

# Wyoming Democrat.



HARVEY SICKLER, Publisher.

"To speak his thoughts is every Freeman's Right."

TERMS, \$2.00 Per ANNUM, in Advance.

VOL. VII.

TUNKHANNOCK, WYOMING CO., PA. - WEDNESDAY, MAY 20, 1868.

NO. 41.

## Wyoming Democrat.

A Democratic weekly paper devoted to Political News, the Arts and Sciences &c. Published every Wednesday, at Tunkhannock Wyoming County, Pa. BY HARVEY SICKLER

Terms—1 copy 1 year, (in advance) \$2.00, if not paid within six months, \$2.50 will be charged. No paper will be discontinued, until all arrears are paid; unless at the option of publisher.

**RATES OF ADVERTISING.**  
TEN LINES CONSTITUTE A SQUARE.  
One square one or three insertions.....\$1.50  
Every subsequent insertion less than 3 lines.....50  
Real Estate, Personal Property, and GENERAL ADVERTISING, as may be agreed upon.  
PATENT MEDICINES and other advertisements by the column:  
One column, 1 year,.....\$60  
Half column, 1 year,.....35  
Third column, 1 year,.....25  
Fourth column, 1 year,.....20  
Business Cards of one square or less, per year with paper, 50  
Advertisements of Local Items advertising—without Advertisement—15 cts. per line. Liberal terms made with permanent advertisers.  
EXECUTORS, ADMINISTRATORS and AUDITORS' NOTICES, of the usual length,.....\$2.50  
OBITUARIES—exceeding ten lines, each; RELIGIOUS and LITERARY NOTICES, not of general interest, one-half the regular rates.

Advertisements must be handed in by Tuesday Noon, to insure insertion the same week.

### JOB WORK

of all kinds neatly executed and at prices to suit the times.

ALL TRANSIENT ADVERTISEMENTS and JOB WORK must be paid for, when ordered.

### Business Notices.

**R. & W. BELTLE ATTORNEYS AT LAW** Office on Third Street Tunkhannock Pa

**H. S. COOPER, PHYSICIAN & SURGEON** Newton Centre, Luzerne County Pa.

**O. L. PARRISH, ATTORNEY AT LAW** Office at the Court House, in Tunkhannock Wyoming Co. Pa.

**W. M. PLATT, ATTORNEY AT LAW** Office in Stark's Brick Block Third St., Tunkhannock, Pa.

**T. J. CHASE, ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLOR** AT LAW, Nicholson, Wyoming Co., Pa. Special attention given to settlement of decedent's estates.

**M. J. WILSON, ATTORNEY AT LAW** Office in Stark's Brick Block, Tunkhannock, Pa.

**J. W. RHODES, PHYSICIAN & SURGEON** Office on Third Street Tunkhannock, Pa.

**DENTISTRY.** Office on Third Street Tunkhannock, Pa.

**DR. L. T. BURNS** has permanently located in Tunkhannock Borough, and respectfully tenders his professional services to its citizens. Office on second floor, formerly occupied by Dr. Gilman. v6306t.

**PORTRAIT, LANDSCAPE, AND ORNAMENTAL PAINTING.** By W. RUECK, Artist.

Rooms over the Wyoming National bank, in Stark's Brick Block, TUNKHANNOCK, PA. Life-size Portraits painted from Ambrotypes or Photographs—Photographs painted in Oil Colors. All orders for paintings executed according to order, or no charge made. Instructions given in Drawing, Sketching, Portrait and Landscape Painting, in Oil or water Colors, and in all branches of the art. Tunk, July 31, '67 v6305-6t.

**BOLTON HOUSE.** HARRISBURG, PENNA.

The undersigned having lately purchased the "BULLOCK HOUSE" property, has altered and remodelled such alterations and improvements as will render this old and popular Hotel equal, if not superior, to any Hotel in the City of Harrisburg. A continuance of the public patronage is respectfully solicited. GEO. J. BOLTON.

**WALL'S HOTEL, LATE AMERICAN HOUSE, TUNKHANNOCK, WYOMING CO., PA.**

This establishment has recently been refitted and furnished in the latest style. Every attention will be given to the comfort and convenience of those who patronize the House. T. B. WALL, Owner and Proprietor. Tunkhannock, September 11, 1861.

