

GOVERNOR STONE ON THE ISSUES.

A Notable Speech by Pennsylvania's Stalwart Republican Executive.

BRYANISM TORN TO TATTERS

Timely Reference to the Matter of Fusion and the Danger That Confronts the Republican Organization in State and Nation.

Governor William A. Stone made what has been regarded as the most notable speech of the state canvass at the recent convention of the State League of Republican Clubs in Philadelphia. The speech was delivered in the Academy of Music and Senator J. B. Foraker, who was the star orator from outside of the state on that occasion, publicly stated that Governor Stone's speech was the best he had heard in the present campaign.

Governor Stone, among other things, said: "I greatly appreciate the privilege of addressing you on this occasion. We have the same candidates that we had four years ago, with this difference—William McKinley has been tried by the people and found equal to every emergency, while the Democratic candidate has not been tried. McKinley must be considered by what he has done, while Bryan must be considered by what he claims he will do. You have on the one hand a competent, faithful public servant, who has won your confidence, while upon the other you have a visionary theorist.

"When four years ago you put William McKinley on trial you put his theories of government on trial. They



GOVERNOR STONE.

were sound money and a protective tariff. They, as well as he, have been fully tried and they have proved a complete success. Their success has proved the Democratic theories of free trade and free silver a complete failure. The country could not be in its present prosperous condition and sound money and a protective tariff be wrong. The country could not be in its present prosperous condition and free trade and free silver be right. The trial and success of sound money and a protective tariff prove conclusively that free coinage and free trade are wrong. Now which will we have, a man and his rule of government that we know are right, or a man and his theories that we know are wrong? Will we discharge our family physician who has cured us of our sickness and keeps us in health and employ a quack who gives the medicine that made us sick? Will we bet our money on a horse that has taken every beat and won every race at home and abroad, or on a horse that has only once raced before the reviewing stand?

MANNA OF SOUND MONEY.

"I know Bryan in congress and admire his great power as an orator. He has delighted the masses of people upon more than one occasion. And I remember that Aaron was a great orator. But it was not Aaron who led the children of Israel out of the land of Egypt. It was Moses, and when the American people were suffering during Cleveland's administration under the blighting curse of the Wilson tariff bill and the threatened free coinage of silver, which were grinding under our task masters and making bricks without straw, it was not Bryan nor Democratic oratory that lifted our burdens, but it was William McKinley who led us out of the land of shadows and led us with the manna of sound money and a protective tariff, and although we still hear the rumble of Democratic chariot wheels our spies have been to the mines of silver and returned with the rich grapes of prosperity. We have manna and quail in abundance and we no longer make Democratic bricks without the straw of protection.

"But while the free coinage of silver was the paramount issue four years ago, they say it is not the paramount issue now. Our Democratic friends do not think so much of free silver as they did. They are still for it, but they say less about it. They have lost heart in it. They have a new theory. They call it imperialism. They still keep a stock of free trade and free silver on their shelves, but they do not show them to customers, except to customers from the west. They declare that imperialism is the paramount issue. They say that McKinley and the Republicans are imperialists and that the maintenance of an army in the Philippines and the attempt to subdue the insurrection there is imperialism. They say it is all right to pay Spain twenty millions of dollars for them, but that it is always wrong to maintain possession of the purchased property, and they bring forward the declarations of departed saints of the Democratic party to prove that the acquisition of territory is all wrong and they want us to discuss that. They say that is the paramount issue. Now, I am willing to discuss any question with them except the demagoguery of the Democratic party. That is a matter too apparent and self evident to warrant discussion.

AMERICA AS A NATION.

"It may be that when our nation was

South Dakota and Wyoming.

Special excursion tickets will be sold from Chicago via Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul railways, on August 25th, Sept. 1st and 15th, to Deadwood, Spearfish, Rapid City and Custer, S. D., and to Casper and Sheridan, Wyo., at one fare plus \$2 for the round trip. Good to return until October 31st, 1900. Stop overs allowed west of Omaha. For further information call on or address W. S. Howell, G. E. P. A., 351 Broadway, New York, or John R. Pott, D. P. A., 425 William St., Williamsport, Pa. 8-15-10.

