

THE Tower cannot start a few weeks ago, had but a brief existence. The story that Charlesagne Tower was a candidate for United States Senator emanated from the brain of a Democratic editor who expected to create a sensation. This prodigious story, however, soon exploded, and not a single copy of it was seen.

THE Washington Capital, commenting on an article which appeared in the New York Herald, in reference to some proposed changes in the Government printing office, takes occasion to refer sarcastically and very unjustly to a young gentleman from this place, who is an applicant for the position of assistant printer. No doubt this attack has been made through misrepresentations from some interested parties, for the purpose of creating sympathy in their own behalf and prejudicing the minds of Senators and Representatives.

THE young gentleman referred to is Mr. E. W. Oyster, of this place, and a graduate from this office. Having served his term of apprenticeship in this office, and taught by the writer of this article, we profess to know him better, probably, than those who are attempting to doubt his record as a soldier.

THE weather has been very much improved since the 21st. The harbor and river are covered with ice, seriously interrupting navigation. The Annapolis steamer could not get on, and is now lying at anchor. The ice boat, however, keeps the channel open.

THE Government printer being from the State of New York, he has seen it, we notice, to fill all the prominent positions in that office with men from his own State. We think it no more than just that Pennsylvania should be recognized in that branch as well as all the other branches of the Government. Pennsylvania certainly has a strong claim upon the Administration, and is entitled to recognition.

THE New Orleans Times has published a full account of the Mississippi warrents foray case, involving \$500,000 of raised warrents, which have been sold through the Union. W. F. Barakam and Edward Thornton, attorneys, in New York, C. S. Swan, and W. G. Stebbins, recently arrived at New Orleans, and James M. Currie, chief deputy in the Naval office in the New Orleans Custom House, arrested on Friday, are all called at Jackson, charged with being the ring-leaders in the swindle. Several others, of high respectability, are said to be implicated.

THE Alabama House of Representatives on Saturday investigated the vote for door-keeper, which proved that Cardee, the Independent Republican candidate, had been elected by one vote. After considerable discussion a committee was appointed, who reported the above facts, and Cardee was declared elected and the journal corrected. A resolution to declare the House permanently organized under Attorney General Williams was voted down.

THE longest matrimonial engagement on record is that of a couple who were married at East Lyme, Mass., on Thanksgiving day. They were betrothed in 1817, and had been drawing out the sweetness of the engagement ever since. Fifty-five years of blissful coining, of blissful anticipation and all the joys and delights of wedded love is more than vouchsafed to mortals. The ardent pair must be now declared into the vale of years, and are likely to have a slender portion of married life after this intolerable deal of courtship.

BARNUM'S THIRD BURNING OUT.

NEW YORK, Dec. 21.—Barnum's circus and menagerie, in East Fourteenth street, with several other buildings, were destroyed by fire this morning, the losses on which will no doubt reach a million dollars.

The fire was discovered at 4:10 A. M., bursting through the roof of the circus, immediately over where the giraffes were kept, and immediately after the animals and birds began howling and screaming in a terrific manner.

The alarm was sounded, which quickly brought a host of engines on the spot. A second and third alarm were sent out, but the flames spread with such rapidity that almost before the firemen could get water on, the circus was gone.

The men who were first on the ground state that the roar of the animals was something frightful to listen to during the short time it lasted; but they were speedily put out of misery by the rapidity with which the devouring element lapped up the iron-like structure in which they were caged.

The menagerie contained a very valuable collection of wild animals. There were three elephants, four giraffes, several lions, and more than the usual number of tigers, leopards, hyenas, monkeys, birds, &c.

In addition to these there was a "Museum of the World's Wonders," and a lot of "Life-Size Automaton and Marvelous Works of Mechanism from the great Robert Houdin, of Paris."

It appears Barnum's insurance on buildings and contents in the neighborhood of \$300,000. In his report for November, Fire Marshal McShedden called attention to the unsafe condition of Barnum's museum, and danger of surrounding property from it.

THE COLD WEATHER.

BREASTING OF AN ICE-GOUGE. CINCINNATI, Dec. 23.—The ice gouge at Newport bridge gave way last night. Henghold & Janner, coal dealers, lost between eighty and ninety thousand bushels of coal, which was the largest loss of the kind.

EXPLOSION OF KITCHEN BOILERS.

CINCINNATI, Dec. 23.—Two additional range boilers exploded in a restaurant, caused by the cold snap. Mrs. Heles was badly injured by one explosion.

SEVERE WEATHER IN INDIANA.

WABASH, IND., Dec. 24.—Upwards of sixty locomotives were frozen up and out of water between Huntington and Peru, for nearly 48 hours. Cattle, hogs and sheep were frozen to death.

RIGHT OR NINE PERSONS KILLED.

CLEVELAND, Dec. 24.—Train No. 6, on the Cross Cut road, leaving Garry at 1 p. m., to-day, ran through the high trestle over Coates creek. The trestle is some forty feet high, and the train is a wreck below and burning. It is reported that eight or nine persons are killed and a number wounded.

ANOTHER ACCIDENT—THREE PERSONS KILLED.

A special despatch from Jeffersonville, Indiana, says a freight train of thirteen cars and locomotive, on the Jeffersonville, Madison and Indianapolis road jumped the track at Houryville, and the engineer, fireman and brakeman were instantly killed. The cars and locomotive were totally wrecked.