**MEANS HOTEL.** TOWANDA, PA. D. B. BARTLET, PROPRIETOR.

The MEANS HOTEL, one of the LARGEST and BEST ARRANGED Houses in the country—It is fitted up in the most modern and improved style, and no pains are spared to make it a pleasant and agreeable stopping place for all. Commercial College,--The success of Gardner's Business College and Ladies' Academy, at Scranton, has surpassed all expectation. The course of study is more thorough--the terms are cheaper--and give better satisfaction than any other College of the kind in Northern Pennsylvania. Life Scholarship \$35.00. Clubs at reduced rates. Send for catalogue Paper giving full particulars. Address: J. C. Gardner, Principal, Scranton, Pa. 47610y1

**INFORMATION.** Information guaranteed to produce a luxuriant growth of hair upon a bald head or beardless face, also a recipe for the removal of Pimples, Blemishes, Eruptions, etc., on the skin, leaving the same soft, clear, and beautiful, can be obtained without charge by addressing: THOS. F. CHAPMAN, Chemist, 461 Broadway, New York.

## Detrick's Column.

### Spring Trade for '68

Will open on or about the 1st of May,

AT TUNKHANNOCK, PENN'A.

::~::~

### C. Detrick,

(SUCCESSOR TO BUNNELL & BANNAWAY.)

Proposes to establish himself permanently

in trade at this place, at the Brick store house in Sam'l Stark's Block, where by fair dealing and fair prices he expects to merit and receive the public patronage.

::~::~

Attention is called to the following in

### Dry Goods:

- SILKS,
- POPLINS,
- ALPACAS,
- LUSTRES,
- DELAINES,
- GINGHAMS,
- PRINTS,
- SHAWLS,
- LADIES' SACQUINGS,
- DRESS TRIMMINGS,
- BLEACHED AND BROWN MUSLINS,
- CLOTHS AND CASSIMERES,
- GENTS' FURNISHING GOODS,
- TOILET ARTICLES.

### Groceries.

- SUGAR,
- TEA,
- COFFEE,
- MOLASSES,
- RICE,
- SYRUP,
- CANDLES,
- SOAP,
- STARCH,
- FLOUR,
- FEED,
- SALT,
- PORK,
- BUTTER,
- CHEESE,
- DRIED BEEF,
- HAMS,
- FISH of all kinds,
- BEANS,
- AC., &c.

### Hardware,

- A FULL ASSORTMENT.
- Cutlery OF ALL KINDS,
- Hats and Caps.
- Boots & Shoes, A FULL ASSORTMENT.
- This branch of business made a specialty. A lot of SEWED ARMY SHOES. A GREAT BARGAIN.
- SOLE LEATHER.
- CROCKERY, STONE, WOOD AND TINWARE, in great variety.
- All kinds of Produce taken in exchange for Goods.

The above articles will be kept in full assortment. I mean to make the experiment of goods sold in quantities cheaper than ever before in this vicinity. I shall be happy to see you, and you can depend upon finding bargains in every department. Goods received every week. Respectfully yours, C. DETRICK.

## WASHBURNE ON THE GRIDIRON.

### The Beauties of Mongrelism. THE TRIUMPH OF MORAL IDEAS.

Washburne, the great "moralist," the "patron saint" of Grant, and the "beloved apostle" of the "intellectuals," recently made an attack on Mr. Donnelly (Mongrelist from Minnesota). Donnelly takes the matter up, and as a mark of dignified statesmanship we give the debate as published in the Congressional proceedings: Mr. DONNELLY, after passing from that point, referred to the charge in Mr. Washburne's letter that his (Mr. Donnelly's) opposition to the bill offered some time since by Mr. Washburne, of Wisconsin, to reduce the fair on the Pacific Railroad, might be attributed to the fact that he had a free pass to ride over the road. He declared that he had never ridden over a mile of the road, and did not expect to until it was completed from the Mississippi to the Pacific. It would be a consolation, then, to know, he said, that that mighty work had been resisted and opposed by the most blatant, loud-voiced, big-chested, small-headed, litter-headed demagogue in all the land. [Laughter on both sides of the Chamber.] Referring to the charge made against him in Mr. Washburne's letter, of his being "an office beggar," Mr. Donnelly said: "An office beggar, and that from a gentleman bearing the name which he does! 'Et tu bruce!' An office beggar!" Why, Mr. Speaker, when I entered the State of Minnesota, it was Democratic. When I entered the county in which I live it was two to one Democratic. I asked no office and expected none. But the change comes from such a quarter that I cannot fail to notice it. The gentleman's family are chronic office beggars. They are nothing if not in office. Out of office they are miserable, wretched, God-forsaken, and as uncomfortable as that famous stump-tailed bull in fly time. [Laughter.] This whole trouble arises from the persistent determination of one of the gentleman's family to sit in this body. Every young male of the gentleman's family is born into the world with "M. C." frunked on his broadest part. [Laughter.] The great calamity seems to be that God, in His infinite wisdom, did not make any of them broad enough to make room for U. S. S. [Laughter.] There was room for "U. S. S." but the other S. slipped over, and U. S. and Company is the firm. [Laughter.]

