

RATES OF ADVERTISING.  
Four lines or less constitute half a square. Night lines or more than four, constitute a square.  
Half a square, one day, \$0.25 (one sq., one week, \$2.00)  
One square, one day, \$0.50 (one sq., one week, \$4.00)  
One square, one month, \$1.50 (one sq., one month, \$10.00)  
One square, three months, \$4.00 (one sq., three months, \$25.00)  
One square, six months, \$7.00 (one sq., six months, \$45.00)  
One square, one year, \$12.00 (one sq., one year, \$75.00)

# Patriot & Union

VOL. 6.—NO. 10. HARRISBURG, PA., SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 12, 1863. PRICE TWO CENTS.

THE DAILY PATRIOT AND UNION will be served to subscribers residing in the Borough for one year in advance, payable to the carrier. Mail subscribers, five dollars per annum.  
THE WEEKLY PATRIOT AND UNION is published at two dollars per annum, in advance. Ten copies for one dollar.  
Connected with this establishment is an extensive JOB OFFICE, containing a variety of plan and fancy type, unequalled by any establishment in the interior of the State, for which the patronage of the public is so liberal.

## Business Cards.

**ROBERT SNODGRASS,**  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
Office North Third street, third door above Market, Harrisburg, Pa.

**W. M. H. MILLER,**  
AND  
**R. E. FERGUSON,**  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW.  
OFFICE IN  
SHOEMAKER'S BUILDINGS  
SECOND STREET,  
BETWEEN WALNUT AND MARKET SQUARE,  
ap-20nd

**THOS. C. MCDOWELL,**  
ATTORNEY AT LAW,  
MILITARY CLAIM AND PATENT AGENT,  
Office in the Exchange, Walnut st., (Up Stairs.)  
Having formed a connection with parties in Washington City, who are reliable business men, any business connected with any of the Departments will meet with immediate and careful attention. mdy

**DR. C. WEICHEL,**  
SURGEON AND OCUList,  
RESIDENCE THIRD WALK NORTH STREET.  
He is now fully prepared to attend promptly to the duties of a professional man in all its branches.  
A LONG AND VERY SUCCESSFUL MEDICAL EXPERIENCE justifies him in promising full and ample satisfaction to all who may favor him with a call, be the disease chronic or of any other nature. m18-d&w1v

**MILITARY CLAIMS AND PENSIONS**  
The undersigned has entered into an association for the collection of Military Claims and the securing of Pensions for veterans of the late war, and is prepared to receive and prosecute all claims for Batta, Ordnance and Clothing returns, and all papers pertaining to the military service will be made out properly and expeditiously.  
Office in the Exchange Buildings, Walnut between Second and Third streets, near Omitt's Hotel, Harrisburg, Pa. m18-d&w1v  
**THOMAS A. MAGUIRE.**

**SILAS WARD.**  
NO. 11, NORTH THIRD ST., HARRISBURG.  
**STEINWAY'S PIANOS,**  
MELODEONS, VIOLINS, GUITARS,  
Banjos, Flutes, Pipes, Drums, Accordions,  
Guitars, and other music, &c., &c.,  
PHOTOGRAPH FRAMES, ALBUMS,  
Large Pier and Mantle Mirrors, Square and Oval Frames of every description made to order. Regularly done.  
Agency for Howe's Sewing Machines.  
Sheet Music sent by mail. oct-1

**JOHN W. GLOVER,**  
**MERCHANT TAILOR!**  
Has just received from New York, an assortment of the most  
SEASONABLE GOODS,  
which he offers to his customers and the public at  
MODERATE PRICES. dtf

**J. COOK, Merchant Tailor,**  
27 CHESTNUT ST., between Second and Front,  
Has just returned from the city with an assortment of  
CLOTHS, CASSIMERES AND VESTINGS,  
which will be sold at moderate prices. Regularly done.  
Clothing and Gentlemen's FASHIONABLE GOODS.  
nov12-1rd

**DENTISTRY.**  
**B. M. GILDEA, D. D. S.,**  
NO. 119 MARKET STREET,  
REY & KUNKER'S BUILDING, UP STAIRS.  
jan5-1t

**RELIGIOUS BOOK STORE,**  
TRACT AND SUNDAY SCHOOL DEPOSITORY,  
**E. S. GERMAN,**  
27 SOUTH SECOND STREET, ABOVE CHESTNUT,  
HARRISBURG, PA.  
Depot for the sale of Bibles, Prayers, Tracts, Views, Maps and Musical Instruments. Also, subscriptions taken for religious publications. oct-1

