



REPUBLICAN NOMINATIONS.

STATE TICKET.

GOVERNOR, JAMES A. BEAVER, Centre county.

SECRETARY OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS, JOHN M. GREER, Butler county.

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR, WILLIAM T. DAVIES, Bradford county.

FOR CONGRESSMAN-AT-LARGE, MARRIOTT BROSTIUS, Lancaster county.

JUDICIAL TICKET.

JUSTICE OF SUPREME COURT, WILLIAM HENRY RAWLE, Philadelphia.

COUNTY TICKET.

ASSEMBLY, WILLIAM S. MORGAN, Jeanner township.

ANDREW J. COLBORN, Somerset borough.

POOR HOUSE DIRECTOR, JESSE HOOVER, Milford township.

JURY COMMISSIONER, CHARLES F. RAYMAN, Brothersvalley township.

He who assails the Republican party aims at the destruction of the manufacturing and industrial interests of the county.

There is not a free trader nor a copperhead in the Union but would rejoice over the defeat of General Beaver. The way to defeat him is to vote for Stewart.

Are Republicans willing to strike down the party for the sake of punishing Senator Cameron? That is the sole issue made by the "Independents."

Eight years ago a paper dollar was worth thirty-eight cents. Now, thanks to the Republican party, it is worth 100 cents, and good as gold anywhere.

The Scranton Republicans remind the "Independents" that no party can be reformed by defeating it, but it can be ruined and hopelessly ruined.

It is known of all men that there is no chance for the Democratic candidate to be elected Governor, except by a portion of the Republicans voting the Independent, assistant Democratic ticket.

To read the fierce invective which the editor of the Meyersdale Commercial hurled at A. J. Colborn because of his former affiliation with the Democratic party, one could hardly believe that the now virtuous Independent editor was himself "tamed with the same stick." It was only "when Johnny came marching home" and found the Republicans in fixed control of the Government that he shed his Democratic skin and put on the garments of the party he is now attempting to betray.

Mr. Blaine said in his opening speech in Maine, that no man could name a bill or measure which had been presented by the Democratic party in the Congress of the United States for the amelioration of any human race, or for the advancement of any good, during the last twenty years.

And yet there is a set of men in Pennsylvania who claim to be his special admirers, and who conjure with his great name, and are conspiring to throw our grand old State into the hands of this party, and who in this county are bartering to send Coffroth back to Congress, when he will vote with and sustain it, in return for his support of two candidates for the Legislature, who will also co-operate with his friends.

In a speech made at Pittsburgh last week, General Kooztz squarely admitted that he would rather see the Democrats carry the State than have Beaver and the Republican ticket elected. Here is his own question and his own reply: "But you say, settle them within the party, 'else you will land the State over to the Democracy'; that you will break up the grand old Republican party."

"Well in answer to this I have to say," he said, "it is infinitely better to settle these matters now, even if 'it should be at the peril of the Democratic party carrying the State, than to postpone it until 1884.' And yet this unblushing apostate has the cheek to assert, 'if any one says that I am not a good Republican he lies.'"

SOME time before the Harrisburg Convention, Stewart was openly and unconditionally for General Beaver's nomination, and at the Convention General Kooztz stated unreservedly that if he (Beaver) was nominated, he would take the stump in his support. But times change and men with them. The Republicans of Franklin County beat Stewart as a delegate to the Convention, and the State Convention declined to nominate Kooztz for Congressman-at-large, which honorable position he had hoped to bag. The grapes he had cut from his reach, like the fox in the fable, they at once pronounced them sour, and at once of these honorable gentlemen concluded to oppose General Beaver.

The pretense of the Half-breed Bosses that they can reform the Republican party by defeating it, is like the doctor who bled a patient to death to cure him of fits. The bleeding cured the fits, but the patient was mighty little wiser to his family afterwards.

The Independents say that they oppose personal rule in favor of popular rule, and yet at their State Convention there were fifty-one counties that had self-appointed delegates, or delegates appointed by one, two or three men. This is popular rule with a vengeance!

Of course Senator Cameron was in favor of Beaver's nomination by the Republican State Convention, and so was everybody else, Senator Stewart included.—Franklin Repository.

If any Republican doubts as to whether he should vote for Beaver or Stewart let him ask his Democratic neighbors. The unanimous reply will be, Stewart; because they think that every vote for Stewart is half a vote for their candidate. It is wise sometimes to take counsel of the enemy.

