

CITY AND SUBURBAN.

THE GAZETTE is furnished in the city six days of the week for 15 cents per week; by mail, \$3 per annum: 3 mos., \$2.

Corn.—The corn throughout the country looks well and the prospect for a good crop is excellent.

Personal.—Major A. M. Brown and family leave to-day for Long Branch to spend the summer.

Oats.—The recent rains have beaten down oats considerably and the harvesting of them will be somewhat difficult.

We call the attention of our coalmen to the advertisement of proposals for coal, for the Harrison Gas Works, in today's paper, on fifth page.

West East.—Hon. Thomas A. Scott, President of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, passed through the city yesterday noon en route from Fort Wayne to Philadelphia.

A KILLING THREAT.—James L. Davis yesterday, struck John Trainor in the face and threatened to kill him, according to Trainor's statement. Justice Hesel, of East Birmingham, issued a warrant for the arrest of Davis for assault and battery.

Accident.—Mr. John Barclay, living near Neshoburn, recently had both feet badly injured by a mowing machine. Mr. Lin had stopped and before the knife making some repairs about the machine, when the horse started and his feet was caught by the knife.

Fell from a Window.—Tuesday evening a little child about two years old, whose parents reside on West street, in the Third ward, Allegheny, fell from a second story window in the house to the pavement below, suffering injuries which it is feared may prove fatal.

Amused at Neshoburn.—Gottlieb Weyland complained before Justice Hiesel yesterday that Henry Wimmell annoyed him and the entire neighborhood by bad language and loud talking. Henry was arrested but released on promising to desist from such practices in the future.

Harvest Home Pic-Nic.—After having a convention at the Court House next Wednesday afternoon. The primary meetings will be held on Saturday afternoon to elect delegates. A grand convention will be held on Sunday, the 30th inst., at the Court House. The forementioned "youth and beauty" need do anything by halves, and a good time may be expected.

Temperance Convention.—The Temperance men throughout the county will hold a convention at the Court House next Wednesday afternoon. The primary meetings will be held on Saturday afternoon to elect delegates. A grand convention will be held on Sunday, the 30th inst., at the Court House.

Job Office for Sale.—Elsewhere is advertised a convention at the Court House next Wednesday afternoon. The primary meetings will be held on Saturday afternoon to elect delegates. A grand convention will be held on Sunday, the 30th inst., at the Court House.

Released on Bail.—This young man arrested for forging his father's name to a check, by which he procured \$300, the circumstances of which appeared in yesterday's paper, has subsequently been brought before the Mayor upon an information made against him by the cashier of the bank, and released on giving bail for a hearing, his father giving the required security.

The Victims.—Street Commissioner Madden yesterday discovered in his district a dozen canines, whose domestic tendencies had been suddenly and fatally interfered with. They were gently removed to their last resting place, and the aged agents of Justice Hiesel's office yesterday morning, which had been suddenly and fatally interfered with, they were gently removed to their last resting place, and the aged agents of Justice Hiesel's office yesterday morning, which had been suddenly and fatally interfered with.

Woman's Rights.—Mrs. Mary Marks of East Birmingham, is a firm advocate of woman's rights, among which, according to her husband's statements, she includes the right to get drunk, abuse him and refuse to cook his meals. This was the complaint made against her at Justice Hiesel's office yesterday morning, which had been suddenly and fatally interfered with.

A Misunderstanding.—Julius Prazor, an East Birmingham, clothing, caused the arrest of Jacob Baker, a tailor in the same locality, for false pretenses, the offense consisting, in obtaining \$250 and agreeing to work it out, in pursuance of which six pairs of pants were given him, which he refused to return. At Justice Hiesel's office, the matter was suddenly and fatally interfered with.

A Drenching.—Water in its proper place is sometimes very needful and refreshing, but not so when applied in the manner it was by Ralph Buckley, yesterday, who evinced a reckless disregard of Mary Sunkin's feelings by drenching her with cold water. Mary resented the insult by lodging information before Alderman Taylor against Ralph for assault and battery, which caused a warrant for his arrest to be issued.