**JOHN G. W. MARTIN,**  
FASHIONABLE  
CARD WRITER.  
HERB HOTEL, HARRISBURG, PA.  
ALL manner of VISITING CARDS, BUSINESS CARDS, and all other artistic styles and most reasonable terms. oct14-1t

**UNION HOTEL,**  
Ridge Avenue, corner of Broad street,  
HARRISBURG, PA.  
The undersigned informs the public that he has recently renovated and refitted his well-known "Union Hotel" on Ridge Avenue, near the Round House, and is prepared to accommodate citizens, strangers and travelers in the best style, at moderate rates.  
His table will be supplied with the best of the market, and at his bar will be found superior brands of liquors and malt beverages. The very best accommodations for railroads employed at the shops in this vicinity. [s4 dtf] HENRY HOSGREN.

**FRANKLIN HOUSE,**  
BALTIMORE, MD.  
This pleasant and commodious hotel has been recently re-fitted and re-furnished. It is pleasantly situated on North West corner of Howard and Franklin streets, a few doors west of the Northern Central Railroad Depot. Every attention paid to the comfort of its guests. G. LEIBENBERG, Proprietor, 1812-1t (Late of Solius Grove, Pa.)

**THEO. F. SCHIFFER,**  
BOOK, CARD AND JOB PRINTER,  
NO. 18 MARKET STREET, HARRISBURG.  
Particular attention paid to printing, ruling and binding of all kinds of books, pamphlets, tracts, circulars, checks, bills, heads, &c.  
Wedding, Visiting and Business Cards printed at very low prices and in the best style. jan11

**TAILORING.**  
**GEO. A. RUGER,**  
The subscriber is ready at NO. 94, MARKET ST., four doors below Fourth street, to make  
MEN'S AND BOY'S CLOTHING  
in any desired style, and with skill and promptness. Persons wishing cutting done can find it done at the shortest notice. sept-1

**CHARLES F. VOLLMER,**  
UPHOLSTERER,  
Chestnut street, four doors above Second,  
(Opposite Washington House)  
Is prepared to furnish to order, in the very best style of workmanship, Spring and Hair Mattresses, Window Blinds, Lounges, and all other articles of Furniture in his line, on short notice and moderate terms. Having experience in the business, he feels warranted in asking a share of public patronage, confident of his ability to give satisfaction. jan17-1t

**SKY-LIGHT GALLERY**—(The Rooms)  
ON the corner of Market square and North Second, opposite the Jones House, occupied as a Gallery for Daguerotypes, Photographs and Ambrotypes purposes, are FOR RENT from the 1st of September. JOHN W. YETTS Apply to

**WEBSTER'S ARMY AND NAVY**  
POCKET DICTIONARY.  
Just received and for sale at  
ROBERTSON'S BOOKSTORE,  
NEW ORLEANS SUGAR—FIRST IN  
THE MARKET!—For sale by  
WM. BOOK JR., & CO.

## The Patriot & Union.

SATURDAY MORNING, SEPT. 12, 1863.  
FACTS FOR THE PEOPLE.

The Abolition Leaders Convicted of their own Mouths of Disunion—Abolitionism—Of Insurrectionary Doctrines—A Design to Change the Government, Override the Constitution and Form a Central Despotism—Of Falsehood, Corruption and Treason!

[Prepared for the Patriot and Union.]  
"SIR, THE ABOLITION PARTY IS A DISLOYAL ORGANIZATION. ITS FREQUENTED LIES FOR FREEDOM MEANS NOTHING MORE OR LESS THAN CIVIL WAR AND A DISSOLUTION OF THE UNION. HONEST MEN OF ALL PARTIES SHOULD UNITE TO EXPOSE THEIR INTENTIONS AND ARREST THEIR PROGRESS."—ANDREW JACKSON.

PROPHECY.  
"If these infernal fanatics and abolitionists ever get the power in their hands, they will override the Constitution, set the Supreme Court at defiance, change and make laws to suit themselves, LAY VIOLENT HANDS ON THOSE WHO DISOBEY WITH THEM IN OPINION, OR DARE QUESTION THEIR FIDELITY, AND FINALLY BRUKE THE CONSTITUTION AND DELUGE IT WITH BLOOD."—DANIEL WEBSTER.