The Philadelphia Press that has been sitting on the fence has at last gotten down on the right side, not being willing that the Republican party should be defeated for the sake of whipping Cameron. It will take zealous work on the part of the Press to make amends for the injury it has done General Beaver and the party, by permitting its columns to be used for the dissemination of "Independent" campaign falsehoods.

The editor of the Meyersdale Commercial should buy an ear trumpet; he might then, perhaps, hear the loud call that has been made for the time, place and occasion when the Herald vilified the lamented Garfield. Having made good this charge, we may then give it an opportunity to revise its history of our connection with the Republican party of this county. Hurry up and buy that trumpet!

Is any man fool enough to believe that if through Republican divisions, Pattison is elected Governor, and the control of the State thereby passes into the hands of the Democrats for the next four years, that the Republican party can be united and carry the State for its Presidential candidate in 1884? And yet General Kooztz had the cheek to tell a Pittsburgh audience last week, that he and his friends by helping the Democrats to beat us this fall, were insuring the State to the Republicans by 60,000 majority next year.

Does any Republican believe that the Democratic party can administer the government of this State better than his own party has done for the last twenty years? If not, then he will not throw away his vote on Stewart, and thus assist the Democrats into power. No truthful man will assert that he believes Stewart can be elected, and every well informed man knows that the race is between Beaver and Pattison.

When in Pittsburgh, General Kooztz tried to incite the friends of Major A. M. Brown—who was defeated for nomination for Supreme Judge—against General Beaver and the Harrisburg ticket. The Pittsburgh Commercial Gazette exposes the bold General's duplicity as follows:

"It would avail Mr. Kooztz that the lies he says about the defeat of Major Brown for the nomination of Judge, in this neck of the woods, the better for him. He was one of those political cowards who promised Major Brown's friends that he would assist to nominate him, but when the time came he was not to be found. He was then a prospective candidate for Congressman-at-Large and wanted Don Cameron's support. Some turn out terribly bold after the battle is over."

To read the fierce invective which the editor of the Meyersdale Commercial hurled at A. J. Colborn because of his former affiliation with the Democratic party, one could hardly believe that the now virtuous Independent editor was himself "tamed with the same stick." It was only "when Johnny came marching home" and found the Republicans in fixed control of the Government that he shed his Democratic skin and put on the garments of the party he is now attempting to betray.

Mr. Blaine said in his opening speech in Maine, that no man could name a bill or measure which had been presented by the Democratic party in the Congress of the United States for the amelioration of any human race, or for the advancement of any good, during the last twenty years.

And yet there is a set of men in Pennsylvania who claim to be his special admirers, and who conjure with his great name, and are conspiring to throw our grand old State into the hands of this party, and who in this county are bartering to send Coffroth back to Congress, when he will vote with and sustain it, in return for his support of two candidates for the Legislature, who will also co-operate with his friends.

In a speech made at Pittsburgh last week, General Kooztz squarely admitted that he would rather see the Democrats carry the State than have Beaver and the Republican ticket elected. Here is his own question and his own reply: "But you say, settle them within the party, 'else you will land the State over to the Democracy'; that you will break up the grand old Republican party."

"Well in answer to this I have to say," he said, "it is infinitely better to settle these matters now, even if 'it should be at the peril of the Democratic party carrying the State, than to postpone it until 1884.' And yet this unblushing apostate has the cheek to assert, 'if any one says that I am not a good Republican he lies.'"

SOME time before the Harrisburg Convention, Stewart was openly and unconditionally for General Beaver's nomination, and at the Convention General Kooztz stated unreservedly that if he (Beaver) was nominated, he would take the stump in his support. But times change and men with them. The Republicans of Franklin County beat Stewart as a delegate to the Convention, and the State Convention declined to nominate Kooztz for Congressman-at-large, which honorable position he had hoped to bag. The grapes he had cut from his reach, like the fox in the fable, they at once pronounced them sour, and at once of these honorable gentlemen concluded to oppose General Beaver.

The pretense of the Half-breed Bosses that they can reform the Republican party by defeating it, is like the doctor who bled a patient to death to cure him of fits. The bleeding cured the fits, but the patient was mighty little wiser to his family afterwards.

The Independents say that they oppose personal rule in favor of popular rule, and yet at their State Convention there were fifty-one counties that had self-appointed delegates, or delegates appointed by one, two or three men. This is popular rule with a vengeance!

Of course Senator Cameron was in favor of Beaver's nomination by the Republican State Convention, and so was everybody else, Senator Stewart included.—Franklin Repository.

