

CITY COUNCIL.

(Continued from First Page.)

promised this two months ago, but the work was still going on, and did not question their motives but simply stated the facts.

Mr. Weldon thought the gentleman should bring his authority for such statements. He should not make such assertions without proof. The Water Committee were not at all ready to supply water facilities, and had accomplished their object, although in the outer districts it was not as large as was desired. The Committee should be allowed to proceed with laying these pipes, as it was absolutely necessary to do so, in order that the large amount of ready expended might be got back in the shape of revenue.

Mr. Morgan said the people were not satisfied. When he was out of Lawrenceville weighing the pipe he heard many complaints from citizens, who grumbled about paying taxes for a privilege which they did not want to enjoy.

Mr. Bell said he knew twenty people who told him the same thing.

After some further discussion the question was put on the passage of Mr. Barton's amendment to refer to the Water Committee, which was done negatively by a vote of 24 yeas to 23 nays.

The yeas and nays were then called on the passage of the original resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Berger, Black, Booth, Carroll, Case, Dale, Glendon, Hare, John, Jones, Kremer, Langan, Latta, McCarthy, McClure, McKelvey, McMillan, Meyer, Moore, Morgan, Potts, Rook, Rosewell, Sims, Schott, Vick, Waughner, Wilson, and Tomlinson.

Nays—Messrs. Albright, Anderson, Barr, Barton, Betchelor, Bell, Borge, Calhoun, Darr, Dunneath, Elmer, Gerner, Houston, Hutcheson, McCleane, Morton, Palmer, Pearson, Penney, Rehn, Reed, Rosewell, Vernon, Vickers, Walden, Weldon, and Welch.

Mr. Morgan moved the passage of the second resolution, which was agreed to.

The third resolution was then taken up, when Mr. Morgan detailed the difficulties in the way of the Committee, which had delayed.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee had been told that the pipes had been laid, and which rendered it necessary to have further power placed in their hands.

Mr. McCarthy asked if this work of taking up and laying down pipes, was to be done at the expense of these pillars of the city.

Mr. Morgan said he wished to know whether the money for these pipes, and trips, and all such apparatus, was to be paid out of the city treasury. If so, the investigation was about to cost more than it would come to, and he wished to know with a golden rule.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee did not intend to charge anything for their services. They only wished to have expense paid. The only expense so far had been fifteen dollars, while the amount allowed to be overcharged would be about \$2,000.

Mr. Potts said the Committee should be encouraged. They had already developed things which looked very much like fraud. He thought the facts should be fully investigated.

Mr. McCarthy thought members should be careful in making charges of "fraud" and "theft," and all that, against honest men, unless these charges could be substantiated still more than they had been thus far.

Mr. Calhoun thought in view of the fact that men occupying high positions in the business community had been attacked, it was due them that the matter be fully investigated.

Mr. McCleane was in favor of giving the Committee the power they asked, as sufficient had been already accorded to demand further investigation.

The question was then put on the resolution, which was carried.

Mr. Morgan said he had another report to present from the Committee on Retrenchment and Reform, concerning the Common Council exclusively.

Mr. Houston inquired whether the report was signed by the Committee?

Mr. Morgan said it was signed by himself as Chairman.

Mr. Houston—Then it is not a proper report.

The President read rule sixth, requiring all reports of special, or other Committees, to be signed by all the members, or a majority thereof.

Mr. Morgan—Can't I offer it as a minority report?

President—No, sir. There is no majority report presented.

Mr. Morgan here said, remarking, "that's a new rule on me."

Mr. Houston said the gentleman states what the purpose of the paper is. I have no objection to having it read. But I have been informed that it reads, "I have passed in a spirit of malice, and in order to gratify private revenge. For that reason, unless the gentleman states what it is about, I object to its being read."

Mr. Morgan—Hurl back with contempt the charge of malice and revenge, which the law warrants. If the law goes against certain gentlemen on this floor, I have nothing to do with that.

