

MISS ALDEN.
BY E. DA WILLIAMS.

Canon Falls presented a weird picture as Guy Harvey came upon them suddenly through the forest, so dense that it shut out the bright noon day sun. The foliage was so thick here and there amid the Summer verdure, with its rich autumnal hues, and coming upon the wild berry vines he found the fruit unguarded, so secluded from the main road and human habitation was the way he had chosen.

His flowing piece hung at his side, his game bag over his shoulder, saggingly filled with spoil, and whistlingly an air from a French opera, he parted some branches which cut off any further progress, and he poured the roaring fall, some sixty feet below, following a complaint to the dumb, surrounding country, then whispering in its secret crevices down the rugged, rocky wall to the sturdy stones that had forty years patiently its murmurs with philosophical stoniness, across the rocky basin into which, foaming and bubbling, fell the bright cascade of water, and across it lay a tilled tree, whitened in its almost petrification.

Guy Harvey stood gazing at the imposing scene in wonder. How grand it was! The young man had an artist's soul, and his ever-active mind led to imagine the whole perpetuated upon canvas.

"It needs some figures," mused he. "An excellent setting for a tragedy, a man and a woman standing upon the old tree trunk which bridges the stream, his face dark and forbidding, her's fearful and pleading, and among the shrubbery, just beyond, the face of her deliverer."

He was about to pass on when the branches from amid which he supposed here was to emerge, parted, and, instead, a heroine made her appearance. She walked hurriedly out upon the tree lying bridge-like over the impetuous torrent.

"On the went, with a certainty of tread of one wholly unconscious of, or indifferent to, danger; no careless step would have plunged her instantly into eternity, but she sprang from stone to stone, with the bounding step of a young lawn, and reached a point so dangerous that Guy Harvey trembled as he looked, and there she passed.

She seated herself upon a stone facing the point upon which Guy Harvey stood, but not seeing him. Her form was lithe and graceful, her small Grecian head well poised, and the long, chestnut hair hung in plaits to her waist.

Though she had brought no book nor work of any kind, and sat with her hands clasped idly before her, there was that in her bearing which proved her no idle dreamer of sentimental dreams.

Guy stood watching the girl in wonder. She certainly was not cast in the ordinary mould, such as he had seen, and her very originality interested him.

Scarcely realizing what he was about, he went cautiously toward her. She did not observe him until he had reached the tree and was about to venture across it.

Then she rose, and lifted her hands with the imperious gesture of an empress, she cried, with excitement that ill matched her manner: "Do not cross it! It will be certain death!"

"I wish to speak to you," answered Guy growing bold.

With the same certain step that had amazed him, she walked again lightly, hurriedly, over to where he stood, and asked abruptly:

"What do you wish to say?"

"I—well, really do not know," he answered.

She looked hard at him.

"Would it not have been as well," she said, reprovingly, "not to have disturbed me?"

Guy felt the suspicion in her tone, and its injustice pained him; he knew that now or never he must set himself right with this girl. Usually, secure in his own integrity and purity of purpose, he would not take the trouble to argue himself into a quarrel; but now, for the first time perhaps in his life, the young man felt he owed it to himself and the girl before him; so he said, with unmistakable courtesy:

"You are right, and I beg your pardon; the fact is, your position seemed so perilous, and you were unconscious of the fact, that I thought it my duty to caution you."

His urbanity mollified her at once. "You are kind to take the trouble to say that," she said, in a tranquil beauty of her face, and a self-assertion that I was so cross; but twice lately I have been disturbed by young gentlemen in the neighborhood, who, hunting, like yourself, have found their way here, and I determined to put an end to it."

"Then am I trespassing on private grounds?" asked Guy.

"Yes, but you are welcome so long as you don't disturb me; the place is too beautiful to monopolize altogether, and, as I said, so long as you don't disturb me, you are welcome. I want company when I go out in the world; but here there is rest!"

"You, so young, so—"

"We are strangers, if you please."