Teachers Elected.—The Directors of the S. Clair Schools elected the following teachers for the ensuing year: Manor Street Schools, Birmingham—Principal, Mr. J. M. Cook; Assistant, Miss Mary Roth. Allegheny—Principal, Mr. J. A. Logan; Assistant, Miss Alice McMullen. Logan Oliver—Principal, Mr. J. K. Baine; Assistant, Miss Mary Bartholomew. West Liberty—Principal, Mr. C. T. McCord; Assistant, Miss Francis Sawyer. Williamsburg—Miss Lizzie Hettler.

Narrow Escape.—George Trese, a child aged about four years, residing in the Sixth ward, Allegheny, yesterday escaped to cross a passenger car, which was coming toward the city, but failed to move fast enough and would have been run over but for the exertions of officer Shaefer, who succeeded in jerking him out of the way, not a second too soon. The officer's promptness and presence of mind alone saved the child's life, and deserve praise worthy mention.

Interesting Conversation.—Biddy Jordan and Fannie Carroll entertained themselves by a neighborly tea-table yesterday, in which each labored to prove that the other was possessed of certain characteristics and peculiarities, the effect of which removed them several degrees from propriety. Falling to arrive at any mutually amicable conclusion, they laid the matter before Alderman Butler, whose magistracy and analytical mind will be engaged to-morrow in deciding the case. The cases before him in the shape of cross suits for disorderly conduct.

OHIO PRESBYTERY.

TRIAL OF REV. S. P. LINN.—THE TESTIMONY. SECOND DAY—MORNING SESSION.

The Court assembled at half past nine o'clock yesterday morning, and was opened with prayer by the Moderator, Rev. W. W. Garrison.

The minutes of the preceding session were read and approved.

The Clerk moved that an additional Clerk be appointed to transcribe the testimony, and nominated Rev. Mr. Risher, who was duly elected.

Mr. McKinney said that before proceeding with the case he desired to state to the Court that there was some evidence which had been ruled out at a previous session that the prosecution was desirous to have before the Court. He referred to the testimony of Mr. Hopkins as to what occurred in his family.

The Moderator held that hearsay evidence could not be admitted.

Dr. McKinney was of opinion that the word knowledge was not to be understood in its common acceptance of personal knowledge, but that anything that might be known by hearsay or otherwise might be given in evidence.

The Moderator overruled the motion to receive the testimony of Mr. Hopkins.

The Court then proceeded with the case and the following witnesses were examined.

Mr. Thomas Tabor sworn, I am an elder in the Westminster Church, Cleveland.

The session of that church reconstituted with Mr. Linn as pastor, and Mr. Linn admitted his indiscretions and promised to avoid a repetition of them.

There was a petition signed by several members of the church about that time. Mr. Linn was retained as pastor. The persons signing the petition were not against the older members of the church.

The petitioner was most influential in having it signed, was one of the main pillars of the church, and was a member of the congregation and was most influential in having it signed.

The prosecution proposed to prove by this witness the action of the session in Mr. Linn's case at Cleveland.

The defense objected on the ground that the session had not a record of its proceedings, and that such record being in existence was the best evidence of the action of the session. Objection sustained.

The prosecutor modified his question and asked: Did the members of the session request Mr. Linn to resign?

Answer—They did not. Mr. Hopkins, the deacon, requested him to resign without the aid of the Court.

Do not know that Mr. Linn promised to keep that request secret, or that during the latter part of his ministry some of the older members of the church desired that Mr. Linn should resign.

Mr. McKinney took occasion here to call the attention of the Court to the fact that the witness testified to and consequently to the benefit of all his testimony. He said it evinced the strong desire of the prosecution to get out the truth in this matter.

Mr. McKinney inquired of Mrs. Grundy that his wife's name was not what it should be.

The Moderator overruled the motion.

Mr. McKinney said that he did not desire to be considered captious, or he would appeal from the decision of the Moderator, and called to the attention of the General Assembly. It was clearly contrary to all precedent.