Mr. Reed—I guess I am one of the members he alludes to, and about whom this report has been made. I believe it is made out of malice and personal spite, but I would like to hear what he has to say, since the matter has been introduced. I think it ought to be heard.

Mr. Betchelor—So do I, President. The paper has been brought up, and I think Councils should hear it, and they can be the judges of its merits afterwards.

Mr. Houston—Councils can have an idea of its character when all his colleagues refused to sign it.

Mr. Morgan—I tried to get my colleagues together; but though they promised me day after day, they never came, so that I had to prepare the report myself.

Mr. Jones—I suppose you mean me as one of the delinquents. Did I ever say I would meet you anywhere about the matter in that report, and did—

Mr. Morgan—No sir; but if you will allow me I can tell you why you didn't sign it. You thought one of your colleagues would be hurt if it was accepted.

Mr. Jones—I thought before any attack was made on my colleagues he should have a hearing.

After some further discussion, several members desiring to hear it read, Mr. Houston withdrew his objection, and the report was read as introduced thus:

"That part of the Committee of Retrenchment and Reform having left to this body (Common Council) the following report, call your attention to the following correspondence between J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney, and your Committee, in relation to the right of certain members of the Common Council to hold seats in the body."

Here follows a letter signed "B. W. Morgan, Chairman," addressed to "J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney," dated August 10th, calling his attention to the 27th section of an act entitled "An act to amend the act of April 10th, 1897, which reads: 'No shall any member be interested directly or indirectly in the profits of any contract or job for work or services to be performed by the corporation,' and asking his opinion, as the legal officer of the city, as to the right of A. W. Rook, of the Pittsburgh Dispatch, city printer, representing the First ward, and the firm of Pennington & Co., proprietors of the Pittsburgh Gazette, also city printers, the former representing the Second ward, and the latter the Eleventh ward."

Mr. Morgan said the people were not satisfied. When he was out of Lawrenceville weighing the pipe he heard many complaints from citizens, who grumbled about paying taxes for a privilege which they did not want to enjoy.

Mr. Bell said he knew twenty people who told him the same thing.

After some further discussion the question was put on the passage of Mr. Barton's amendment to refer to the Water Committee, which was done negatively by a vote of 24 yeas to 23 nays.

The yeas and nays were then called on the passage of the original resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Berger, Black, Booth, Carroll, Case, Dale, Glendon, Hare, John, Jones, Kremer, Langan, Latta, McCarthy, McClure, McKelvey, McMillan, Meyer, Moore, Morgan, Potts, Rook, Rosewell, Sims, Schott, Vick, Waughner, Wilson, and Tomlinson.

Nays—Messrs. Albright, Anderson, Barr, Barton, Betchelor, Bell, Borge, Calhoun, Darr, Dunneath, Elmer, Gerner, Houston, Hutcheson, McCleane, Morton, Palmer, Pearson, Penney, Rehn, Reed, Rosewell, Vernon, Vickers, Walden, Weldon, and Welch.

Mr. Morgan moved the passage of the second resolution, which was agreed to.

The third resolution was then taken up, when Mr. Morgan detailed the difficulties in the way of the Committee, which had delayed.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee had been told that the pipes had been laid, and which rendered it necessary to have further power placed in their hands.

Mr. McCarthy asked if this work of taking up and laying down pipes, was to be done at the expense of these pillars of the city.

Mr. Morgan said he wished to know whether the money for these pipes, and trips, and all such apparatus, was to be paid out of the city treasury. If so, the investigation was about to cost more than it would come to, and he wished to know with a golden rule.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee did not intend to charge anything for their services. They only wished to have expense paid. The only expense so far had been fifteen dollars, while the amount allowed to be overcharged would be about \$2,000.

Mr. Potts said the Committee should be encouraged. They had already developed things which looked very much like fraud. He thought the facts should be fully investigated.