—You can get it at Hopkins' store, if

young and weak and when the chief concern of our statesmen was to keep from treading upon the toes of more powerful nations that a timid declaration of intention to keep to ourselves and let these more powerful nations have the rest of the world was the wiser policy, but we have kicked off our copper toed boots and now grow our short clothes and can now afford to tramp on the toes of other nations, if they get in our way. We could not in our infancy as a nation very well afford to expand until we had expanded, but in all the precedents and opinions of our older statesmen I fall to find that any of them have ever maintained that we should buy new territory as Christmas gifts for other nations.

"They and his Democratic colleagues urged us to buy the Philippines. They helped to ratify the treaty with Spain. If there was any imperialism in it was in buying the islands. It is certainly not imperialism to try to get possession of the property we bought. If McKinley is an imperialist Bryan is an imperialist, because they both favored the purchase of the Philippines. McKinley is only trying to get possession of the property which Mr. Bryan authorized him to buy. Was Bryan in urging the purchase of the Philippines trying to make a campaign issue? Was he trying to put the Republican party in a hole? No hole that the Democratic party can dig is big enough to hold the Republican party this fall. Have we paid \$25,000,000 for a campaign issue for the Democratic party?

"CONGRESS WILL DECIDE.

"As to what will be done with the islands after we get possession, that will be for congress to decide and not for Bryan or McKinley, if either is elected president. Bryan says he will call congress together in extra session to frame a bill for the independent government of the people of the Philippines. McKinley says he will put down the rebellion and get possession and the congress can deal with the matter, and in the meantime one tries in the Philippines, the Tagalis, are hoping and praying that Bryan will be elected and straining every nerve to hold out until after election.

"The Democrats did the same thing in 1864. They declared the war a failure and proposed a dishonorable peace. They nominated a candidate upon this issue. The Republicans renominated Lincoln, and declared for the prosecution of the war. He went to the polls upon that issue, and the Confederates kept up the fight, hoping that the Democratic party would win. Lincoln was elected, and the southern Confederacy went to pieces within 40 days after his inauguration. If the Democratic party had stood square up to the war in the campaign of 1864 Lee would have surrendered six months before he did. All that kept the southern Confederacy together during its last days was the hope of Democratic victory, and all that keeps the Philippine rebellion together now is the promised aid of the Democratic party if successful at the polls in November.

RESPONSIBILITY PLACED.

"How can Bryan and his colleagues, who openly declare their intention, if successful, to give terms to Aguinaldo, escape the responsibility for our soldiers slaughtered during this campaign? I know that the custom of officials in administration is prevalent in campaigns. I know that great latitude is allowed in criticism, but where overtures are held out to public enemies with whom we are at war it ceases to be legitimate criticism, and ought to be condemned severely at the polls.

"It is permissible to oppose the administration in all internal matters that do not affect our relations with other countries, but it is un-American and dishonorable to sympathize and promise aid to a people with whom we are at war. Had there been a presidential campaign on in 1898 it would have been equally as proper for Bryan to have declared his intention to convene congress for the purpose of stopping hostilities with Spain as for him now to declare his intention, if elected, to stop the war with Aguinaldo and his followers. The man who extends sympathy and encouragement to a public enemy is hardly a safe and proper man to elect president of the United States.

QUESTION OF HONOR.

"I am surprised that the Democrats have raised this question. Our people are a peaceful people and love not war, but they have never halted nor hesitated in front of the enemy. The east and the west may divide upon finance, but they will never divide upon a question that affects the honor of the country. We could not, without dishonor, withdraw our soldiers from the Philippines so long as there is a man in arms against our flag. We did not make war on the Philippines. We acquired the islands legitimately. As soon as we had done so Aguinaldo undertook to drive us away. Had we struck our colors and left the islands, does any one doubt that Bryan would now be charging us with cowardice and claim that we should have done just what we are doing?