In the Senate Mr. Clay said in relation to Abolitionism:  
"To the agency of their power of persuasion, they now propose to substitute the power of the ballot box; and he must be blind to what is passing before us, who does not perceive that the inevitable tendency of their proceedings is, if these should be found insufficient, to invoke finally, the more potent powers of the bayonet."

FUNDAMENTAL DOCTRINES OF ABOLITIONISM—HIGHER LAW.  
"I have always hated slavery, I think, as much as any Abolitionist. I have always been an old line Whig. I have always hated it but I have always been quiet about it until this new era of the introduction of the Nebraska bill again. I always believed that every body was against it, and that it was in course of ultimate extinction."

"We are now far into the fifth year since a policy was initiated with the avowed object and confident promise of putting an end to slavery agitation. Under the operation of that policy, that agitation has not only not ceased, but has constantly augmented. In my opinion it will not cease until a crisis shall have been reached and passed; a house divided against itself cannot endure permanently half slave and half free. I do not expect the Union to be dissolved; I do not expect the house to fall; but I do expect it will cease to be divided; it will become all one thing or the other. Either the opponents of slavery will arrest the further spread of it, and place it where the public mind shall rest in the belief that it is in the course of ultimate extinction, or its advocates will push it forward till it shall become, alike lawful in all the States, old as well as new, North as well as South."—Abraham Lincoln—campaign edition of his speeches.

Afterwards, commenting on this, he says:  
"I only said what I expected would take place. I made a prediction only; it may have been a foolish one, perhaps. I did not even say that I desired that slavery should be put in course of ultimate extinction. I do say so now, however; so there need be no longer any difficulty about that."

"Correct your own error, that slavery has any constitutional guarantee which may not be released, and ought not to be relinquished.—Say to slavery, when it shows its hand, (that is the Constitution,) and demands its pound of flesh, that if it draws one drop of blood, its life shall pay the forfeit. \* \* \* All this, and inculcate all this in a spirit of moderation and benevolence, and not of retaliation and fanaticism, and you will soon bring the parties of the country into an effective aggression upon slavery."—W. H. Seward, Cleveland, 1848.

"Send it abroad upon the wings of the wind, that I am committed, fully committed to the fullest extent, in favor of the immediate and unconditional Abolition of slavery, wherever it exists under the authority of the Constitution of the United States."—Senator Wilson of Massachusetts.

In the year 1857, an individual named Hinton Rowan Helper, who had been forced to leave his native State, North Carolina, in disgrace, published a book, of which he was the reputed author, entitled "The Impending Crisis." The book recommended direct warfare on Southern society, "be the consequences what they might." It was so extravagant in tone, and so diabolical in its designs, that it was at first generally supposed to be the work of a fool or a madman. What, however, was the surprise of the public when the book was actually adopted by the Republican party as a campaign document, and its atrocious principles endorsed by SIXTY-EIGHT Republican Members of Congress and all the influential members of the party! Below will be found an abstract of the principles it advocated, taken from the large edition of the work, published by A. B. Burdick, No. 145 Nassau street, N. Y., 1860:

1. We unhesitatingly declare ourselves in favor of the immediate and unconditional abolition of slavery.—Page 26.  
2. We cannot be too hasty in carrying out our designs.—Page 33.  
3. No man can be a true patriot without first becoming an Abolitionist.—Page 116.  
6. Slaveholders are more criminal than common murderers.—Page 140.  
7. All slaveholders are under the shield of a perpetual homicide to murder.—Page 141.  
8. It is our honest conviction that all the pro-slavery slaveholders, who are alone responsible for the continuance of the baneful institution, among us, deserve to be at once reduced to a parallel with the basest criminals that lie fettered within the cells of our public prisons.—Page 158.  
9. Were it possible that the whole number (of slaveholders), could be gathered together and transferred into four equal gangs of licensed robbers, ruffians, thieves and murderers, society, we feel assured, would suffer less from their atrocities than it does now.—Page 158.

10. Once and forever, at least so far as this country is concerned, the infernal question of slavery must be disposed of. A speedy and absolute abolition of the whole of it is the true policy of the South, and this is the policy which we propose to pursue.—Page 121.  
WE UNFURL OUR BANNER TO THE WORLD.  
Inscribed on the banner which we (W. H. Seward, Horace Greeley, and the other endorers), herewith unfurl to the world, with the full and fixed determination to stand by it or die by it, unless one of more virtuous efficacy shall be presented, are the mottoes which, in substance, embody the principles as we conceive should govern us.