Mr. McCarthy thought members should be careful in making charges of "fraud" and "theft," and all that, against honest men, unless these charges could be substantiated still more than they had been thus far.

Mr. Calhoun thought in view of the fact that men occupying high positions in the business community had been attacked, it was due them that the matter be fully investigated.

Mr. McCleane was in favor of giving the Committee the power they asked, as sufficient had been already accorded to demand further investigation.

The question was then put on the resolution, which was carried.

Mr. Morgan said he had another report to present from the Committee on Retrenchment and Reform, concerning the Common Council exclusively.

Mr. Houston inquired whether the report was signed by the Committee?

Mr. Morgan said it was signed by himself as Chairman.

Mr. Houston—Then it is not a proper report.

The President read rule sixth, requiring all reports of special, or other Committees, to be signed by all the members, or a majority thereof.

Mr. Morgan—Can't I offer it as a minority report?

President—No, sir. There is no majority report presented.

Mr. Morgan here said, remarking, "that's a new rule on me."

Mr. Houston said the gentleman states what the purpose of the paper is. I have no objection to having it read. But I have been informed that it reads, "I have passed in a spirit of malice, and in order to gratify private revenge. For that reason, unless the gentleman states what it is about, I object to its being read."

Mr. Morgan—Hurl back with contempt the charge of malice and revenge, which the law warrants. If the law goes against certain gentlemen on this floor, I have nothing to do with that.

Mr. Reed—I guess I am one of the members he alludes to, and about whom this report has been made. I believe it is made out of malice and personal spite, but I would like to hear what he has to say, since the matter has been introduced. I think it ought to be heard.

Mr. Betchelor—So do I, President. The paper has been brought up, and I think Councils should hear it, and they can be the judges of its merits afterwards.

Mr. Houston—Councils can have an idea of its character when all his colleagues refused to sign it.

Mr. Morgan—I tried to get my colleagues together; but though they promised me day after day, they never came, so that I had to prepare the report myself.

Mr. Jones—I suppose you mean me as one of the delinquents. Did I ever say I would meet you anywhere about the matter in that report, and did—

Mr. Morgan—No sir; but if you will allow me I can tell you why you didn't sign it. You thought one of your colleagues would be hurt if it was accepted.

Mr. Jones—I thought before any attack was made on my colleagues he should have a hearing.

After some further discussion, several members desiring to hear it read, Mr. Houston withdrew his objection, and the report was read as introduced thus:

"That part of the Committee of Retrenchment and Reform having left to this body (Common Council) the following report, call your attention to the following correspondence between J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney, and your Committee, in relation to the right of certain members of the Common Council to hold seats in the body."

Here follows a letter signed "B. W. Morgan, Chairman," addressed to "J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney," dated August 10th, calling his attention to the 27th section of an act entitled "An act to amend the act of April 10th, 1897, which reads: 'No shall any member be interested directly or indirectly in the profits of any contract or job for work or services to be performed by the corporation,' and asking his opinion, as the legal officer of the city, as to the right of A. W. Rook, of the Pittsburgh Dispatch, city printer, representing the First ward, and the firm of Pennington & Co., proprietors of the Pittsburgh Gazette, also city printers, the former representing the Second ward, and the latter the Eleventh ward."

Mr. Morgan said the people were not satisfied. When he was out of Lawrenceville weighing the pipe he heard many complaints from citizens, who grumbled about paying taxes for a privilege which they did not want to enjoy.

Mr. Bell said he knew twenty people who told him the same thing.

After some further discussion the question was put on the passage of Mr. Barton's amendment to refer to the Water Committee, which was done negatively by a vote of 24 yeas to 23 nays.

The yeas and nays were then called on the passage of the original resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Berger, Black, Booth, Carroll, Case, Dale, Glendon, Hare, John, Jones, Kremer, Langan, Latta, McCarthy, McClure, McKelvey, McMillan, Meyer, Moore, Morgan, Potts, Rook, Rosewell, Sims, Schott, Vick, Waughner, Wilson, and Tomlinson.