"We are accused of favoring expansion. Bryan and his followers are opposed to expansion. Expansion is growth. The party that will be in control in this country must keep pace with the march of events. The ideas and ambitions of our people have grown; everything has expanded but the Democratic party. That is their trouble. They still stand in the footsteps of their forefathers. They will not realize the wonderful growth and expansion of the country. Nor will they appreciate the need of more territory and greater markets for our surplus products. They are an old party, behind the date, a back number. There is not room in the Democratic party for the growing energy, zeal and pluck of our new America. The Democratic party is a party of the past. They have

Home-seekers' Excursions.

On the first and third Tuesdays in each month during 1900, from Chicago via Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul railway, to points in Iowa, South and North Dakota, Minnesota, Montana, Colorado, Utah, Nebraska, Oregon and Washington at the rate of one fare plus two dollars for the round trip, good 31 days. For further information call on or address W. S. Howell, G. E. P. A., 351 Broadway, New York, or John R. Pott, D. P. A., 425 William Street, Williamsport, Pa. 8-15-10.

—Heavy pants, shirts and underwear now on sale at T. C. S.

FUSION NIGHT LOSE CONGRESS

Republican Club Men Hear Sound Advice From Foraker and Babcock.

WILL GO TO WORK AT ONCE.

Guffey, Rilling and Donnelly, of the Democratic Machine, Meet Flinn and Martin, Insurgents, But Republicans Seem to Be Aroused Against This Combine.

(Special Correspondence.)

Philadelphia, Sept. 25.—One of the most important results of the convention of the State League of Republican Clubs, held here last week, was the determination of many active young Republicans, visitors from every county in the state, to return home and work more zealously than ever for the success of the whole Republican ticket. They were inspired to do this by the eloquent and forcible arguments made by Senator Foraker, of Ohio, Chairman Babcock, of the Republican congressional committee, and other party leaders, who came to Pennsylvania to urge the importance of making Republican gains in congress in order to save the house to the Republican party for next year.

They pointed out the possible gains for the Democracy in many states, and they gave facts and figures to show that there is a dangerous apathetic feeling shown among Republicans, who seem to be impressed with the idea that President McKinley is certain to be re-elected and that the Republicans are sure to elect a majority of the house.

The Republican club men had their minds disabused of the notion that the Republican party has practically a walkover in the canvass for the house, and they were impressed with the importance of making Republican congressional gains in states like Pennsylvania. Every now and then the Democrats, through Republican dissensions or indifference, make heavy gains in their representation in the house. Stress was laid by several of the speakers upon the danger of losing Republican congressmen through the fusion movements which have spread to a number of counties in the state, by the efforts of the so-called insurgents. While primarily, in many cases, the aim of the insurgents is to defeat Republican candidates for the state legislature, it was shown that in the past Republican candidates for congress have been defeated in just such movements. There are several congressional districts which, under ordinary circumstances, are safely Republican, but which are now made debatable, and which are probably Democratic, through the legislative deals with the Democrats made by the insurgents.

QUICK GUN PLAY.

It Saved a Government Detective's Life in a Street Duel.

George T. Bell, for years a Texas ranger and later in the employ of the government secret service, carries marks of six knife wounds and seven bullet wounds received while on duty as a ranger for the government. Possibly the most thrilling experience through which he passed was a duel on the streets of Tucson, A. T., in that town's palmiest days.

Bell had been instrumental in rounding up and capturing a gang of counterfeiters known as the "Shang Brooks" gang. They had their "mint" up in the Peloncillo mountains in the Gila river country. All were sent to the penitentiary and had been released save Brooks, who escaped. A warrant was issued for his recapture. He placed Brooks in a saloon in Tucson and, walking up, notified him that he was under arrest.

Shang glanced patronizingly upon Bell, for he was 6 feet 2 in his stocking feet and a giant in strength. They are annoyed, "So, you're the fellow who has got me out of the bar as he spoke, and Bell did not know that the movement was shielding his hand as it crept to his pistol. Some one in the saloon yelled a warning, and instantly there came a shot. Shang reeled and then sank to the floor with a bullet through his abdomen. Bell had fired through his coat pocket. The wound was fatal, though it did not at once cause Brooks to lose command over himself. Pulling himself together, the desperado drew his weapon, which lay beneath him, and was leveling it at Bell when the detective sent another bullet through his pistol, wounding him in the arm and leg. He died a few hours later.