THE MOTTOES OF OUR BANNER.  
1. Thorough organization and independent political action on the part of non-slaveholding Whites of the South.  
2. Ineligibility of slaveholders; never another vote to the trafficker in human flesh.  
3. No co-operation with slaveholders in politics, no fellowship with them in religion, no affiliation with them in society.  
4. No patronage to slaveholding merchants; no request to slave waiting hotels; no fees to slaveholding lawyers; no employment to slaveholding physicians; no audience to slaveholding parsons.  
5. No recognition of pro-slavery men, except as ruffians, outlaws and criminals.  
6. Immediate death to slavery, or if not immediate, unqualified proscription of its advocate during the period of its existence.—Pages 155 and 156.  
7. Thus, terror engenders of the South have we fully and frankly defined our position—we have no modifications to propose, no promises to offer, nothing to retract. Brown, sire, frat, form, prepare your weapons, threat, strike, shoot, stab, bring on civil war; dissolve the Union, may annihilate the solar system if you will—do all this, more, less, better, worse, anything—no what you will, sire, you can neither foil nor intimidate us; our purpose is as firmly fixed as the eternal pillars of Heaven; we have determined to abolish slavery, and so help us God, abolish it we will.—Page 157.

Wendell Phillips, shortly after the organization of the Republican party, speaking of that party said:  
"No man has a right to be surprised at this state of things. It is just what we (Abolitionists and Disunionists) have attempted to bring about. It is the first sectional party ever organized in this country. It does not know its own face, and calls itself national; but it is not national—it is sectional. The Republican party is a party of the North pledged against the South."

"No act of ours do we regard with more conscientious approval or higher satisfaction, none do we submit more confidently to the judgment of Heaven and the moral verdict of mankind, than when, several years ago, on the 4th of July, in the presence of a great assembly, we committed to the flames the Constitution of the United States."

"I believe this government cannot endure permanently half slave and half free."—Abraham Lincoln.  
The master not only governs the slave without his consent, but he governs him by a set of rules altogether different from those which he prescribes for himself. Allow all the governed an equal voice in the government.—Howell's Life of Lincoln, page 278.

"Our Legislatures, State and Federal, should raise the platform upon which our free colored people stand; they should give them full political rights to hold office, to vote, to sit on juries, to give their testimony, and to make no distinction between them and ourselves. The instrument called the Constitution, after pronouncing all men equal, and having equal rights, suffers slavery to exist, a free colored person to be denied all political rights, and after declaring that all persons shall enjoy a free intercourse with the States, suffers the free negro to be driven out of all, and excluded from such rights. Deliver me from such an instrument thus partial, thus unjust, then say that I desired that slavery should be put in course of ultimate extinction. I do say so now, however; so there need be no longer any difficulty about that."

When questioned in the House of Representatives, the Hon. N. P. Banks, afterwards elected Speaker of the House, and Governor of Massachusetts, by the Black Republicans, declared his inability to decide whether the white or black was the superior race, but the white or black was to be decided by absorption or amalgamation. He said:  
"So far as he had studied the subject of races, he had adopted the idea that when there is a weaker race in existence, it will succumb to, and be absorbed in, the stronger race. This was the universal law as regarded the races of men in the world. In regard to the question whether the white or black was superior, he proposed to wait until time should develop whether the white race should absorb the black; or the black absorb the white."

And Horace Greeley, while admitting that the abolition of slavery in the States is the real object of the Republican party, explained the reason why they did not then openly advocate the doctrine. He quoted from his paper (the Tribune) of July 25, 1855, the following:  
"We contend that the abolition of slavery in the States is the real object of the Republican party."  
"Admit that Abolition in the States is what all men ought to strive for, and it is clear to our mind that a large majority are not prepared for this, and the practical question is this—Shall we politically attempt what will certainly involve us in defeat and failure? or shall we not rather attempt that which a majority are ripe for, and thus, by our consequent triumph, invite that majority to go further? Shall we insist on having all the possible eggs now, or be content to await their appearance day by day? The latter seems to be the only reasonable sensible course. We care not how fast we secure Birney & Co., nor do we care for public sentiment in the North for emancipation; we will sit them to the best of our ability; but we will not refuse the good now within our reach out of deference to that which is yet unattainable. Mr. Birney's ultimatum may be just what he sees fit; we have not proposed to modify or interdict or prevent the doing of some good at once, merely because he would like to do more good, as we shall, also, whenever it shall have become practicable."