The "trade and dieker" ticket is a bitter pill for the honest Democrats to swallow. Not being candidates themselves, it is not as mint and cummin to their palates to be ordered to swallow Seibert and Sorber. They have no objections to the Independents carrying out their part of the bargain by voting for Coffroth, but as to their being handed over to the Parson and the Captain in return. Not any of that in their minds, they declare, with many strange oaths and much violent gesticulation we heard an old Democrat, a delegate to the late convention declare to Chairman Fisher the other day that he had but one vote, but he'd be dashed, dashed, if that could be sold or traded off.

It is a matter of deep importance to the Republicans of this county that they should be properly represented in the next Legislature. The State is to be newly apportioned for Members of Congress, Senators and Assemblymen, and this apportionment will stand for ten years. It is reported and we believe with truth, that Seibert and Sorber are pledged if elected, not to co-operate with the Republicans. This is the reason why Coffroth's convention refused to nominate candidates against them, and why the Democratic leaders are urging their election. If they should succeed, their votes might assist to place Somerset County in such districts that for ten years we would have to submit to be represented by Democratic Senators and Congressmen. We take it that Somerset Republicans are too smart to vote for these kickers, and thus place Democratic yoke on their own necks for the next ten years.

The Republican party has had control of the State of Pennsylvania for twenty years. When it came into power, it found by the reckless mismanagement of the Democrats, a debt of over forty millions of dollars was hanging over our heads, and that enormous taxes were being imposed upon real estate and personal property, to meet the interest on this debt, and pay necessary expenses. In the twenty years that we have controlled the State, beside meeting the extraordinary expenses incurred by reason of the war, we have paid three-fourths of this Democratic debt, and have, at the same time, relieved the farming and producing classes of the burden of taxation imposed on them by the Democrats. And now the Republicans of Pennsylvania are seriously asked to deliver the State Government over again to this worthless Democratic party, that robbed and oppressed the people. If Republicans want to restore their old tax masters to power, the easiest way to do so is, to divide their vote, and throw part of it away on John Stewart.

We ask honest and wise men to think this matter over, and we know they will decide not to again deliver the people of Pennsylvania into the hands of the Philistines.

It is a favorite assertion of the Independents that Beaver does not represent the choice of the people, and was made the candidate solely at the dictation of Senator Cameron. This is downright lying. Beaver was the first choice of seven-eighths of all the delegates in the Convention, and the second choice of the balance of them, and to say that Cameron, in spite of the bitter feeling against him in some of the counties, could control all these representatives of the people, is as preposterous folly as it is bald-headed lying. It suits these demagogues to thus magnify Cameron's power when it answers their purpose, while the next moment they deride him as being totally insignificant.

But let us ask these Independents, whom does Stewart represent? He was surely not the choice of the people. He was not heard of by the people as a candidate for Governor before the Philadelphia convention discovered him. And that convention surely did not represent the people. In fifty-one counties of the State the delegates were named by McKee—the Chairman of their State Committee—and in the remainder, the delegates were self-elected, or chosen by three or four persons, or as in this county, by a few little bosses who pretended to represent others "by letters and by proxies."

To say that Stewart represents the choice of the people, is as absurd as to assert that Beaver does not. No candidate for Governor of Pennsylvania was ever so clearly designated as the people's choice, before the meeting of a convention, as was General Beaver.

STEWART and his followers, and notably Kooztz—are telling the people that it will not hurt the Republican party to be defeated this fall and that we will be all the stronger for it next year, and they point to the Democratic party that has encountered defeat for twenty-one years and is "still a very lively corpse."

That is just it, frequent defeat has left the Democratic party a corpse, and nothing else. Defeat has utterly demoralized it, and it has no chance to return to power, except by the assistance of Stewart, Kooztz & Co. It is a delusion and a snare to claim that any party can be reformed by defeat. Kooztz knows, as does every man of sense, that the Democratic party, although defeated for a score of years, is as corrupt, as unreliable, as worthless as it ever was. Will he, or any one, dare say that defeat has reformed it? It is one thing for a party to be defeated

of the wolf, and now he turns up again as the dearest friend of the throttled victim. Actions speak louder than words, and the man who votes off, or allows his vote to be traded off to Coffroth, does it with the full knowledge that while professing to be for protection, he will go with his party, which, in its National Convention, resolved that it favors "a tariff for revenue only."