Nays—Messrs. Albright, Anderson, Barr, Barton, Betchelor, Bell, Borge, Calhoun, Darr, Dunneath, Elmer, Gerner, Houston, Hutcheson, McCleane, Morton, Palmer, Pearson, Penney, Rehn, Reed, Rosewell, Vernon, Vickers, Walden, Weldon, and Welch.

Mr. Morgan moved the passage of the second resolution, which was agreed to.

The third resolution was then taken up, when Mr. Morgan detailed the difficulties in the way of the Committee, which had delayed.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee had been told that the pipes had been laid, and which rendered it necessary to have further power placed in their hands.

Mr. McCarthy asked if this work of taking up and laying down pipes, was to be done at the expense of these pillars of the city.

Mr. Morgan said he wished to know whether the money for these pipes, and trips, and all such apparatus, was to be paid out of the city treasury. If so, the investigation was about to cost more than it would come to, and he wished to know with a golden rule.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee did not intend to charge anything for their services. They only wished to have expense paid. The only expense so far had been fifteen dollars, while the amount allowed to be overcharged would be about \$2,000.

Mr. Potts said the Committee should be encouraged. They had already developed things which looked very much like fraud. He thought the facts should be fully investigated.

Mr. McCarthy thought members should be careful in making charges of "fraud" and "theft," and all that, against honest men, unless these charges could be substantiated still more than they had been thus far.

Mr. Calhoun thought in view of the fact that men occupying high positions in the business community had been attacked, it was due them that the matter be fully investigated.

Mr. McCleane was in favor of giving the Committee the power they asked, as sufficient had been already accorded to demand further investigation.

The question was then put on the resolution, which was carried.

Mr. Morgan said he had another report to present from the Committee on Retrenchment and Reform, concerning the Common Council exclusively.

Mr. Houston inquired whether the report was signed by the Committee?

Mr. Morgan said it was signed by himself as Chairman.

Mr. Houston—Then it is not a proper report.

The President read rule sixth, requiring all reports of special, or other Committees, to be signed by all the members, or a majority thereof.

Mr. Morgan—Can't I offer it as a minority report?

President—No, sir. There is no majority report presented.

Mr. Morgan here said, remarking, "that's a new rule on me."

Mr. Houston said the gentleman states what the purpose of the paper is. I have no objection to having it read. But I have been informed that it reads, "I have passed in a spirit of malice, and in order to gratify private revenge. For that reason, unless the gentleman states what it is about, I object to its being read."

Mr. Morgan—Hurl back with contempt the charge of malice and revenge, which the law warrants. If the law goes against certain gentlemen on this floor, I have nothing to do with that.

Mr. Reed—I guess I am one of the members he alludes to, and about whom this report has been made. I believe it is made out of malice and personal spite, but I would like to hear what he has to say, since the matter has been introduced. I think it ought to be heard.

Mr. Betchelor—So do I, President. The paper has been brought up, and I think Councils should hear it, and they can be the judges of its merits afterwards.

Mr. Houston—Councils can have an idea of its character when all his colleagues refused to sign it.

Mr. Morgan—I tried to get my colleagues together; but though they promised me day after day, they never came, so that I had to prepare the report myself.

Mr. Jones—I suppose you mean me as one of the delinquents. Did I ever say I would meet you anywhere about the matter in that report, and did—

Mr. Morgan—No sir; but if you will allow me I can tell you why you didn't sign it. You thought one of your colleagues would be hurt if it was accepted.

Mr. Jones—I thought before any attack was made on my colleagues he should have a hearing.

After some further discussion, several members desiring to hear it read, Mr. Houston withdrew his objection, and the report was read as introduced thus:

"That part of the Committee of Retrenchment and Reform having left to this body (Common Council) the following report, call your attention to the following correspondence between J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney, and your Committee, in relation to the right of certain members of the Common Council to hold seats in the body."