Though Bell did not know at the time, Brooks had two companions in the town, Jack and Jim Styles, brothers. They heard of the shooting and decided to avenge the death of their pal. Later in the day as Bell walked past a general store in the frontier town some one yelled, "Look out, you're coming like a flash, at the same time drawing his revolver, the detective saw Jim Styles on the opposite side of the street. He saw smoke issue from Styles' weapon, and a bullet seared his temple. Jim Styles continued shooting, his brother Jack, on the same side of the street with Bell, coming to his assistance.

One shot at Jim Styles laid that worthy on the ground. Jack Styles gave a yell of anger as he saw his brother fall and advanced toward Bell, firing as he came. The two men paused within ten paces of each other, and there they emptied their weapons. Bell had no knife, and when Styles saw this he gave a yell of triumph, tossed his revolver to one side and jumped by with a beak knife in his hand.

The two closed in upon each other. At Styles' first slash of the knife Bell side stepped, though the point of the knife made a painful wound over his right eyebrow. Another vicious thrust he parried, though he kept his eyes fixed upon his left forearm, where he expected his knocking out two front teeth. By this time the two men were upon the ground. Bell beneath his antagonist. He heard some one call his name and another moment felt something strike his side. Reaching out, his hand fell upon a pistol. A slash of the knife laid this discovery, and he placed the weapon in his pocket. Styles' chest just as the latter raised his knife for a last thrust. Bell pulled the trigger and sent a bullet through his heart.

Both brothers lay dead, and Bell fainted from loss of blood.

FUSION NIGHT LOSE CONGRESS

Republican Club Men Hear Sound Advice From Foraker and Babcock.

WILL GO TO WORK AT ONCE.

Guffey, Rilling and Donnelly, of the Democratic Machine, Meet Flinn and Martin, Insurgents, But Republicans Seem to Be Aroused Against This Combine.

Philadelphia, Sept. 25.—One of the most important results of the convention of the State League of Republican Clubs, held here last week, was the determination of many active young Republicans, visitors from every county in the state, to return home and work more zealously than ever for the success of the whole Republican ticket. They were inspired to do this by the eloquent and forcible arguments made by Senator Foraker, of Ohio, Chairman Babcock, of the Republican congressional committee, and other party leaders, who came to Pennsylvania to urge the importance of making Republican gains in congress in order to save the house to the Republican party for next year.

They pointed out the possible gains for the Democracy in many states, and they gave facts and figures to show that there is a dangerous apathetic feeling shown among Republicans, who seem to be impressed with the idea that President McKinley is certain to be re-elected and that the Republicans are sure to elect a majority of the house.

The Republican club men had their minds disabused of the notion that the Republican party has practically a walkover in the canvass for the house, and they were impressed with the importance of making Republican congressional gains in states like Pennsylvania. Every now and then the Democrats, through Republican dissensions or indifference, make heavy gains in their representation in the house. Stress was laid by several of the speakers upon the danger of losing Republican congressmen through the fusion movements which have spread to a number of counties in the state, by the efforts of the so-called insurgents. While primarily, in many cases, the aim of the insurgents is to defeat Republican candidates for the state legislature, it was shown that in the past Republican candidates for congress have been defeated in just such movements. There are several congressional districts which, under ordinary circumstances, are safely Republican, but which are now made debatable, and which are probably Democratic, through the legislative deals with the Democrats made by the insurgents.

There were reports, however, from some of the club men which indicated that the fusion movement is not going to make the headway that was at first feared. Delegates from Chester, Montgomery, Union, Snyder, Northumberland, Montour, Blair, Franklin, Venango, Warren and other counties, where the Guffey Democrats and the Flinn-Martin insurgents are at work, stated that there is a growing sentiment among Republicans against the fusion schemers, and that the prospects are that in many districts the plans of the insurgents and their Democratic allies will meet defeat.