W. P. Cutler, another Republican member of Congress from Ohio, in a speech in the House, said:  
"Slavery has caused the present rebellion, and there can be no permanent peace and Union in this Republic, as long as that institution exists."

At the same session, Owen Lovejoy, a Republican Congressman from Illinois, said:  
"There can be no Union till slavery is destroyed."  
DISUNION SENTIMENTS OF ABOLITION LEADERS—"LET THE UNION SLIDE"—"THE LEAGUE WITH HELL AND COVENANT WITH DEATH."

"The Union is not worth supporting with the South."—Horace Greeley.  
"I am willing, under a certain state of circumstances, to let the Union slide."—General Nathaniel P. Banks.  
"In case of the alternative being presented of the continuation of slavery; or a dissolution of the Union, I am for disunion, and I care not how soon it comes."— Rufus B. Spalding.  
"I detest slavery, and say, unhesitatingly, that I am for its abolition by any means, if it

should send all party organizations in the Union, or the Union itself, to the devil."—H. M. Addison, of the American Advertiser.  
"By all her regard for the generations of the future, by her reverence for God and man, the North is bound to dissolve her present Union with kidnappers and murderers, and form a Northern Republic on the basis of 'No Union with slaveholders.'"—Hon. Henry C. Wright, of Ill., June 9, 1856.

This treason was preceded by Senator Hale, who presented a petition in the Senate for a dissolution of the Union, and boasted that he had already "presented Eight Petitions for a Dissolution of the Union." See Congressional Globe, February 8th, 1850, the same year in which Senator Henry Clay declared that, "the great question before the country was whether abolitionism should put down the Union, or the Union should put down abolitionism."

To those New England disunionists, Senator Dayton of New Jersey said, "Sir, I have yet to know that the right of petition has ever been extended to the destruction of the Government to which it is addressed. It is not the right of any party to petition the sovereign power to destroy itself. This petition (presented by Hale), comes here and asks us to dissolve the Union. It asks us to put an end to the Federal Government; it asks us to destroy the Constitution. Why, the first thing I did when I came here, was to take an oath to support the Constitution which those men ask me to destroy. Sir! who wants argument, who wants debate in answer to such memorials!"

Massachusetts' most noble Senator, who for his reverence for the Constitution, and his deep love for the Union—Daniel Webster, for his integrity, honor, truth and justice, was stricken down by Massachusetts. Senator Webster said "I am much obliged to the member from Michigan, (Mr. Cass,) for the clearness with which he has expressed his opinion against this petition. I am quite sure that such a petition has never been presented, and shall be quite surprised if there shall be any vote in the Senate for receiving it. I think the substance of this petition is this: You and each of you took your solemn oaths in the presence of Almighty God, and on the Holy Evangelists, that you would support the Constitution of the United States, now therefore we pray you take immediate steps to break up the Union, and overthrow the Constitution as soon as you can, and as in duty bound we will ever pray." Said Senator Cass, "That's first rate." This petition for the dissolution of the Union was instantly and indignantly rejected, every Senator voting against it, except three.

Massachusetts, because of the admission of Louisiana, sent her representative to Congress to declare the Union of the States dissolved. Massachusetts, on the admission of Texas, voted herself out of the Union, and has never voted herself in again.  
To follow up the course of history, look at the facts:  
Massachusetts was foremost in the party, which, in 1850, raised the sectional flag of disunion, blotting out one half of the stars from our glorious flag, and striking boldly for disunion, as that State has always done and is now doing.  
Massachusetts, in 1860, bent on carrying out her deep laid conspiracy against the Union, re-organized the party which was announced as the party of the free States against the Slave States; the North against the South.

James S. Pike, long editorially connected with the N. Y. Tribune and now Minister to the Netherlands, said:  
"I have no doubt that the free and slave States ought to separate. The Union is not worth supporting in connection with the South."  
"The Republican party is moulding public sentiment in the right direction for the specific work the Abolitionists are striving to accomplish, viz: The dissolution of the Union, and the abolition of slavery throughout the land."