Here follows a letter signed "B. W. Morgan, Chairman," addressed to "J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney," dated August 10th, calling his attention to the 27th section of an act entitled "An act to amend the act of April 10th, 1897, which reads: 'No shall any member be interested directly or indirectly in the profits of any contract or job for work or services to be performed by the corporation,' and asking his opinion, as the legal officer of the city, as to the right of A. W. Rook, of the Pittsburgh Dispatch, city printer, representing the First ward, and the firm of Pennington & Co., proprietors of the Pittsburgh Gazette, also city printers, the former representing the Second ward, and the latter the Eleventh ward."

Mr. Morgan said the people were not satisfied. When he was out of Lawrenceville weighing the pipe he heard many complaints from citizens, who grumbled about paying taxes for a privilege which they did not want to enjoy.

Mr. Bell said he knew twenty people who told him the same thing.

After some further discussion the question was put on the passage of Mr. Barton's amendment to refer to the Water Committee, which was done negatively by a vote of 24 yeas to 23 nays.

The yeas and nays were then called on the passage of the original resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Berger, Black, Booth, Carroll, Case, Dale, Glendon, Hare, John, Jones, Kremer, Langan, Latta, McCarthy, McClure, McKelvey, McMillan, Meyer, Moore, Morgan, Potts, Rook, Rosewell, Sims, Schott, Vick, Waughner, Wilson, and Tomlinson.

Nays—Messrs. Albright, Anderson, Barr, Barton, Betchelor, Bell, Borge, Calhoun, Darr, Dunneath, Elmer, Gerner, Houston, Hutcheson, McCleane, Morton, Palmer, Pearson, Penney, Rehn, Reed, Rosewell, Vernon, Vickers, Walden, Weldon, and Welch.

Mr. Morgan moved the passage of the second resolution, which was agreed to.

The third resolution was then taken up, when Mr. Morgan detailed the difficulties in the way of the Committee, which had delayed.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee had been told that the pipes had been laid, and which rendered it necessary to have further power placed in their hands.

Mr. McCarthy asked if this work of taking up and laying down pipes, was to be done at the expense of these pillars of the city.

Mr. Morgan said he wished to know whether the money for these pipes, and trips, and all such apparatus, was to be paid out of the city treasury. If so, the investigation was about to cost more than it would come to, and he wished to know with a golden rule.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee did not intend to charge anything for their services. They only wished to have expense paid. The only expense so far had been fifteen dollars, while the amount allowed to be overcharged would be about \$2,000.

Mr. Potts said the Committee should be encouraged. They had already developed things which looked very much like fraud. He thought the facts should be fully investigated.

Mr. McCarthy thought members should be careful in making charges of "fraud" and "theft," and all that, against honest men, unless these charges could be substantiated still more than they had been thus far.

Mr. Calhoun thought in view of the fact that men occupying high positions in the business community had been attacked, it was due them that the matter be fully investigated.

Mr. McCleane was in favor of giving the Committee the power they asked, as sufficient had been already accorded to demand further investigation.

The question was then put on the resolution, which was carried.

Mr. Morgan said he had another report to present from the Committee on Retrenchment and Reform, concerning the Common Council exclusively.

Mr. Houston inquired whether the report was signed by the Committee?

Mr. Morgan said it was signed by himself as Chairman.

Mr. Houston—Then it is not a proper report.

The President read rule sixth, requiring all reports of special, or other Committees, to be signed by all the members, or a majority thereof.

Mr. Morgan—Can't I offer it as a minority report?

President—No, sir. There is no majority report presented.

Mr. Morgan here said, remarking, "that's a new rule on me."

Mr. Houston said the gentleman states what the purpose of the paper is. I have no objection to having it read. But I have been informed that it reads, "I have passed in a spirit of malice, and in order to gratify private revenge. For that reason, unless the gentleman states what it is about, I object to its being read."