"She bowed a dismissal as she spoke, and turned away, and Guy had no alternative than to obey her. Up to this period in the young man's life he had always thought girls possessed of no individuality. All that marked Mary from June, or June from Mary, was the color of her hair and eyes. They both went into the same exaggerated extremes of loves of bonanza and splendid gowns. But what more could they do? Girls were necessary evils, and this young man had often thought it strange no philanthropic creature had endowed an asylum for the above named loves to pass the bread and butter period of their lives, and come out upon the world in the full bloom of perfected womanhood.

Now in a moment his eyes had been opened. He saw a girl who, leaving all the idle, artificial show of life, had come out to commune with nature. How could he meet her? How make her acquaintance?

He was walking slowly along remarking on the subject, when a familiar voice, speaking his name, attracted him.

"Ah, how are you, Frank?"

"What is the name of—"

"I know you're going to ask what I'm thinking about, and I'll tell you. I'm thinking of a girl."

"You don't say! Then you've seen her?"

"Seen whom?"

"Miss Alden—the romantic young lady who haunts this spot like a spirit, and whom all the fellows are talking about."

"How dare you talk?"

"Guy felt himself, my dear boy! They say nothing, I assure you."

"Do you know her?"

Guy was growing calm. "Know her? No, I wish I did! But there's to be no dance at her uncle's to-morrow, and I paid Clark ten dollars to get an invitation."

"Could I buy one, do you think?"

"Heck no!"

"Lad, the two walked off in quest of Clark, who was, as his friends called him, a lucky dog, who gained the entries to all the first families, and would, if you fed him, smuggle you in some way."

The glad, merry voice of youth rang out joyfully. Music swelled up to the air, and the revel was at its height. Our friends sauntered down the spacious drawing room of their great hall, looking for some one who was not there.

"Here she comes!" said Frank, under a peep from behind a door.

And she did come.

Could this be the girl of his thoughts—this vision of Canon Falls? Guy asked himself.

No, she was a creature apart from the world of fashion came into the salon with the stately grace of an empress. Her slight figure was set off to advantage by a princess dress of white damask; a spray of water-glasses was the sole ornament to her hair, which was piled in rich braids over the top of her small, partridge head, and a branch or wreath of flowers and leaves marked the pompadour of her dress and fell midway to her waist.

Behind her pocket was ornamented with flowers, and her tiny satin slippers peeping from beneath her dress, had their rosettes nesting amid the rosette of white lace and ribbon. There was no color in her cheeks, and her clear, dark skin was noticeable among all the gathered beauty of the gay throng.

"President, will you be kind as she passed, leaning on Clark's arm. I could walk till morning!"

Clark had promised an introduction to our friends, and in a few moments he sought them.

The ceremony over, each requested to put down his name—for a walk?" questioned Guy.

"The last," she answered; "all the others are promised 'Guy Harvey,' she said, reading the name. 'I like the name of Guy.'

"Then I do," he answered, "though I never did think of it as a name for a walk?"

"No? What has changed you?"

"You have, Miss Alden."

"I am afraid you are weak."

"I am proud to be weak, plastic, in your hands."

"Women do not like to rule?"

"Men do," he answered significantly.

"At that juncture Miss Alden was claimed for a quadrille, and Guy was left alone. He watched every chance to speak with her, and at last came his chance.

"You have not danced Mr. Harvey. I have not seen you on the floor to-night."

"I did not wish to dance with any but you."

"I am sorry, for I was going to ask you to excuse me. I am tired."

"Well, let us go on the balcony, then."

This gave the young man an opportunity for a tête-à-tête, and they went out.

"I love night," said Miss Alden, leaning her head on the chair Guy placed for her. It is so still. Do you never tire of the noise of life, Mr. Harvey?"

"Often," he answered with a sigh. "I would like to pass my days as a hermit upon some desolate island."

"Alone?"