STEWART, WOLFE, KOONTZ & Co. are prancing round the State asserting that General Beaver and the other members of the Republican State ticket were all "slated" in advance of the convention at Harrisburg, and that they all owe their nomination to Senator Cameron. As against this campaign lie of the Independent bosses, Hon. John M. Greer, the Republican candidate for Secretary of Internal Affairs, said in a speech which he made at Reading last week "for the first time I tell this audience that I wrote to Mr. Cameron before the Convention and asked him for his support. He answered me frankly that he could not support me, and that I should not be a candidate. Stewart and Iear answered my letters, promising me support and encouragement. Tom Marshall gave me sixteen votes. I got none from Dauphin, Lebanon or Lancaster. I challenge any one to name a single delegate that Mr. Cameron ever asked to vote for me."

Thus, one by one, the roses fade. Will Mr. Kooztz have the honesty to make a note of Mr. Greer's statement and change and revise his statement?

There is an attempt being made by a set of people who style themselves "Garfield Republicans" to convict General Beaver of treachery in voting for General Grant at the Chicago Convention. It has been proved by the very people called upon by their opponents to testify against General Beaver, that the people of his own district, who knew his friendship for General Grant, proposed to allow him to exercise his own judgment rather than to press upon him their preferences, and he was sent to the Convention to act independently for the man of his choice. The Honorable Citizens well put the situation as follows:

When, then, after all, is the odium which our Independent friends seek to cast upon General Beaver? It is not that he acted treacherously to his friends or constituents at home. It is not that his actions as leader of the Pennsylvania delegation were contrary to either the public or party policy. It is simply that while a large number of Republicans in Pennsylvania favored the nomination of Mr. Blaine for President, General Beaver and numbers of other people good Republicans favored the nomination of General Grant. In this respect Gen. Beaver acted exactly the same part that General Garfield did as leader of the Ohio delegation. That delegation was divided also. A large number of the people of that State favored the nomination of Mr. Sherman in opposition to Mr. Blaine. Opinion in the State, however, was divided, and some of the delegates, like those from Pennsylvania, favoring Blaine, broke away from the leadership of Garfield and cast their ballots for the Statesman from Maine. Is General Garfield, therefore, to be charged with wrong-doing, because he refused to vote for Mr. Blaine and strongly adhered to his own choice and the choice of his constituents? As cases are also parallel. But some of these opponents begging the question will say there was a difference, Garfield was for Sherman and Beaver was for Grant. Very true, but both were opposed to Blaine. And what of the other Grant who saved the nation from disruption, as well as the Republican party from defeat in its cherished principles, as Sherman, Mr. Blaine or any other leader who has devoted his public career to its interests? So our Independent friends consider it more dishonorable to have a patriotic feeling of gratitude and friendship for General Grant than a political fellowship with Jefferson Davis? If so, their animosity to Grant will never be accepted, at least by the people of the North, and they should go where they belong, into the Democratic party, which occupied eighteen years ago, the same platform they are treading upon to day, the denunciation of Grant and all who bore arms for the safety of the Republic."

The Democrats and their allies, the Independents, are trying to make the people believe that Democratic success in Pennsylvania would not endanger the interests of a protective tariff, because, as they assert, all our people are strongly for protection, and it is therefore, to profess themselves in favor of that policy. It is true that politicians of all parties in this State profess to be in favor of protection, but it is memorable historical fact, that Polk, Dallas and Shunk, were elected on the cry of protection, and that no sooner were they in power than the tariff of 1842 was repealed by the casting vote of Vice President Dallas, a Pennsylvania Democratic protectionist, and ruin and desolation were brought upon all the industrial interests not only of this State, but of the Union.

The conspirators in this county, who propose to elect General Coffroth to Congress, in return for Democratic votes for Seibert and Sorber, well know, that while like all Democrats Coffroth professes to be in favor of protection, he cannot be trusted. They know that when formerly elected to Congress he professed to be in favor of protection, and yet in reliance he was arrested W. G. Whitaker, residing in Paschalville, near the city limits, charged with poisoning his wife, Jennie, aged forty-four years, and his children—Wayson, aged twenty-four; Mary, fifteen; Eve, fifteen; Bernia, eight; and Willie, two years. A boy, named Wayson Cooper, nineteen years old, was also poisoned. Some of the other persons are in a critical condition. It is alleged Whitaker placed arsenic in the bucket of water with which the lamb entrusted to his care, in charge

of the wolf, and now he turns up again as the dearest friend of the throttled victim. Actions speak louder than words, and the man who votes off, or allows his vote to be traded off to Coffroth, does it with the full knowledge that while professing to be for protection, he will go with his party, which, in its National Convention, resolved that it favors "a tariff for revenue only."