The present Assistant Secretary of the Treasury—Francis E. Spinner—during the Fremont campaign said:  
"Should this (the election of Fremont) fail, no true man (would be any longer safe here from the assaults of the arrogant slave oligarchy, who then would rule with an iron hand. For the free North would be left the choice of a peaceful dissolution of the Union, a civil war which would end in the same."  
"I will not stultify myself by supposing that we have any warrant in the Constitution for this proceeding."  
"This talk of restoring the Union as it was, under the Constitution as it is, is one of the absurdities which I have heard repeated until I have become almost sick of it. The Union can never be restored as it was. There are many things which render such an event impossible. This Union never shall, with my consent, be restored under the Constitution as it is, with slavery to be protected by it."—Hon. Thaddeus Stevens, the administration leader in Congress.

"Whenever any portion of this Union, large enough to form an independent, self-sustaining nation, shall see fit to say authentically, to us, 'we want to get away from you,' we shall say—and we trust self-respect, if not regard for the principle of self government will constrain the residue of the American people to say—Go!"—N. Y. Tribune, Dec., 1860.

From the Chicago Tribune Dec., 1860:  
"Not a few of the Republican journals of the interior are working themselves up to the belief that they are endeavoring to impress upon their readers that the seceded States, be they few or many, will be whipped back into the Union. We caution all such that in language of that sort they are adding new fuel to the flame which is already blazing so fiercely; and that the probabilities now are that the result will prove them to be false prophets. No man knows what public policy may demand of the incoming administration; but the drift of opinion seems to be that, if peaceable secession is possible, the retiring States will be assisted to go, that this needless and bitter controversy may be brought to an end."  
As proof of what we assert, we quote from the speech of Stephen A. Douglas, delivered in the United States Senate, January 2d, 1861, on the compromise measures then pending before that body:  
"I believe this to be a fair basis of amicable adjustment. If you of the Republican side are not willing to accept this, nor the proposition of the Senator from Kentucky, (Mr. Crittenden), pray tell us what you are willing to do?"  
"I address the inquiry to Republicans only, for the reason that in the Committee of Thirteen, a few days ago, every member from the South, including those from the cotton States, (Messrs. Toombs and Davis,) expressed their readiness to accept the proposition of my venerable friend from Kentucky, (Mr. Crittenden), as a final settlement of the controversy, if intended and sustained by the Republican members."

"Hence the sole responsibility of our disagreement and the only difficulty in the way of an amicable adjustment, is with the Republican party."

Republicans will surely not discredit one whom they so frequently eulogize and from whom they occasionally quote. Mr. Douglas boldly charged the responsibility on them, and they had not the hardihood to deny it.  
In February, 1863, Horace Greeley wrote a leader for the Tribune, in which appears the following:  
"Speaking for ourselves, we can honestly say that for the old Union, which was kept in existence by Southern menaces and Northern concessions, we have no regrets and no wish for its reconstruction."  
"Who wants a Union which is nothing but a sentiment to laquer Fourth of July orations with?"  
"If by chance, in ancient times, the criminal felt the lash of justice, which justice had tied upon his shoulders, slipping off—he did not, we fancy, cry out: 'Oh wretched man that I am! who will fasten me again to the body of this death?' If we are, in the providence of God, to be delivered from unnatural alliances—if the January of slavery is no longer to chill, by natural embraces, the May of human hope, who is there weak and wicked enough to forbid the righteous divorce?"

Dr. O. A. Brownson, whom the Abolitionists last year ran for Congress in the district of New Jersey, opposite New York City, said in his well-known Review for July:  
"It is no secret now that the leaders of the Republican party were prepared, if they could not retain the border slave States, to let South Carolina and the Gulf go, and form, if they chose, an independent confederacy."

"I will continue to experiment no longer, it is all madness. Let the Slaveholding Union go, and slavery will go with the Union down into the dust. If the Church is against disunion, and not on the side of the slave, then I pronounce it as of the devil. I say, let us cease striking hands with thieves and adulterers and give to the winds the rallying cry, 'no union with slaveholders, socially or religiously, and up with the flag of disunion.'"—Wm. L. Garrison.

Mr. Bingham, of Ohio, the Chairman, of the Judiciary Committee of the House, in a remarkable speech made by him at the same session, says:  
"Who in the name of God, wants the Cotton States this side perdition, to remain in the Union, if slavery is to continue?"  
"The Union as it was will never bless the vision of any pro-slavery fanatic or secession sympathizer, and it never ought to. It is a thing of the past, hated by every patriot and destined never to curse an honest people, or blot the page of history again."