The SPEAKER interrupted Mr. Donnelly and reminded him that his language was beyond the usual limit of parliamentary propriety. Mr. WASHBURNE again intimated his desire that "the party" should be permitted to go on. Mr. DONNELLY said he was sorry to transgress the proper limits of debate, but the House would perceive that the character of the letter on which he was commenting made him speak under some feelings. It was drawn into it, he said, by the charges made against his personal character by the vile insinuation contained in that letter that I was a fugitive from justice, and that I fled from the City of Philadelphia, "under suspicious circumstances, between two days." This, Mr. Speaker, is an absolute, unqualified, unmitigated falsehood, and but for the respect which I have for you, and for this House, I would use stronger language. Mr. Donnelly then went on to relate that charge, and had by the Clerk a letter from the attorney-General of Pennsylvania, with whom Mr. Donnelly had studied law, speaking in strong terms of the probity and purity of his character, and of the public esteem in which he is held in that community. Mr. Donnelly then went on to say: I stand here repeating the challenge that if anywhere on God's earth, down in the mire of filth and all nastiness, the gentleman can pluck up anything which touches my honor, let it come. I shall meet it on its merits. I have gone through the entire contents of the gentleman's foul stomach; I have dipped my hand in its gall, and I have examined the half digested fragments which I found alert in the gastric juice. But if it is possible for the gentleman from Illinois, by his paralytic action, to throw up anything more loathsome, more disgusting than he has vomited over me in that letter, in God's name, let it come. The SPEAKER again interrupted Mr. Donnelly, and again reminded him that his language was out of order. Mr. WASHBURNE, of Illinois, again repeated the hope that the "pasty" might be permitted to go on by unanimous consent. Mr. DONNELLY said—I thank the House and that other "for the courtesy." [General laughter.] I will not notice all the charges which crawl over all the surface of the letter, as vermin crawl over the body of some beggar, but there is one other personal charge, that I have changed my name. The intention of the gentleman is to give out not only that I am a fugitive from justice, but that I was traveling under an alias. Mr. Speaker, I was, within a few hours after my birth, baptized Ignatius Loyal Donnelly. I am Ignatius Loyal Donnelly to day, and with God's help I expect to remain so until the end of my career. If I should ever be inclined to change my name it seems to me that I would take that of "Elihu." [Laughter and enjoyment of the same on both sides of the House.] Mr. WASHBURNE was understood to say that he would change his name.

Mr. DONNELLY retorted—If I thought the gentleman would change it, it would be an indecent to me to retain it. But what is the meaning of that attack? It means that this gentleman is cracking his whip over members of this House, and has been the natural successor here of these old slave lords who used to crack their whips here his "vaunting ambition has o'erstepped itself." Not satisfied to assail us here, to vituperate us here, he is going to mould the next Congress, and he is sailing into other districts to tell the people which they should select and whom they shall not select. My friend (Mr. Price) meets in the newspaper of his district the assault of the gentleman. He is ringing the whole vast amphitheatre. Why does he do this? There is a simple explanation which is given out in my district, and which is one of the great arguments why they should send the distinguished gentleman brother to this House, namely, that the gentleman ever originated? What liberal measure has ever met his support? What original sentiment has he ever uttered?—What thought of his has ever risen above the dead level of the dreaming platitudes? If he lay dead to-morrow in this Chamber what heart in this body would experience one sincere pang of sorrow? Who is there in this House whom he has not assailed. He told the gentleman from Vermont, the other day that every corrupt and profligate measure that was passed on had met with his support, and when the gentleman from Vermont rose upon him, he cried out of it like a whipped spaniel. Did he not say to my friend from Pennsylvania, Mr. O'Neill the other day, that he would not say—for that is the gentleman's way of making an insinuation—that the gentleman was one of a "ring" to "twindle his country? He has not attacked my friend Mr. Price, of Iowa, and aspersed his motive in his legislation in this body? He has sought to build himself on her dishonor, to glorify himself in our disgrace, to pollute and befoul and traduce the very body of which he is a member. Harrangues are the staple of the newspapers of the opposition. We meet his charges on the stump. He has lowered, by his wholesale, reckless assault on the honor and character of the members, the standard of this body. He has furnished arguments for the wit of Dan Rice. He has furnished substance for the slanders of the pot-house.