STEWART, WOLFE, KOONTZ & Co. are prancing round the State asserting that General Beaver and the other members of the Republican State ticket were all "slated" in advance of the convention at Harrisburg, and that they all owe their nomination to Senator Cameron. As against this campaign lie of the Independent bosses, Hon. John M. Greer, the Republican candidate for Secretary of Internal Affairs, said in a speech which he made at Reading last week "for the first time I tell this audience that I wrote to Mr. Cameron before the Convention and asked him for his support. He answered me frankly that he could not support me, and that I should not be a candidate. Stewart and Iear answered my letters, promising me support and encouragement. Tom Marshall gave me sixteen votes. I got none from Dauphin, Lebanon or Lancaster. I challenge any one to name a single delegate that Mr. Cameron ever asked to vote for me."

Thus, one by one, the roses fade. Will Mr. Kooztz have the honesty to make a note of Mr. Greer's statement and change and revise his statement?

There is an attempt being made by a set of people who style themselves "Garfield Republicans" to convict General Beaver of treachery in voting for General Grant at the Chicago Convention. It has been proved by the very people called upon by their opponents to testify against General Beaver, that the people of his own district, who knew his friendship for General Grant, proposed to allow him to exercise his own judgment rather than to press upon him their preferences, and he was sent to the Convention to act independently for the man of his choice. The Honorable Citizens well put the situation as follows:

When, then, after all, is the odium which our Independent friends seek to cast upon General Beaver? It is not that he acted treacherously to his friends or constituents at home. It is not that his actions as leader of the Pennsylvania delegation were contrary to either the public or party policy. It is simply that while a large number of Republicans in Pennsylvania favored the nomination of Mr. Blaine for President, General Beaver and numbers of other people good Republicans favored the nomination of General Grant. In this respect Gen. Beaver acted exactly the same part that General Garfield did as leader of the Ohio delegation. That delegation was divided also. A large number of the people of that State favored the nomination of Mr. Sherman in opposition to Mr. Blaine. Opinion in the State, however, was divided, and some of the delegates, like those from Pennsylvania, favoring Blaine, broke away from the leadership of Garfield and cast their ballots for the Statesman from Maine. Is General Garfield, therefore, to be charged with wrong-doing, because he refused to vote for Mr. Blaine and strongly adhered to his own choice and the choice of his constituents? As cases are also parallel. But some of these opponents begging the question will say there was a difference, Garfield was for Sherman and Beaver was for Grant. Very true, but both were opposed to Blaine. And what of the other Grant who saved the nation from disruption, as well as the Republican party from defeat in its cherished principles, as Sherman, Mr. Blaine or any other leader who has devoted his public career to its interests? So our Independent friends consider it more dishonorable to have a patriotic feeling of gratitude and friendship for General Grant than a political fellowship with Jefferson Davis? If so, their animosity to Grant will never be accepted, at least by the people of the North, and they should go where they belong, into the Democratic party, which occupied eighteen years ago, the same platform they are treading upon to day, the denunciation of Grant and all who bore arms for the safety of the Republic."

The Democrats and their allies, the Independents, are trying to make the people believe that Democratic success in Pennsylvania would not endanger the interests of a protective tariff, because, as they assert, all our people are strongly for protection, and it is therefore, to profess themselves in favor of that policy. It is true that politicians of all parties in this State profess to be in favor of protection, but it is memorable historical fact, that Polk, Dallas and Shunk, were elected on the cry of protection, and that no sooner were they in power than the tariff of 1842 was repealed by the casting vote of Vice President Dallas, a Pennsylvania Democratic protectionist, and ruin and desolation were brought upon all the industrial interests not only of this State, but of the Union.

The conspirators in this county, who propose to elect General Coffroth to Congress, in return for Democratic votes for Seibert and Sorber, well know, that while like all Democrats Coffroth professes to be in favor of protection, he cannot be trusted. They know that when formerly elected to Congress he professed to be in favor of protection, and yet in reliance he was arrested W. G. Whitaker, residing in Paschalville, near the city limits, charged with poisoning his wife, Jennie, aged forty-four years, and his children—Wayson, aged twenty-four; Mary, fifteen; Eve, fifteen; Bernia, eight; and Willie, two years. A boy, named Wayson Cooper, nineteen years old, was also poisoned. Some of the other persons are in a critical condition. It is alleged Whitaker placed arsenic in the bucket of water with which the lamb entrusted to his care, in charge

of the wolf, and now he turns up again as the dearest friend of the throttled victim. Actions speak louder than words, and the man who votes off, or allows his vote to be traded off to Coffroth, does it with the full knowledge that while professing to be for protection, he will go with his party, which, in its National Convention, resolved that it favors "a tariff for revenue only."