Dr. Marshall, of the prosecuting committee, desired to address the Court on the question. He objected to the manner in which the case was conducted. He referred to the bickering between the prosecuting attorney and the Moderator.

Moderator.—The Moderator will not submit to this any longer. If the gentleman is not satisfied he should take an appeal.

Mr. McKinney, (interrupting the Moderator) I protest against his taking an appeal. I protest against his taking an appeal. I protest against his taking an appeal.

Mr. McKinney—What was his influence for evil?

Answer—It was not wide spread; it extended to two or three.

Witness—No, it was not wide spread.

Mr. McKinney—Yes, not wide spread. There was influence for evil.

Cross-examined.—The defendant was not placed on trial for the indiscretions referred to he had a hearing, made a lengthy defense, and promised to reform in the future.

By Dr. Jennings—What time was it when Mr. Linn was reconstituted with?

Witness—Think it was in February, 1866.

By Dr. Martin—Did these indiscretions come under your own observation?

Witness—Never saw any of them. They were such as to affect his standing, and as to become a by-word.

By Mr. Linn—Did you see they were merely rumors.

Witness—They were rumors; were wide spread.

Dr. McKaig—What was this congregational meeting?

Witness—There were some twenty persons present, none of whom were females. (Letter dated November 13, 1866, shown and identified.)

It was suggested that all letters identified should be placed in the hands of the court and should be considered as sealed until called for. The suggestion was adopted.

The testimony of the witness was then read by the Clerk. It occurred the following passages which were not heard by the reporter.

Witness—Never saw Mr. Linn's wife a girl that was his general reputation; he was a respectable man and I thought he was called the "Kissing Preacher" of Westminster.

The testimony was revised and then approved by the witness.

Mr. Geo. H. Lyman, sworn—I was an Elder at Westminster. The members of the session reconstituted with Mr. Linn for his conduct, but not as a session. Mr. Linn admitted some indiscretions and promised in general that they would not occur likely to occur again. The discharge occurred afterwards to my personal knowledge.

Some time after the trouble arose I withdrew from the Church.

Mr. McKinney—Why did you withdraw from the church?

Question—Objected to by defense.

Question—Did families or individuals withdraw from the church a consequence of Mr. Linn's conduct toward young ladies?

Answer—My family did; another family after the knowledge of these indiscretions on account of them; other families left, but I am unable to state for what reason. I have no personal knowledge of the circulation of a petition asking Mr. Linn to remain as pastor of the congregation.

The session thought best to go out and talk with certain members of the congregation and settle the disturbance arising in the congregation; there was an informal meeting of the session and afterwards a meeting of the session and trustees, a portion of them, at which a private letter was written to Mr. Linn to resign.

Before the paper was completed I left. I never saw the paper and do not know what it contained. Mr. Linn was not present at that meeting. It was known that it would be a private communication. I do not know whether Mr. Linn promised to keep the matter a secret.

I cannot say what influence Mr. Linn's conduct had in the Sabbath School. In the congregation there were no objections to the church about that time. My family withdrew from the church. I do not know that Mr. Lyman remained in the church after that time.

By the Court—Some of the members who withdrew returned after Mr. Linn left the church. I retired from the church at the present time. Representations made against Mr. Linn.

Direct—I do not know anything definite about Mr. Linn's general reputation in the church about that time, as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Hopkins, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

Mr. Linn, recalled—I do not know anything about Mr. Linn acknowledging to have preached one of Dr. Campbell's sermons, and claiming it as his own. Mr. Linn was not forbidden to enter any of the houses of the congregation.

to Mr. Linn to preach outside of the bounds of the Presbytery. Had there been charges tabulated against him, he would have needed clear papers. The charges were not tabulated because it was thought they could not be prosecuted to a successful termination. Nothing was said formally about preferring charges against Mr. Linn at Presbytery.

The testimony was read to the witness, and after a great deal of revision and correction was approved by the witness.

Mr. McKinney desired to know whether a member of the Court could be called upon to testify in an instance, as there were several witnesses whose names had not been entered upon the copy of the presentment handed to the respondent.