"The fact can no longer be disguised that many of the Republican Senators desire war and disunion, under pretext of saving the Union. They wish to get rid of the Southern States, in order to have a majority in the Senate to confirm the appointments, and many of them think they can hold a Republican majority in the Northern States, but not in the whole Union; for partisan reasons they are anxious to dissolve the Union, if it can be done without holding them responsible before the people."—Stephen A. Douglas, in the U. S. Senate, Dec. 25, 1860.

From a speech of Cassius M. Clay while the President was pursuing a conservative policy. "Better recognize the Southern Confederacy at once, and stop this effusion of blood, than to continue in this ruinous policy or have even a restoration of the Union."  
"If the cotton States become satisfied that they can do better out of the Union than in it, we insist on letting them go in peace. The right to secede may be a revolutionary one, but it exists nevertheless. \* \* \*  
"We must ever resist the right of any State to remain in the Union and nullify or defy the laws thereof. To withdraw from the Union is quite another matter; and whenever a considerable section of our Union shall deliberately resolve to go out, we shall resist all coercive measures to keep it in. We hope never to live in a government where one section is yoked to another with bayonets."—New York Tribune, Nov. 9, 1860.

Also the following from the N. Y. Tribune, of December 17, 1860:  
"If it (the Declaration of Independence) justified the secession from the British Empire, of three millions of colonists in 1776, we do not see why it would not justify the secession of five millions of Southerners from the Union in 1861. If we are mistaken on this point, why does not some one attempt to show wherein and why? For our own part, while we deny the right of slaveholders to hold slaves against the will of the latter, we cannot see how twenty millions of people can rightfully hold ten or even five millions in a detested Union with them by military force."  
"If seven or eight contiguous States shall present themselves authentically at Washington, saying, 'We hate the Federal Union; we have withdrawn from it; we give you the choice between acquiescing in our secession and arranging amicably all incidental questions on one side, and attempting to subdue us on the other—we could not stand up for co-residence, and subjugation, for we do not think it would be right. We hold the right of self-government sacred, even when invoked in behalf of those who deny it to others."  
"If ever seven or eight States send agents to Washington to say, 'We want to get out of the Union,' we shall feel constrained by our devotion to human liberty to say, let them go! And we do not see how we could take the other side without coming in direct conflict with those rights of man which we hold paramount to all political arrangements, however convenient and advantageous."

The same paper in February last declared, that if, in the next ensuing ninety days, the rebels should not be whipped the Federal Government should make with them "the best attainable peace."  
"When the same paper urged a barbarous warfare that would be a reproach to the nation and the age, and stir the most bitter hatred in the Southern people against the loyal States and the government, it meant disunion."  
When it demanded, with unparalleled insolence, an emancipation proclamation from the President in the name of twenty millions, it meant disunion.  
When it pressed the extreme measures of Abolitionism upon Congress, it meant disunion.  
When it says to Jeff. Davis and the Confederate leaders, continue the struggle until the first of May and we will then separate, it means disunion.

What has the administration and Congress meant in the adoption of its policy? Were they blind? Will history be charitable as to excuse their fatal errors on the ground that their want of comprehension absorbed them from originality? Now when the mask has been thrown aside by the Garrison Abolitionists, and they have proclaimed their ultimatum of war till May and then disunion, can the administration continue the policy of these Abolition disunionists and hope to escape the originality which that policy involves?—Thurston Wood—In the Albany Evening Journal.

INSURRECTIONARY DOCTRINES OF THE ABOLITION LEADERS—MASSACRE AND BLOODIED ENDORSED AND ADVOCATED.  
"I tell you, fellow-citizens, the Harper's Ferry outbreak was the legitimate consequence of the teachings of the Republican party.—Senator Wilson, of Massachusetts—Speech at Syracuse, October 28, 1860.

The Hon. Robt. C. Winthrop, late Speaker of the National House of Representatives, on his return from Europe, uttered the following proof of the true character of John A. Andrews, who was elected Governor of Massachusetts because of his complicity with the attempted massacre of Virginia women and children; Mr. Winthrop says: "I shall not soon forget the emotions with which I received, at Vienna, last November, the first tidings of this atrocious affair, a variety of plain and fancy type, unequalled by any establishment in the interior of the State, for which the patronage of the public is so liberal."