STEWART, WOLFE, KOONTZ & Co. are prancing round the State asserting that General Beaver and the other members of the Republican State ticket were all "slated" in advance of the convention at Harrisburg, and that they all owe their nomination to Senator Cameron. As against this campaign lie of the Independent bosses, Hon. John M. Greer, the Republican candidate for Secretary of Internal Affairs, said in a speech which he made at Reading last week "for the first time I tell this audience that I wrote to Mr. Cameron before the Convention and asked him for his support. He answered me frankly that he could not support me, and that I should not be a candidate. Stewart and Iear answered my letters, promising me support and encouragement. Tom Marshall gave me sixteen votes. I got none from Dauphin, Lebanon or Lancaster. I challenge any one to name a single delegate that Mr. Cameron ever asked to vote for me."

Thus, one by one, the roses fade. Will Mr. Kooztz have the honesty to make a note of Mr. Greer's statement and change and revise his statement?

There is an attempt being made by a set of people who style themselves "Garfield Republicans" to convict General Beaver of treachery in voting for General Grant at the Chicago Convention. It has been proved by the very people called upon by their opponents to testify against General Beaver, that the people of his own district, who knew his friendship for General Grant, proposed to allow him to exercise his own judgment rather than to press upon him their preferences, and he was sent to the Convention to act independently for the man of his choice. The Honorable Citizens well put the situation as follows:

When, then, after all, is the odium which our Independent friends seek to cast upon General Beaver? It is not that he acted treacherously to his friends or constituents at home. It is not that his actions as leader of the Pennsylvania delegation were contrary to either the public or party policy. It is simply that while a large number of Republicans in Pennsylvania favored the nomination of Mr. Blaine for President, General Beaver and numbers of other people good Republicans favored the nomination of General Grant. In this respect Gen. Beaver acted exactly the same part that General Garfield did as leader of the Ohio delegation. That delegation was divided also. A large number of the people of that State favored the nomination of Mr. Sherman in opposition to Mr. Blaine. Opinion in the State, however, was divided, and some of the delegates, like those from Pennsylvania, favoring Blaine, broke away from the leadership of Garfield and cast their ballots for the Statesman from Maine. Is General Garfield, therefore, to be charged with wrong-doing, because he refused to vote for Mr. Blaine and strongly adhered to his own choice and the choice of his constituents? As cases are also parallel. But some of these opponents begging the question will say there was a difference, Garfield was for Sherman and Beaver was for Grant. Very true, but both were opposed to Blaine. And what of the other Grant who saved the nation from disruption, as well as the Republican party from defeat in its cherished principles, as Sherman, Mr. Blaine or any other leader who has devoted his public career to its interests? So our Independent friends consider it more dishonorable to have a patriotic feeling of gratitude and friendship for General Grant than a political fellowship with Jefferson Davis? If so, their animosity to Grant will never be accepted, at least by the people of the North, and they should go where they belong, into the Democratic party, which occupied eighteen years ago, the same platform they are treading upon to day, the denunciation of Grant and all who bore arms for the safety of the Republic."

The Democrats and their allies, the Independents, are trying to make the people believe that Democratic success in Pennsylvania would not endanger the interests of a protective tariff, because, as they assert, all our people are strongly for protection, and it is therefore, to profess themselves in favor of that policy. It is true that politicians of all parties in this State profess to be in favor of protection, but it is memorable historical fact, that Polk, Dallas and Shunk, were elected on the cry of protection, and that no sooner were they in power than the tariff of 1842 was repealed by the casting vote of Vice President Dallas, a Pennsylvania Democratic protectionist, and ruin and desolation were brought upon all the industrial interests not only of this State, but of the Union.