THE United States troops stationed at Little Rock, Ark., have been ordered to New Orleans.

FATAL ACCIDENT.

EXPLOSION OF A RANGE—A LADY CUT AND BURNED.—SHE DIES FROM THE EFFECTS OF HER INJURIES.

About half past eight o'clock yesterday morning a terrific explosion of the "water-back" of the range in the kitchen of Samuel Knox, No. 203 Walnut street, created considerable excitement among the residents of that vicinity, and resulted in fatal injuries to Mrs. Knox, from which she died after suffering severely for five hours.

During the night the fire had become very low and Mrs. Knox, on rising at 8 o'clock, had knelt in prayer, when she was sitting in front of the range waiting herself and waiting for the fire to come up. The excessively cold weather of the night had frozen the water in the pipe between the water back and the boiler, when steam was generated it having no means of escape the explosion ensued.

The water back at the neck of the boiler was broken into hundreds of pieces, the hot coals and fragments of iron were thrown around profusely.

Mrs. Knox was struck in the face and upper portion of her body by the pieces of iron in the burning coals were hurled into her lap, and she was thrown back a distance of six feet into the room. She had several severe gashes about the head and breast, and was burned about the arms and lower portions of her body in such a manner that the flesh fairly peeled off.

At the time of the explosion Mr. Knox was in bed, and hearing the report and feeling the shaking of the house, he arose and hurried down stairs and endeavored to carry his wife into the yard, but finding that he was being burned about the hands and feet he laid her down and ran into an adjoining room to procure a piece of carpet.

His son Samuel who preceded him down stairs succeeded in raising his mother from the snow and by the same partially succeeded in extinguishing the flames. Mrs. Knox's face and neck were not burned, owing to the presence of mind of Frank Hoy, who was passing and ran into the house to assist, in throwing his coat over those portions of her body.

The injured lady was carried up stairs and laid on the bed, her remaining clothing removed, and her wounds dressed by physicians, who had been summoned to her relief. She expired about half-past one o'clock, notwithstanding everything was done that medical men could do for her.

She was sensible for some time after the accident, and was able to state how the accident occurred.

She was so severely burned and badly cut that it was hardly to be expected that she could recover, and the shock itself was sufficient to cause her death.

The report of the explosion was similar to the discharge of a gun, and it is believed several hundred yards from the scene, while the houses in the vicinity were considerably shaken.

The fragments of iron from the range were thrown in every direction and several persons were notified in the cutting and wall. Every joint of the range was broken, and a portion of one of the sashes was blown out.

Mrs. Knox was aged about fifty-four years, and was a very estimable lady. Her sudden demise will cast a gloom over her circle of friends, and the afflicted family have the sympathy of the entire community.

Mr. Knox and his son were severely burned while endeavoring to remove the sufferer from the kitchen and extinguish the flames.—The Evening Telegraph of Monday.

New Advertisements.

"The First National Bank of Sunbury, Penna." NOTICE is hereby given, that the regular annual election of Directors of "The First National Bank of Sunbury, Penna.," will be held at the office of the President, on Tuesday the twenty-eighth (28th) day of January, A. D. 1873, at the Banking House, in the borough of Sunbury, Pa., between the hours of 10 o'clock, a. m. and 4 p. m., of said day, in accordance with the provisions of the Act of Congress.

NOTICE is hereby given that application has been made to the Court of Common Pleas of Northumberland county, Pa., for an amended charter of Corporation for said Church, and if no sufficient reason to the contrary is shown, a decree will be made on the 21st day of said Court according to the act of Assembly in such case made and provided.

THE NEW DISCOVERY In Chemical and Medical Sciences. SOLD BY ALL DRUGGISTS. SUNDBURY PA. PHOS.

DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Inipient Consumption. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Catarrh. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Asthma. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Heart Disease. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Skin Diseases. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Regulate the Liver. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Regulate the Stomach and Bowels. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure all Female Weaknesses. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Purify the Blood. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Diseases of the Throat. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Bronchitis. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure "Rose Cold" or "Hay Fever". DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Lung Diseases. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Sore Throat. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cure Kidney Diseases. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Prevent Cholera & Yellow Fever. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Remove Pain in the Breast. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Remove Pain in the Side or Back. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Are a Superior Tonic. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Restore the Appetite. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Cause the Food to Digest. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Restore the Weak and Debilitated. DR. GARVIN'S TAR REMEDIES Give Tonic to Your System.

L. F. HYDE & CO., SOLE PROPRIETORS, 195 Seventh Ave., New York.

PHURIES SUPPENA IN FORCE. Anna Richards, by her next friend John Dale, vs. Lewis Richards. Northumberland County, to wit: The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to Lewis Richards, Greeting:

Whereas, Anna Richards, by her next friend John Dale, did on the 17th day of June, exhibit her petition or bill to your Judges of the Court of Common Pleas of the County of Northumberland, praying for the causes therein set forth, that she might be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she might be allowed her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, who she claims to be her husband, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our said Judges shall have considered in that behalf; and in proof thereof, she has shown to your said Court, that she is the wife of Lewis Richards, and that she is a single woman, and that she is the mother of the said Lewis Richards, your wife, should not be divorced from the Bond of Matrimony contracted with Lewis Richards, and that she is entitled to her share of the property of said Lewis Richards, and to do further and receive what our