Mr. WASHBURNE, of Illinois, said: During my entire time of service in this House I have never asked to make a personal explanation, and I never expect to. The "party" from Minnesota has had the letter which I wrote to a gentleman in that State read to the House, and it goes upon the record of the House and on the records of the country, and there it will remain for all time. Every assertion made in that letter is true, and whoever says it is not true, states what is false. If I were called upon, I desire only to say this: If I, under any operation of circumstance, were ever called upon to make a personal explanation in reply to a member, it would not be to a member who committed a crime; it would not be to a member who had run away; it would not be to a member whose whole record in this House is covered with venality, corruption and crime.

The SPEAKER reminded the gentleman that his remarks were not parliamentary. On resuming the debate next day Mr. DONNELLY said: I have been a member of this House five years, and during that time, I have never had, until this occasion, the slightest collision with any member. I have never before assailed any with abuse. I can say, in the language of that good man, A Lincoln, "I have not willingly planted a thorn in the breast of any human being." If I have sinned in this instance, it was because I have suffered. I have the highest respect for this House, and for none greater than for the distinguished Member from Massachusetts (Mr. Davis), and although I do not think my flights of imagination last Saturday, in which I transported the gentleman from Illinois to the realms of eternal bliss, was a violation of parliamentary propriety, yet that there may be no more offence to the taste of the House, I will agree to suppress in the Congressional Globe even that paragraph in deference to the respect for the gentleman from Massachusetts. Mr. ROSS (Dem., Ill.)—I rise to a question of order. If the gentleman from Minnesota has transported my colleague (Mr. Washburne) to the regions of eternal bliss, I object to his taking him down. [Laughter.] Mr. DAVES said the statement of the gentleman from Minnesota had fully answered the purpose, and he would never himself vote against the resolution. Mr. WASHBURNE (Ill.)—As the gentleman from Minnesota has withdrawn these offensive portions of his speech, I withdraw what I said in reply. "Mr. SPaulding—I ask leave to withdraw my resolution. Mr. DAVES asked to suppress from the Congressional Globe the paragraphs which he had read from Mr. Donnelly's speech. Mr. ELDRIDGE objected. Several motions were repeatedly made, amid much confusion, to adjourn. DONNELLY ironically asked—Is it proper for me in the present temper of the House, to propose that the House imitate the illustrious example in the case of the Secretary of War and Gen. Thomas, and go out and take a drink. [General laughter. Some saying agreed. My whistle's dry. I say amen to that. Ha! ha!]

Mr. WASHBURNE—I belong to the temperance society. [Laughter.] Mr. DONNELLY [in an undertone]—So do I.

## Detrick's Column.

### The Beauties of Mongrelism.

The gentleman would change it, it would be an indecent to me to retain it. But what is the meaning of that attack? It means that this gentleman is cracking his whip over members of this House, and has been the natural successor here of these old slave lords who used to crack their whips here his "vaunting ambition has o'erstepped itself." Not satisfied to assail us here, to vituperate us here, he is going to mould the next Congress, and he is sailing into other districts to tell the people which they should select and whom they shall not select. My friend (Mr. Price) meets in the newspaper of his district the assault of the gentleman. He is ringing the whole vast amphitheatre. Why does he do this? There is a simple explanation which is given out in my district, and which is one of the great arguments why they should send the distinguished gentleman brother to this House, namely, that the gentleman ever originated? What liberal measure has ever met his support? What original sentiment has he ever uttered?—What thought of his has ever risen above the dead level of the dreaming platitudes? If he lay dead to-morrow in this Chamber what heart in this body would experience one sincere pang of sorrow? Who is there in this House whom he has not assailed. He told the gentleman from Vermont, the other day that every corrupt and profligate measure that was passed on had met with his support, and when the gentleman from Vermont rose upon him, he cried out of it like a whipped spaniel. Did he not say to my friend from Pennsylvania, Mr. O'Neill the other day, that he would not say—for that is the gentleman's way of making an insinuation—that the gentleman was one of a "ring" to "twindle his country? He has not attacked my friend Mr. Price, of Iowa, and aspersed his motive in his legislation in this body? He has sought to build himself on her dishonor, to glorify himself in our disgrace, to pollute and befoul and traduce the very body of which he is a member. Harrangues are the staple of the newspapers of the opposition. We meet his charges on the stump. He has lowered, by his wholesale, reckless assault on the honor and character of the members, the standard of this body. He has furnished arguments for the wit of Dan Rice. He has furnished substance for the slanders of the pot-house.