The Moderator decided that notwithstanding his ruling of yesterday he could not admit the testimony of any witness whose name did not appear on the papers served upon Mr. Linn.

Rev. S. S. Scott, sworn—I am in a position to know the general reputation of Mr. Linn in the circle of my acquaintances; that reputation has been increasing bad within the last few years in regard to the charges—that is, in truthfulness, carelessness and unministerial propriety.

I have recommended Mr. Linn to several churches within the last year; have invited him to preach for me; recommended him to the Theological Seminary, where he had been teaching. I have been teaching in the Theological Seminary for one year. I never told any one that he had anything against him before the session of the Seminary. His reputation was not entirely good previous to that time, as I had heard of improper reports that were made about him. I had no direct or personal knowledge of his misconduct on the part of Mr. Linn.

Direct.—About the time that the article on the Seminary was published, those rumors became flagrant.

Cross-examined.—It was after that time that you were in the office of the Seminary. (By a member of the Court) Did you say those rumors were public or flagrant?

Answer—I said flagrant; I did not know that they were in existence before that time save by vague rumor.

Rev. Wm. Jewell, sworn—I am in a situation to know the general reputation of Mr. Linn; I have not regarded it good since I have known him; have known him about two years; refer to his indiscretions with young ladies. I have heard reports damaging to his reputation in other localities.

Direct.—I have heard nothing except the rumors coming from Cleveland.

Read and approved.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Dr. McKinney, the prosecutor, desired to know if the defense would waive the legal objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

Mr. McKaig said that he was surprised to hear Dr. McKinney ask the court to waive the objection and allow Mr. Marshall to examine the witness according to the order of the Court.

A Lawyer Arrested and a Policeman in Trouble.

Tuesday afternoon the monotony of police circles in Allegheny was somewhat broken by an arrest which created some excitement at the time, and is likely to result in more of it. The party arrested was a well known lawyer of this city, who got upon one of the cars of the Manchester Passenger Railway, to ride to Allegheny. On the way over, so the allegations are, he gave up his seat to some ladies and stood in the doorway of the car. The conductor says he requested him to either go inside and stand or come out on the platform, as he was blocking up the door much to the annoyance of the passengers inside. This demand, it is alleged, was rudely repelled by the passenger, who claimed that he had a right to a seat, and if not getting it, to stand where he pleased. The altercation continued all the way over, and became such a character, it is alleged by the conductor, that he was requested by one or two persons in the car to have the unruly one put out. This was not attempted, however, and after a noisy and quiet, when opposite the Allegheny Mayor's office the conductor called a policeman and directed him to arrest the passenger, who accordingly was taken into the Mayor's office and locked up until the arrival of Mayor Burrell. The Mayor, upon ascertaining the facts, promptly ordered his release. The lawyer, as he retired, threatened to bring suit against the policeman for false imprisonment, as he had made the arrest without a warrant. Yesterday he lodged information against him for assault and battery before Alderman Strain, upon which the accused was arrested and required to give bail for a hearing.

Pertaining to Horses.

The business of buying, selling and trading in horses and other things pertaining thereto has become very extended in this vicinity in the last few years, and the demand for a first class man, for the proper conduct of the trade, has been a want which horsemen have often desired filled. Heretofore they have been compelled to go to distant places, where they have been subjected to considerable inconvenience besides loss of time. Hence they will be pleased to learn that the business of buying and selling horses and other things pertaining thereto has become very extended in this vicinity in the last few years, and the demand for a first class man, for the proper conduct of the trade, has been a want which horsemen have often desired filled.

It is said that the proprietors of the celebrated PLANTATION BITTERS rent no less than nine pews from the different denominations in New York city for all those of their employees who will occupy them regularly, free of charge. This is certainly preposterous, and it is to be hoped that others who employ a large number of people will follow the example. The above fact, accompanied with the belief that a firm which would look so closely after the morals and welfare of their employees, would not undertake to impose upon the public, has induced us to give the PLANTATION BITTERS a trial, and having found them all they were represented, we cordially recommend them as a tonic of rare merit. Observer, July 15.