The conspirators in this county, who propose to elect General Coffroth to Congress, in return for Democratic votes for Seibert and Sorber, well know, that while like all Democrats Coffroth professes to be in favor of protection, he cannot be trusted. They know that when formerly elected to Congress he professed to be in favor of protection, and yet in reliance he was arrested W. G. Whitaker, residing in Paschalville, near the city limits, charged with poisoning his wife, Jennie, aged forty-four years, and his children—Wayson, aged twenty-four; Mary, fifteen; Eve, fifteen; Bernia, eight; and Willie, two years. A boy, named Wayson Cooper, nineteen years old, was also poisoned. Some of the other persons are in a critical condition. It is alleged Whitaker placed arsenic in the bucket of water with which the lamb entrusted to his care, in charge

of the wolf, and now he turns up again as the dearest friend of the throttled victim. Actions speak louder than words, and the man who votes off, or allows his vote to be traded off to Coffroth, does it with the full knowledge that while professing to be for protection, he will go with his party, which, in its National Convention, resolved that it favors "a tariff for revenue only."

STEWART, WOLFE, KOONTZ & Co. are prancing round the State asserting that General Beaver and the other members of the Republican State ticket were all "slated" in advance of the convention at Harrisburg, and that they all owe their nomination to Senator Cameron. As against this campaign lie of the Independent bosses, Hon. John M. Greer, the Republican candidate for Secretary of Internal Affairs, said in a speech which he made at Reading last week "for the first time I tell this audience that I wrote to Mr. Cameron before the Convention and asked him for his support. He answered me frankly that he could not support me, and that I should not be a candidate. Stewart and Iear answered my letters, promising me support and encouragement. Tom Marshall gave me sixteen votes. I got none from Dauphin, Lebanon or Lancaster. I challenge any one to name a single delegate that Mr. Cameron ever asked to vote for me."

Thus, one by one, the roses fade. Will Mr. Kooztz have the honesty to make a note of Mr. Greer's statement and change and revise his statement?

There is an attempt being made by a set of people who style themselves "Garfield Republicans" to convict General Beaver of treachery in voting for General Grant at the Chicago Convention. It has been proved by the very people called upon by their opponents to testify against General Beaver, that the people of his own district, who knew his friendship for General Grant, proposed to allow him to exercise his own judgment rather than to press upon him their preferences, and he was sent to the Convention to act independently for the man of his choice. The Honorable Citizens well put the situation as follows:

of the wolf, and now he turns up again as the dearest friend of the throttled victim. Actions speak louder than words, and the man who votes off, or allows his vote to be traded off to Coffroth, does it with the full knowledge that while professing to be for protection, he will go with his party, which, in its National Convention, resolved that it favors "a tariff for revenue only."

STEWART, WOLFE, KOONTZ & Co. are prancing round the State asserting that General Beaver and the other members of the Republican State ticket were all "slated" in advance of the convention at Harrisburg, and that they all owe their nomination to Senator Cameron. As against this campaign lie of the Independent bosses, Hon. John M. Greer, the Republican candidate for Secretary of Internal Affairs, said in a speech which he made at Reading last week "for the first time I tell this audience that I wrote to Mr. Cameron before the Convention and asked him for his support. He answered me frankly that he could not support me, and that I should not be a candidate. Stewart and Iear answered my letters, promising me support and encouragement. Tom Marshall gave me sixteen votes. I got none from Dauphin, Lebanon or Lancaster. I challenge any one to name a single delegate that Mr. Cameron ever asked to vote for me."

Thus, one by one, the roses fade. Will Mr. Kooztz have the honesty to make a note of Mr. Greer's statement and change and revise his statement?

There is an attempt being made by a set of people who style themselves "Garfield Republicans" to convict General Beaver of treachery in voting for General Grant at the Chicago Convention. It has been proved by the very people called upon by their opponents to testify against General Beaver, that the people of his own district, who knew his friendship for General Grant, proposed to allow him to exercise his own judgment rather than to press upon him their preferences, and he was sent to the Convention to act independently for the man of his choice. The Honorable Citizens well put the situation as follows:

When, then, after all, is the odium which our Independent friends seek to cast upon General Beaver? It is not that he acted treacherously to his friends or constituents at home. It is not that his actions as leader of the Pennsylvania delegation were contrary to either the public or party policy. It is simply that while a large number of Republicans in Pennsylvania favored the nomination of Mr. Blaine for President, General Beaver and numbers of other people good Republicans favored the nomination of General Grant. In this respect Gen. Beaver acted exactly the same part that General Garfield did as leader of the Ohio delegation. That delegation was divided also. A large number of the people of that State favored the nomination of Mr. Sherman in opposition to Mr. Blaine. Opinion in the State, however, was divided, and some of the delegates, like those from Pennsylvania, favoring Blaine, broke away from the leadership of Garfield and cast their ballots for the Statesman from Maine. Is General Garfield, therefore, to be charged with wrong-doing, because he refused to vote for Mr. Blaine and strongly adhered to his own choice and the choice of his constituents? As cases are also parallel. But some of these opponents begging the question will say there was a difference, Garfield was for Sherman and Beaver was for Grant. Very true, but both were opposed to Blaine. And what of the other Grant who saved the nation from disruption, as well as the Republican party from defeat in its cherished principles, as Sherman, Mr. Blaine or any other leader who has devoted his public career to its interests? So our Independent friends consider it more dishonorable to have a patriotic feeling of gratitude and friendship for General Grant than a political fellowship with Jefferson Davis? If so, their animosity to Grant will never be accepted, at least by the people of the North, and they should go where they belong, into the Democratic party, which occupied eighteen years ago, the same platform they are treading upon to day, the denunciation of Grant and all who bore arms for the safety of the Republic."