"But the nomination is before us, (and Andrews was elected). I should be false to every impulse of my heart, if being here at all this evening—if opening my lips at all during this campaign—I did not utter my humble protest—as one to whom the cause of Christianity and social order is dear, as one who would see the word of God and the law of the land respected and obeyed—if I did not assume a humble and earnest protest against such an attempt to give the seeming sanction of the people of Massachusetts to sentiments so impious and abominable."

John A. Andrews, Governor of Massachusetts, president of a John Brown sympathy meeting on the 10th of November, 1859, at which Wendell Phillips and H. W. Emerson made speeches. He, too, made the speech above referred to, and from it we make the following extract:  
"John Brown and his companions in the conflict at Harper's Ferry, those who fell there and those who are to suffer upon the scaffold, are victims and martyrs to an idea. There is an irrepressible conflict [great applause] between freedom and slavery as old and as immortal as the irrepressible conflict between right and wrong. They are among the martyrs of that conflict. John Brown was right, sympathetic with the idea because it sympathized with and believed in the eternal right. They who are dependent upon him and his sons and his associates, in the battle of Harper's Ferry, have a right to call upon us who have professed to believe, or who may have, in any manner or measure, taught the doctrine of the rights of man as applied to the colored slaves of the South, to stand by their bereavement. We are to-night in the presence of a great and awful sorrow, which has fallen like a pall upon many families whose hearts fall, whose affections are lacerated, and whose hopes are crushed on the 10th of November, 1859, at which an event which under the providence of God, I pray will be overruled for that good which was contemplated and intended by John Brown."

Yet "impious and abominable" as it was, Andrews was elected Governor of Massachusetts because he said "John Brown was right," and one of his Abolition papers, the Pine and Palm, published in Boston, says: "We would hesitate at no conceivable atrocity, we would spare neither parlor nor cradle, neither age nor sex, did we believe that they must perish in order that negro slavery might perish with them."

We next quote from the Winstead (Connecticut) Herald, a strong Republican paper:  
"For one, we confess we love him, we honor him, we applaud him. He is honest in his principles, courageous in their defense, and we have yet to be taught, reading from the Book of Inspiration we acknowledge, how and wherein old John Brown is a transgressor."  
"He dared to undertake what you (the Republican leaders) in the security of your sanctuaries, only are bold to preach."

"If I am elected Governor of Ohio, and I expect to be, I will not let any fugitive be returned to Kentucky or any other slave State; and if I cannot prevent it any other way, as Commander-in-Chief of the military of the State, I will employ the bayonet, so help me God."—Gov. Dennison, of Ohio.

"On the action of this convention" (the convention which nominated Fremont), "depends the fate of the country. If the Republicans fall at the ballot box, we will be forced to drive back the slaveocracy with fire and the sword."—Gen. James Watson Webb, the present Minister to Brazil.

"I sincerely hope a civil war may burst upon this country. I want to see American slavery abolished in my day. It is a legacy I have no wish to leave my children. Then my most fervent prayer is that England, France and Spain may speedily take this slavery-acquired nation into their special consideration, and when the time arrives for the streets of the cities of this land of the free and the home of the brave to run with blood to the horse's bridle, if the writer be living, there will be one heart to rejoice at the retributive justice of Heaven."—W. O. Duval, of New York, a leading Republican politician.

"We urge, therefore, unbending determination on the part of Northern members hostile to this intolerable outrage," [Kansas bill] "and demand of them, in behalf of peace, in behalf of freedom, in behalf of justice, and in behalf of humanity, resistance to the last. That confusion should ensue; better that confusion reign in national councils; better that Congress should break up in wild disorder; nay, better that the Capital itself should blaze by the torch of the incendiary, or fall and bury all its inmates beneath its crumbling ruins, than that this wrong and perfidy should be finally accomplished."—Horace Greeley.

From the Helgoe Book.  
11. Slaveholders! It is for you to decide whether we are to have justice peaceably or by violence, for whatever consequences may follow, we are determined to have it, one way or the other.—Page 128.

12. Against slaveholders, as a body, we (that is, the Republican signers and endorers) wage an exterminating war.—Page 120.

13. Slaveholders are nuisances, and it is our imperative duty to abate the nuisances; we propose, therefore, to exterminate slavery,