Mr. Morgan—Hurl back with contempt the charge of malice and revenge, which the law warrants. If the law goes against certain gentlemen on this floor, I have nothing to do with that.

Mr. Reed—I guess I am one of the members he alludes to, and about whom this report has been made. I believe it is made out of malice and personal spite, but I would like to hear what he has to say, since the matter has been introduced. I think it ought to be heard.

Mr. Betchelor—So do I, President. The paper has been brought up, and I think Councils should hear it, and they can be the judges of its merits afterwards.

Mr. Houston—Councils can have an idea of its character when all his colleagues refused to sign it.

Mr. Morgan—I tried to get my colleagues together; but though they promised me day after day, they never came, so that I had to prepare the report myself.

Mr. Jones—I suppose you mean me as one of the delinquents. Did I ever say I would meet you anywhere about the matter in that report, and did—

Mr. Morgan—No sir; but if you will allow me I can tell you why you didn't sign it. You thought one of your colleagues would be hurt if it was accepted.

Mr. Jones—I thought before any attack was made on my colleagues he should have a hearing.

After some further discussion, several members desiring to hear it read, Mr. Houston withdrew his objection, and the report was read as introduced thus:

"That part of the Committee of Retrenchment and Reform having left to this body (Common Council) the following report, call your attention to the following correspondence between J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney, and your Committee, in relation to the right of certain members of the Common Council to hold seats in the body."

Here follows a letter signed "B. W. Morgan, Chairman," addressed to "J. F. Slagle, Esq., City Attorney," dated August 10th, calling his attention to the 27th section of an act entitled "An act to amend the act of April 10th, 1897, which reads: 'No shall any member be interested directly or indirectly in the profits of any contract or job for work or services to be performed by the corporation,' and asking his opinion, as the legal officer of the city, as to the right of A. W. Rook, of the Pittsburgh Dispatch, city printer, representing the First ward, and the firm of Pennington & Co., proprietors of the Pittsburgh Gazette, also city printers, the former representing the Second ward, and the latter the Eleventh ward."

Mr. Morgan said the people were not satisfied. When he was out of Lawrenceville weighing the pipe he heard many complaints from citizens, who grumbled about paying taxes for a privilege which they did not want to enjoy.

Mr. Bell said he knew twenty people who told him the same thing.

After some further discussion the question was put on the passage of Mr. Barton's amendment to refer to the Water Committee, which was done negatively by a vote of 24 yeas to 23 nays.

The yeas and nays were then called on the passage of the original resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas—Messrs. Berger, Black, Booth, Carroll, Case, Dale, Glendon, Hare, John, Jones, Kremer, Langan, Latta, McCarthy, McClure, McKelvey, McMillan, Meyer, Moore, Morgan, Potts, Rook, Rosewell, Sims, Schott, Vick, Waughner, Wilson, and Tomlinson.

Nays—Messrs. Albright, Anderson, Barr, Barton, Betchelor, Bell, Borge, Calhoun, Darr, Dunneath, Elmer, Gerner, Houston, Hutcheson, McCleane, Morton, Palmer, Pearson, Penney, Rehn, Reed, Rosewell, Vernon, Vickers, Walden, Weldon, and Welch.

Mr. Morgan moved the passage of the second resolution, which was agreed to.

The third resolution was then taken up, when Mr. Morgan detailed the difficulties in the way of the Committee, which had delayed.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee had been told that the pipes had been laid, and which rendered it necessary to have further power placed in their hands.

Mr. McCarthy asked if this work of taking up and laying down pipes, was to be done at the expense of these pillars of the city.

Mr. Morgan said he wished to know whether the money for these pipes, and trips, and all such apparatus, was to be paid out of the city treasury. If so, the investigation was about to cost more than it would come to, and he wished to know with a golden rule.

Mr. Morgan said the Committee did not intend to charge anything for their services. They only wished to have expense paid. The only expense so far had been fifteen dollars, while the amount allowed to be overcharged would be about \$2,000.

Mr. Potts said the Committee should be