Mr. WASHBURNE, of Illinois, said: During my entire time of service in this House I have never asked to make a personal explanation, and I never expect to. The "party" from Minnesota has had the letter which I wrote to a gentleman in that State read to the House, and it goes upon the record of the House and on the records of the country, and there it will remain for all time. Every assertion made in that letter is true, and whoever says it is not true, states what is false. If I were called upon, I desire only to say this: If I, under any operation of circumstance, were ever called upon to make a personal explanation in reply to a member, it would not be to a member who committed a crime; it would not be to a member who had run away; it would not be to a member whose whole record in this House is covered with venality, corruption and crime.

The SPEAKER reminded the gentleman that his remarks were not parliamentary. On resuming the debate next day Mr. DONNELLY said: I have been a member of this House five years, and during that time, I have never had, until this occasion, the slightest collision with any member. I have never before assailed any with abuse. I can say, in the language of that good man, A Lincoln, "I have not willingly planted a thorn in the breast of any human being." If I have sinned in this instance, it was because I have suffered. I have the highest respect for this House, and for none greater than for the distinguished Member from Massachusetts (Mr. Davis), and although I do not think my flights of imagination last Saturday, in which I transported the gentleman from Illinois to the realms of eternal bliss, was a violation of parliamentary propriety, yet that there may be no more offence to the taste of the House, I will agree to suppress in the Congressional Globe even that paragraph in deference to the respect for the gentleman from Massachusetts. Mr. ROSS (Dem., Ill.)—I rise to a question of order. If the gentleman from Minnesota has transported my colleague (Mr. Washburne) to the regions of eternal bliss, I object to his taking him down. [Laughter.] Mr. DAVES said the statement of the gentleman from Minnesota had fully answered the purpose, and he would never himself vote against the resolution. Mr. WASHBURNE (Ill.)—As the gentleman from Minnesota has withdrawn these offensive portions of his speech, I withdraw what I said in reply. "Mr. SPaulding—I ask leave to withdraw my resolution. Mr. DAVES asked to suppress from the Congressional Globe the paragraphs which he had read from Mr. Donnelly's speech. Mr. ELDRIDGE objected. Several motions were repeatedly made, amid much confusion, to adjourn. DONNELLY ironically asked—Is it proper for me in the present temper of the House, to propose that the House imitate the illustrious example in the case of the Secretary of War and Gen. Thomas, and go out and take a drink. [General laughter. Some saying agreed. My whistle's dry. I say amen to that. Ha! ha!]

Mr. WASHBURNE—I belong to the temperance society. [Laughter.] Mr. DONNELLY [in an undertone]—So do I.

The gentleman would change it, it would be an indecent to me to retain it. But what is the meaning of that attack? It means that this gentleman is cracking his whip over members of this House, and has been the natural successor here of these old slave lords who used to crack their whips here his "vaunting ambition has o'erstepped itself." Not satisfied to assail us here, to vituperate us here, he is going to mould the next Congress, and he is sailing into other districts to tell the people which they should select and whom they shall not select. My friend (Mr. Price) meets in the newspaper of his district the assault of the gentleman. He is ringing the whole vast amphitheatre. Why does he do this? There is a simple explanation which is given out in my district, and which is one of the great arguments why they should send the distinguished gentleman brother to this House, namely, that the gentleman ever originated? What liberal measure has ever met his support? What original sentiment has he ever uttered?—What thought of his has ever risen above the dead level of the dreaming platitudes? If he lay dead to-morrow in this Chamber what heart in this body would experience one sincere pang of sorrow? Who is there in this House whom he has not assailed. He told the gentleman from Vermont, the other day that every corrupt and profligate measure that was passed on had met with his support, and when the gentleman from Vermont rose upon him, he cried out of it like a whipped spaniel. Did he not say to my friend from Pennsylvania, Mr. O'Neill the other day, that he would not say—for that is the gentleman's way of making an insinuation—that the gentleman was one of a "ring" to "twindle his country? He has not attacked my friend Mr. Price, of Iowa, and aspersed his motive in his legislation in this body? He has sought to build himself on her dishonor, to glorify himself in our disgrace, to pollute and befoul and traduce the very body of which he is a member. Harrangues are the staple of the newspapers of the opposition. We meet his charges on the stump. He has lowered, by his wholesale, reckless assault on the honor and character of the members, the standard of this body. He has furnished arguments for the wit of Dan Rice. He has furnished substance for the slanders of the pot-house.