"No, with some congenial companion. I am of a jealous disposition, Miss Alden, and I should like to take the woman I loved out to some quiet spot where no sacrilegious eyes could gaze upon her."

"How so?"

"Exceptionally modest for a man. Men usually think themselves all sufficient, and think if a woman loves them she will go on doing so to the end of the chapter, with no effort on their part to retain that love. Am I not right?"

"It is because love is a strong element in a woman's nature."

"Yes—but love cannot feed upon itself."

"You do not believe in love I fear."

"Believe in it? Indeed I do! And you will not doubt me when I tell you that this day a week I am to be married."

Guy could not speak, had thought of marriage with her, entered his heart with a suddenness that he had never felt before. "Yes, I am going to marry a missionary. I am going with him to the wilds of Africa. Do you think I believe in love?"

"Miss Alden how can you?"

"Because I love, Mr. Harvey."

"It is wicked—it is out of nature! You adore society. You, with your youth and beauty—I cannot believe it!"

"It is true. Wish me Godspeed!"

She held out her hand to him and she took it.

"Miss Alden," he said, speaking very fast, "I am not a believer in love at first sight, but in your case the news you have told me reveals that you are an exception. You are a study—you are original; I have not been able to peep into you of my mind, waking or sleeping, since I first met you. I am going to marry you, and keep you happy! Your sacrifice is stupendous!"

"No," she answered, "it is only another proof of the power of love over human good night."

He lifted her hand to his lips and reverently kissed it.

"I am glad we have met. Would I might never say farewell!"

The music had ceased—the revelers were all gone. Miss Alden stood before her mirror.

"How surprised he seemed!" she mused, brushing out her waving hair. "It is a sacrifice!"

She opened a locked case she thought of this, and as she pressed it to her lips, we know her heart said no.

"I love you, and for your sake, your work," she said, addressing the mirror, "I will sacrifice to you in equal balance to your love?"

One week—only a week—and cheerfully Miss Alden resigned the world, and Guy, with a very heavy heart, left the city.

"At the Church of the Holy Cross, by the Rev. W. Dillon, Annie, only daughter of John M. Alden, to the Rev. Ernest Elmendorf, missionary to Africa."

"God bless you!" murmured Guy, folding the paper, and putting it away for safe keeping. "God bless you!"

It is fairly to pay forty cents for a sheet of music when you can go to church and get it by the choir for nothing.

Between two evils choose neither.

OUR WASHINGTON LETTER.
(From our Regular Correspondent.)
WASHINGTON, June 19, 1879.

Less than a week ago it was the opinion of everybody that the extension could not be continued longer than to-day. Now it is believed that it will probably be continued until the first of July, and that, adjoining then, a second extra session will probably be called. There seems to be a very general desire, I might say, longing for adjournment. Everybody, but the hotels and boarding houses, is tired of Congress, and Congress is very weary of itself. This monotonous session of caucuses and vetoes, of personal explanation and party vindication, has, in fact, become a wearying and tiresome thing without promising to do the blame, it takes two to make a quarrel, they feel that they have been treated to politics thickened with too little statesmanship.

While it is certain that the President will lay the legislative and Army appropriation bills, it is a certainty that he will veto the judicial bill. The situation, therefore, seems to be about this: If the Senate agrees to a resolution, which will probably be reported on Wednesday by its appropriate committee, and adjournment on Friday next, the House will agree to it, and in the present temper of members will not reconsider its action if the Senate proposes to lay on the army bill. Of course if the exigency arose that the Senate would not pass the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill passed by Friday if it wants to. Should the President veto the judicial bill, which action is considered certain by both Republicans and Democrats, the question is, will he call Congress back to provide for the judicial expenses of the Government? A week ago leading Republicans said that they would not put the money for the United States courts was not needed until next October, and between that date and the date of the regular session could be tide-over in some way, and a deficiency appropriation bill might be passed early in December to meet whatever emergency might arise before the regular session.

The chances are that the Senate can get the Army bill