HURRIEDLY CALLED CONFERENCE.

That the managers of the fusion movement are not quite at ease was made manifest here last week when a hurried conference was called which brought William Flinn and Co. M. Guffey, the Democratic committee man, from Allegheny, David Martin and Chairman Rilling, of the Democratic state committee, and other party leaders, to the hotel where they were supervising the arrangements for fusion in the several counties of the state. They were alarmed over several unexpected developments in this city, where Guffey had planned for fusion with the Martin insurgents.

In the legislative districts where John F. Keator and H. L. R. Nye, insurgent members of the last legislature, were defeated at the Republican primaries, the Democrats refused to place them upon the Democratic ticket, but named straight Democrats in their stead. Guffey had insisted upon the Democrats in the districts putting Keator and Nye upon their ticket, but the Democrats refused to take the orders, and named men of their own party in each instance. The same was done in several other legislative districts in this city, where Guffey had agreed to help Martin men to defeat the regular Republican nominees for the senate and the house by fusion with the insurgents.

Senator Osbourn, who was renominated by the Republicans of his district, was to have been opposed by the Martinites with the aid of the Democrats, but the Democrats named a man of their own party and Guffey was in a rage when he met the Democratic leaders who had thrown him down. He summoned Chairman Donnelly, of the Democratic city committee, to the Hotel Stratford, where Martin and Flinn and Rilling were already assembled. There Guffey told Mr. Donnelly that he had been betrayed and that the Democracy of Philadelphia would be read out of the organization if the party leaders did not obey his commands for fusion nominations. Donnelly protested that he could not prevent what had happened, as the ward leaders had ignored his instructions and named Democrats where they should have nominated insurgents.

A QUEER COMBINATION.

During the civil war as well as our late war with Spain, diarrhoea was one of the most troublesome diseases the army had to contend with. In many instances it became chronic and the old soldiers still suffer from it. Mr. David Taylor of Wind Ridge, Greens Co., Pa., is one of these. He uses Chamberlain's Colic, Cholera and Diarrhoea Remedy and says he never found anything that would give him such quick relief. It is for sale by all druggists.

—Handsome new toilet sets just received at Killmer's. —Every garment worth the money or your money back. Tionesta Cash Store

GOFFEY, FLINN, MARTIN, RILLING AND DONNELLY

Donnelly promised to do his best, and he went to work at once to get former Speaker Walton nominated for senator by the Democrats of the Fifth Senatorial district.

NO SHOW FOR INSURGENTS.

It now looks as though the insurgents would not get over four out of the 29 members of the house of representatives to be elected in this city in November, and they will not get a single member of the senate. The Democrats had two members of the house at the last session from this city, but they will not have a Philadelphian in the next house. The Republicans of Philadelphia are determined to recognize the call from the Republican congressional committee, and they will elect every Republican candidate for congress from this city. This will mean the turning down of McAleer, who has been sent to congress for some years by the Martin Republicans, who have used the McAleer organization for factional purposes in the Republican party. McAleer is now confronted with a stalwart Republican, a well known manufacturer, Henry Burk, who will make an aggressive campaign and who will be elected if the leaders of the regular Republican organization can thwart the Martinites in their deals to send McAleer to congress in return for votes for insurgent members of the legislature, or for Democratic members of the legislature where insurgents have not been nominated.

The outlook is certainly very gloomy for the Flinn-Martin-Guffey combine so far as Philadelphia is concerned, and it is probably the same throughout the state. "There's one place above all others where a man exhibits his meanness," said the traveler, "and that's about an ocean liner and toward the man who is obliged to occupy the same stateroom with you. You are mad to begin with that you have to bunk in with somebody. If he gets the lower berth, he's done you a mortal injury. If he's snore, you have a contempt for him; if he is not, you are the time that you share a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is zealous in the maintenance of them. When I crossed the Atlantic line time and time again I shared a stateroom, and I was never more than on speaking terms with my roommate. You can be all right toward everybody else aboard, but let's your enemy. The case is worse when it's a pair of old travelers, as each is posted as to his rights and privileges and is