Mr. WASHBURNE, of Illinois, said: During my entire time of service in this House I have never asked to make a personal explanation, and I never expect to. The "party" from Minnesota has had the letter which I wrote to a gentleman in that State read to the House, and it goes upon the record of the House and on the records of the country, and there it will remain for all time. Every assertion made in that letter is true, and whoever says it is not true, states what is false. If I were called upon, I desire only to say this: If I, under any operation of circumstance, were ever called upon to make a personal explanation in reply to a member, it would not be to a member who committed a crime; it would not be to a member who had run away; it would not be to a member whose whole record in this House is covered with venality, corruption and crime.

## Detrick's Column.

### The Beauties of Mongrelism.

The gentleman would change it, it would be an indecent to me to retain it. But what is the meaning of that attack? It means that this gentleman is cracking his whip over members of this House, and has been the natural successor here of these old slave lords who used to crack their whips here his "vaunting ambition has o'erstepped itself." Not satisfied to assail us here, to vituperate us here, he is going to mould the next Congress, and he is sailing into other districts to tell the people which they should select and whom they shall not select. My friend (Mr. Price) meets in the newspaper of his district the assault of the gentleman. He is ringing the whole vast amphitheatre. Why does he do this? There is a simple explanation which is given out in my district, and which is one of the great arguments why they should send the distinguished gentleman brother to this House, namely, that the gentleman ever originated? What liberal measure has ever met his support? What original sentiment has he ever uttered?—What thought of his has ever risen above the dead level of the dreaming platitudes? If he lay dead to-morrow in this Chamber what heart in this body would experience one sincere pang of sorrow? Who is there in this House whom he has not assailed. He told the gentleman from Vermont, the other day that every corrupt and profligate measure that was passed on had met with his support, and when the gentleman from Vermont rose upon him, he cried out of it like a whipped spaniel. Did he not say to my friend from Pennsylvania, Mr. O'Neill the other day, that he would not say—for that is the gentleman's way of making an insinuation—that the gentleman was one of a "ring" to "twindle his country? He has not attacked my friend Mr. Price, of Iowa, and aspersed his motive in his legislation in this body? He has sought to build himself on her dishonor, to glorify himself in our disgrace, to pollute and befoul and traduce the very body of which he is a member. Harrangues are the staple of the newspapers of the opposition. We meet his charges on the stump. He has lowered, by his wholesale, reckless assault on the honor and character of the members, the standard of this body. He has furnished arguments for the wit of Dan Rice. He has furnished substance for the slanders of the pot-house.

Mr. WASHBURNE, of Illinois, said: During my entire time of service in this House I have never asked to make a personal explanation, and I never expect to. The "party" from Minnesota has had the letter which I wrote to a gentleman in that State read to the House, and it goes upon the record of the House and on the records of the country, and there it will remain for all time. Every assertion made in that letter is true, and whoever says it is not true, states what is false. If I were called upon, I desire only to say this: If I, under any operation of circumstance, were ever called upon to make a personal explanation in reply to a member, it would not be to a member who committed a crime; it would not be to a member who had run away; it would not be to a member whose whole record in this House is covered with venality, corruption and crime.