The Democrats and their allies, the Independents, are trying to make the people believe that Democratic success in Pennsylvania would not endanger the interests of a protective tariff, because, as they assert, all our people are strongly for protection, and it is therefore, to profess themselves in favor of that policy. It is true that politicians of all parties in this State profess to be in favor of protection, but it is memorable historical fact, that Polk, Dallas and Shunk, were elected on the cry of protection, and that no sooner were they in power than the tariff of 1842 was repealed by the casting vote of Vice President Dallas, a Pennsylvania Democratic protectionist, and ruin and desolation were brought upon all the industrial interests not only of this State, but of the Union.

The conspirators in this county, who propose to elect General Coffroth to Congress, in return for Democratic votes for Seibert and Sorber, well know, that while like all Democrats Coffroth professes to be in favor of protection, he cannot be trusted. They know that when formerly elected to Congress he professed to be in favor of protection, and yet in reliance he was arrested W. G. Whitaker, residing in Paschalville, near the city limits, charged with poisoning his wife, Jennie, aged forty-four years, and his children—Wayson, aged twenty-four; Mary, fifteen; Eve, fifteen; Bernia, eight; and Willie, two years. A boy, named Wayson Cooper, nineteen years old, was also poisoned. Some of the other persons are in a critical condition. It is alleged Whitaker placed arsenic in the bucket of water with which the lamb entrusted to his care, in charge

of the wolf, and now he turns up again as the dearest friend of the throttled victim. Actions speak louder than words, and the man who votes off, or allows his vote to be traded off to Coffroth, does it with the full knowledge that while professing to be for protection, he will go with his party, which, in its National Convention, resolved that it favors "a tariff for revenue only."

STEWART, WOLFE, KOONTZ & Co. are prancing round the State asserting that General Beaver and the other members of the Republican State ticket were all "slated" in advance of the convention at Harrisburg, and that they all owe their nomination to Senator Cameron. As against this campaign lie of the Independent bosses, Hon. John M. Greer, the Republican candidate for Secretary of Internal Affairs, said in a speech which he made at Reading last week "for the first time I tell this audience that I wrote to Mr. Cameron before the Convention and asked him for his support. He answered me frankly that he could not support me, and that I should not be a candidate. Stewart and Iear answered my letters, promising me support and encouragement. Tom Marshall gave me sixteen votes. I got none from Dauphin, Lebanon or Lancaster. I challenge any one to name a single delegate that Mr. Cameron ever asked to vote for me."

Thus, one by one, the roses fade. Will Mr. Kooztz have the honesty to make a note of Mr. Greer's statement and change and revise his statement?

There is an attempt being made by a set of people who style themselves "Garfield Republicans" to convict General Beaver of treachery in voting for General Grant at the Chicago Convention. It has been proved by the very people called upon by their opponents to testify against General Beaver, that the people of his own district, who knew his friendship for General Grant, proposed to allow him to exercise his own judgment rather than to press upon him their preferences, and he was sent to the Convention to act independently for the man of his choice. The Honorable Citizens well put the situation as follows:

When, then, after all, is the odium which our Independent friends seek to cast upon General Beaver? It is not that he acted treacherously to his friends or constituents at home. It is not that his actions as leader of the Pennsylvania delegation were contrary to either the public or party policy. It is simply that while a large number of Republicans in Pennsylvania favored the nomination of Mr. Blaine for President, General Beaver and numbers of other people good Republicans favored the nomination of General Grant. In this respect Gen. Beaver acted exactly the same part that General Garfield did as leader of the Ohio delegation. That delegation was divided also. A large number of the people of that State favored the nomination of Mr. Sherman in opposition to Mr. Blaine. Opinion in