a member of the state legislature, and the other a county commissioner.

Resolved—That the proceedings be signed by the officers and published in the Columbia Democrat and all the other papers in the county.

The meeting gave six tremendous and hearty cheers for DANIEL SNYDER. REMOVAL. EQUAL JUSTICE TO ALL. AGAINST DIVISION, TAXATION and OPPRESSION, and adjourned to meet at the polls on the 2d Tuesday of October. (Signed by the Officers)

Greenwood Aroused. DANIEL SNYDER, REMOVAL, EQUALITY AND JUSTICE. RICHARD FRUIT, DIVISION, HIGH TAXES, AND OPPRESSION.

Pursuant to public notice 86 of the inhabitants of Greenwood township, convened at the house of G. W. Abbot in Rhoadsburg, on Tuesday evening, the 27th inst., and organized by appointing

JONATHAN LEMON,
PHILIP REECE,
JONAS HAYMAN,
WM. ALBERTSON,
PETER GIRTON,
ROSWELL SMITH,
Samuel Stadon,
A. D. Cool,

V. Presidents.

Secretaries.

The object of the meeting being stated by John Parks, On motion, the following committee of twenty were chosen to draft preambles and resolutions for the consideration of the meeting, viz: Jacob Evans, Shively Stadon, G. W. Morris, James Gibson, Samuel McCarty, Joel Parker, John Richard, Esq. H. Lemon, James Mather, John Moore, Samuel Glaspy, Z. Ross, E. Jones, W. Edgar, Richard Haycock, C. F. Moore, A. Cramer, J. McMurtry, John Legget.

The committee having retired for a short time, returned and reported the following, which were unanimously adopted.

Whereas—By unjust maneuvering and intrigue, an improper location of the seat of justice was first formed in Columbia county in open violation to the will of the majority of the inhabitants then therein residing, who seeing the injustice of the act, and viewing the inconveniences to be endured in consequence thereof, immediately remonstrated against the injustice of the location in the most candid manner, and have for a long time exerted themselves to obtain removal to the centre of the county, by picturing out their embarrassed situation, and soliciting the Senate and House Representatives to grant that which they, by an act of justice, could not withhold. Yet, whenever the subject was candidly presented for legislative action, the unprincipled exertions of the inhabitants of Danville, by their misrepresentations and glaring acts of injustice, have swayed the action of the members, and thereby defeated our demands for a removal, although near two thirds of the inhabitants of Columbia county were the petitioners.

And Whereas, to decide the long agitated question, our conventions have placed before the people, two candidates, one in favor of a removal of the seat of justice, and the other in favor of a division, that the question may be fairly weighed through the ballot box—political feeling have been sacrificed, and all parties favorable to a removal will express their voice in favor of DANIEL SNYDER, the removal candidate, whilst those of Danville and Berwick unite upon the support of Richard Fruit, who, if elected, will assist in these pernicious measures of dividing our small county to gratify a few greedy speculators. They have induced Fruit to pledge himself against a division, for the purpose of deceiving the people, and endeavor to dupe them into their unholy measures. It is also a loud and glaring assertion, by the Danvillians, that the removal question is only a measure of speculation, wherein the inhabitants of Bloomsburg, are the only participants. This is a falsehood, as dark as the shadows of the midnight gloom, yet this is an assertion among the most reasonable of theirs. For they cannot set forth one reasonable and honest argument against the people's demand for a removal of the seat of justice from the line of Northumberland to the centre of Columbia county. Therefore.

Resolved—That it is not only the people of Bloomsburg, who are contending for a removal, but the honest and intelligent of all parts of the county, who have hung high their banner of equal rights, and manfully maintained their principles through so many trials and former contests, and who are now unwilling to surrender them.

Resolved—That we will support the removal candidate DANIEL SNYDER, who has by his former course whilst acting as representative of Columbia county, has acted wisely upon all occasions, and honestly adhered to the instructions of his constituents, which entitles him to the support of all men favorable to equal rights and justice.

Resolved—That the accusations set forth by Felt Best, against Daniel Snyder, in the glaring hand bills, are dishonest, untrue and unworthy of the notice of any honest minded man. Being calculated to deceive the people and favor the election of a man who would curse the county with the greatest disaster that could ever befall it.

Resolved—That Richard Fruit, who has heretofore strenuously advocated the removal cause, and who has now turned a Danville convict, for the sake of a nomination for office, has rendered himself unworthy of the support of the Freemen of Columbia county.

Resolved—That Valentine Best, by his unwillingness to allow the people to test the present pending local question, unmolested by his violent twangs of scandal and abuse, has rendered himself unworthy of the confidence of any good meaning citizen of this county.

Resolved—That the votes of Daniel Snyder upon the tax bills which the assertion of Felt Best couples to British bondage, are strictly such principles as the political party to which he boasts the honor of being a member, have always advocated, and were advocated by the democratic party at the time when Daniel Snyder voted with them.

Resolved—That the only sure plan of guarding ourselves against the baneful results which would inevitably be experienced by a division of our small county, is to exert every honest means of defeating the election of Richard Fruit, and cause a general rally for the support of the removal candidate.

Resolved—That we now call upon the candid consideration of the freemen of Columbia county, to decide a question which will either render them comfortably blest with an act of justice, or forever deprive them of sacred rights as a people, by allowing the county to be cut, carved, and divided for the gratification of a few reckless political partisans, at the expense and inconvenience of the tax payers.

Resolved—That we will go to the polls with the watch word of SNYDER, REMOVAL, EQUALITY and JUSTICE against FRUIT, DIVISION, HIGH TAXES, and OPPRESSION.

Resolved—That the course pursued by the Editor of the Columbia Democrat in advocating and sustaining our interest in the exciting question of removal that now agitates Columbia county, meets with our approbation.

Resolved—That as the Editor of the Columbia Democrat sustains our interest, we will sustain him, in preference to those who oppose it, therefore we will use all exertions to extend the circulation of his paper, that the people may have correct information, and recommend to all who see an interest in behalf of the removal, to subscribe for his paper, and thus encourage him to do his duty manfully as he has heretofore done.

Resolved—That the proceedings of this meeting be signed by the officers, and published in the Bloomsburg Democrat, and all other papers in the county. (Signed by the Officers.)

PHILIP BILMEYER.

We have been much amused by the course pursued by this gentleman in his electioneering tours through the county—with what grace and ease he turns his coat to suit the company he is in, and how ready he is to become "all things to all men." In the lower townships he asks his friends to give him their support because he is opposed to removal, and Conner a removal man. In the upper townships he changes his position. He is a removal man, and Conner a Danville man, and therefore he should be supported by them because he is their friend, and he even went so far as to say that the Danville people were in favor of removal to prevent a division. Weakness of mind is a constitutional complaint with some, and he must be possessed of it to an eminent degree, if he supposes he can gull the people by such silly electioneering as this, when there is not a man in the county, but what knows that Philip Bilmeier is now and always has been a Danville man up to the hub, and is now doing all he can to secure the election of Fruit. He opposed removal last winter with his name, and with his money, and yet he now ask them for their support that he may use his influence to injure them and their friends. Will he get it. We think not.

John Fruit was in the upper end of the county electioneering against the removal upon the grounds that Danville was within eleven miles of Northumberland and that should the removal take place, the lower part of the county would go off Northumberland. What nonsense. Get potatoes that way.