The SPEAKER reminded the gentleman that his remarks were not parliamentary. On resuming the debate next day Mr. DONNELLY said: I have been a member of this House five years, and during that time, I have never had, until this occasion, the slightest collision with any member. I have never before assailed any with abuse. I can say, in the language of that good man, A Lincoln, "I have not willingly planted a thorn in the breast of any human being." If I have sinned in this instance, it was because I have suffered. I have the highest respect for this House, and for none greater than for the distinguished Member from Massachusetts (Mr. Davis), and although I do not think my flights of imagination last Saturday, in which I transported the gentleman from Illinois to the realms of eternal bliss, was a violation of parliamentary propriety, yet that there may be no more offence to the taste of the House, I will agree to suppress in the Congressional Globe even that paragraph in deference to the respect for the gentleman from Massachusetts. Mr. ROSS (Dem., Ill.)—I rise to a question of order. If the gentleman from Minnesota has transported my colleague (Mr. Washburne) to the regions of eternal bliss, I object to his taking him down. [Laughter.] Mr. DAVES said the statement of the gentleman from Minnesota had fully answered the purpose, and he would never himself vote against the resolution. Mr. WASHBURNE (Ill.)—As the gentleman from Minnesota has withdrawn these offensive portions of his speech, I withdraw what I said in reply. "Mr. SPaulding—I ask leave to withdraw my resolution. Mr. DAVES asked to suppress from the Congressional Globe the paragraphs which he had read from Mr. Donnelly's speech. Mr. ELDRIDGE objected. Several motions were repeatedly made, amid much confusion, to adjourn. DONNELLY ironically asked—Is it proper for me in the present temper of the House, to propose that the House imitate the illustrious example in the case of the Secretary of War and Gen. Thomas, and go out and take a drink. [General laughter. Some saying agreed. My whistle's dry. I say amen to that. Ha! ha!]

Mr. WASHBURNE—I belong to the temperance society. [Laughter.] Mr. DONNELLY [in an undertone]—So do I.

The gentleman would change it, it would be an indecent to me to retain it. But what is the meaning of that attack? It means that this gentleman is cracking his whip over members of this House, and has been the natural successor here of these old slave lords who used to crack their whips here his "vaunting ambition has o'erstepped itself." Not satisfied to assail us here, to vituperate us here, he is going to mould the next Congress, and he is sailing into other districts to tell the people which they should select and whom they shall not select. My friend (Mr. Price) meets in the newspaper of his district the assault of the gentleman. He is ringing the whole vast amphitheatre. Why does he do this? There is a simple explanation which is given out in my district, and which is one of the great arguments why they should send the distinguished gentleman brother to this House, namely, that the gentleman ever originated? What liberal measure has ever met his support? What original sentiment has he ever uttered?—What thought of his has ever risen above the dead level of the dreaming platitudes? If he lay dead to-morrow in this Chamber what heart in this body would experience one sincere pang of sorrow? Who is there in this House whom he has not assailed. He told the gentleman from Vermont, the other day that every corrupt and profligate measure that was passed on had met with his support, and when the gentleman from Vermont rose upon him, he cried out of it like a whipped spaniel. Did he not say to my friend from Pennsylvania, Mr. O'Neill the other day, that he would not say—for that is the gentleman's way of making an insinuation—that the gentleman was one of a "ring" to "twindle his country? He has not attacked my friend Mr. Price, of Iowa, and aspersed his motive in his legislation in this body? He has sought to build himself on her dishonor, to glorify himself in our disgrace, to pollute and befoul and traduce the very body of which he is a member. Harrangues are the staple of the newspapers of the opposition. We meet his charges on the stump. He has lowered, by his wholesale, reckless assault on the honor and character of the members, the standard of this body. He has furnished arguments for the wit of Dan Rice. He has furnished substance for the slanders of the pot-house.

Mr. WASHBURNE, of Illinois, said: During my entire time of service in this House I have never asked to make a personal explanation, and I never expect to. The "party" from Minnesota has had the letter which I wrote to a gentleman in that State read to the House, and it goes upon the record of the House and on the records of the country, and there it will remain for all time. Every assertion made in that letter is true, and whoever says it is not true, states what is false. If I were called upon, I desire only to say this: If I, under any operation of circumstance, were ever called upon to make a personal explanation in reply to a member, it would not be to a member who committed a crime; it would not be to a member who had run away; it would not be to a member whose whole record in this House is covered with venality, corruption and crime.

## Detrick's Column.

### The Beauties of Mongrelism.

The gentleman would change it, it would be an indecent to me to retain it. But what is the meaning of that attack? It means that this gentleman is cracking his whip over members of this House, and has been the natural successor here of these old slave lords who used to crack their whips here his "vaunting ambition has o'erstepped itself." Not satisfied to assail us here, to vituperate us here, he is going to mould the next Congress, and he is sailing into other districts to tell the people which they should select and whom they shall not select. My friend (Mr. Price) meets in the newspaper of his district the assault of the gentleman. He is ringing the whole vast amphitheatre. Why does he do this? There is a simple explanation which is given out in my district, and which is one of the great arguments why they should send the distinguished gentleman brother to this House, namely, that the gentleman ever originated? What liberal measure has ever met his support? What original sentiment has he ever uttered?—What thought of his has ever risen above the dead level of the dreaming platitudes? If he lay dead to-morrow in this Chamber what heart in this body would experience one sincere pang of sorrow? Who is there in this House whom he has not assailed. He told the gentleman from Vermont, the other day that every corrupt and profligate measure that was passed on had met with his support, and when the gentleman from Vermont rose upon him, he cried out of it like a whipped spaniel. Did he not say to my friend from Pennsylvania, Mr. O'Neill the other day, that he would not say—for that is the gentleman's way of making an insinuation—that the gentleman was one of a "ring" to "twindle his country? He has not attacked my friend Mr. Price, of Iowa, and aspersed his motive in his legislation in this body? He has sought to build himself on her dishonor, to glorify himself in our disgrace, to pollute and befoul and traduce the very body of which he is a member. Harrangues are the staple of the newspapers of the opposition. We meet his charges on the stump. He has lowered, by his wholesale, reckless assault on the honor and character of the members, the standard of this body. He has furnished arguments for the wit of Dan Rice. He has furnished substance for the slanders of the pot-house.

Mr. WASHBURNE, of Illinois, said: During my entire time of service in this House I have never asked to make a personal explanation, and I never expect to. The "party" from Minnesota has had the letter which I wrote to a gentleman in that State read to the House, and it goes upon the record of the House and on the records of the country, and there it will remain for all time. Every assertion made in that letter is true, and whoever says it is not true, states what is false. If I were called upon, I desire only to say this: If I, under any operation of circumstance, were ever called upon to make a personal explanation in reply to a member, it would not be to a member who committed a crime; it would not be to a member who had run away; it would not be to a member whose whole record in this House is covered with venality, corruption and crime.

The SPEAKER reminded the gentleman that his remarks were not parliamentary. On resuming the debate next day Mr. DONNELLY said: I have been a member of this House five years, and during that time, I have never had, until this occasion, the slightest collision with any member. I have never before assailed any with abuse. I can say, in the language of that good man, A Lincoln, "I have not willingly planted a thorn in the breast of any human being." If I have sinned in this instance, it was because I have suffered. I have the highest respect for this House, and for none greater than for the distinguished Member from Massachusetts (Mr. Davis), and although I do not think my flights of imagination last Saturday, in which I transported the gentleman from Illinois to the realms of eternal bliss, was a violation of parliamentary propriety, yet that there may be no more offence to the taste of the House, I will agree to suppress in the Congressional Globe even that paragraph in deference to the respect for the gentleman from Massachusetts. Mr. ROSS (Dem., Ill.)—I rise to a question of order. If the gentleman from Minnesota has transported my colleague (Mr. Washburne) to the regions of eternal bliss, I object to his taking him down. [Laughter.] Mr. DAVES said the statement of the gentleman from Minnesota had fully answered the purpose, and he would never himself vote against the resolution. Mr. WASHBURNE (Ill.)—As the gentleman from Minnesota has withdrawn these offensive portions of his speech, I withdraw what I said in reply. "Mr. SPaulding—I ask leave to withdraw my resolution. Mr. DAVES asked to suppress from the Congressional Globe the paragraphs which he had read from Mr. Donnelly's speech. Mr. ELDRIDGE objected. Several motions were repeatedly made, amid much confusion, to adjourn. DONNELLY ironically asked—Is it proper for me in the present temper of the House, to propose that the House imitate the illustrious example in the case of the Secretary of War and Gen. Thomas, and go out and take a drink. [General laughter. Some saying agreed. My whistle's dry. I say amen to that. Ha! ha!]

Mr. WASHBURNE—I belong to the temperance society. [Laughter.] Mr. DONNELLY [in an undertone]—So do I.

The gentleman would change it, it would be an indecent to me to retain it. But what is the meaning of that attack? It means that this gentleman is cracking his whip over members of this House, and has been the natural successor here of these old slave lords who used to crack their whips here his "vaunting ambition has o'erstepped itself." Not satisfied to assail us here, to vituperate us here, he is going to mould the next Congress, and he is sailing into other districts to tell the people which they should select and whom they shall not select. My friend (Mr. Price) meets in the newspaper of his district the assault of the gentleman. He is ringing the whole vast amphitheatre. Why does he do this? There is a simple explanation which is given out in my district, and which is one of the great arguments why they should send the distinguished gentleman brother to this House, namely, that the gentleman ever originated? What liberal measure has ever met his support? What original sentiment has he ever uttered?—What thought of his has ever risen above the dead level of the dreaming platitudes? If he lay dead to-morrow in this Chamber what heart