Pittsburgh Gazette.

ESTABLISHED, A. D. 1786. PUBLISHED, A. D. 1860.

R. BRETT & CO.,
EDITORS AND PROPRIETORS.

PITTSBURGH:
WEDNESDAY MORNING, SEPT. 12, 1860.

FOR PRESIDENT,
ABRAHAM LINCOLN,
OF ILLINOIS.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT,
HANNIBAL HAMLIN,
OF MAINE.

Electors at Large.

HON. JAMES POLLOCK.
HON. THOMAS M. HOWE.

1 Michael C. Knight,	14 Francis B. Pennaman,
2 Robert P. King,	14 Ulysses Mercur,
3 Henry Souser,	15 George Brenner,
4 Robert M. Frost,	15 A. R. Sharpe,
5 Rudolph Hiller,	17 Daniel O. Sadler
6 Thomas M. Gunning,	17 Richard Gordon,
7 James W. Foley,	19 Elias Cowan,
8 Levi R. Smith,	20 William McKennan,
9 Francis W. Christ,	21 John M. Kirkpatrick,
10 David Hamme, jr.,	22 James Kerr,
11 David Taggart,	23 Richard P. Roberts,
12 Thomas K. Hall,	24 Henry Souther,
	25 John Greer.

FOR GOVERNOR,
HON. ANDREW G. CURTIN,
OF CENTRE COUNTY.

FOR CONGRESS—XXIst DISTRICT,
JAMES K. MOORHEAD.

FOR CONGRESS—XXIIst DISTRICT.
ROBERT McKNIGHT.

Assembly.
KENNEDY MARSHALL, Pittsburgh.
THOMAS WILLIAMS, Allegheny.
CHARLES M. GORDON, Allegheny.
WILLIAM DUGLAN, Elizabeth.
ALEXANDER H. BURKE, Finley.
Clerk of the Courts.
WILLIAM A. HARBON, Pitt.
Register.
WILLIAM S. RICHARDSON, Temperanceville.
Recorder.
JONATHAN P. ROSS, Allegheny.
Commissioner.
GEORGE HAMILTON, Pittsburgh.
Auditor.
BENNETT LAKE, Elizabeth.
Surveyor.
C. McGOWIN, Pittsburgh.
Director of the Poor.
HENRY CHALFANT, Wilkins.

"Enterprise" sends us an account about a subject upon which we agree with it in the main; but if he had called for it sooner, instead of writing an article that could have informed him of the means and not of enterprise.

...ON THE TARIFF.

Douglas has addressed Pennsylvania audiences, on the Tariff, expressed a warm friendship for it, which neglected in which he asserts has been the negro question, in consequence of the agitation of the slave question. He has failed, as brought about by that this same "agitation" the Missouri lost his personal exertions, on all occasions, restriction, and frauds, and consequently been an advocate and friend of protection. In ...ly opposed to it, with an effrontery that his speech at is parallel, he said:

will seek in vain has always prevented

"The negro in the matter. It was in Congress free at the close of each session variably to be attended to for want of time. that it can bill was the last bill in each Thus the ... A session loss on a new session of year. ... followed as a necessary consequence, order to keep the wheels of government in motion. * * * Pennsylvania has a great interest in the revenue question, and he is glad that protection to American industry has been forwarded by a revenue tariff. The Democratic party is pledged to raise that tariff by a tariff, which is sufficient to defray the expenses of an economical administration of the government, and in that way furnish such protection to American industry as a revenue tariff may afford."

The audacity of the foregoing declaration can only be properly appreciated by those who have made themselves familiar with the Congressional votes and speeches of the Senator from Illinois, and who have watched the proceedings of the Conventions of the party which he represents. From Mr. Douglas' entrance into Congress up to the late session he has either voted against a protective tariff or has refused to vote at all. Seventeen years ago he made his first record on this question, when in December, 1843, he voted for a resolution of Mr. Rhett, of South Carolina, to repeal the tariff of 1842. A year later—on third of January, 1844—he again voted for the same resolution. On the 8th of March, 1844, he voted for McKay's bill to alter the act of 1842, which proposed to reduce the duties on all descriptions of iron largely, and on railroad iron from $20 to $10 per ton, and on coal from $1 75 to $1 per ton. On the 14th of April, 1846, he voted for the tariff bill repealing the act of 1842, and upon every stage of it, including the final passage, where his name is recorded in the affirmative. On the 28th of February, 1853, he voted for the repeal of the duties on railroad iron. On the 10th of April, 1854, a bill was offered in the Senate to give a credit of five years on foreign railroad iron, allowing bonds to be issued for the duties. He proposed a substitute, to suspend the duty entirely until the 1st of July, 1857: that is, to admit it free over three years. He voted for the tariff of 1857, through all its stages and in all its obnoxious forms.

Senator Douglas, in the extract from his speech which we have quoted, asserts that the tariff was introduced at the close of the session, and was lost because it could not be attended to for want of time. In this statement he is not sustained by the record. The Morrill bill passed the House of Representatives, last session, on the 10th of May, and was sent immediately to the Senate for consideration. To that body it was referred to the Committee on Finance, composed of a majority of Democrats, who did not report it until the 18th of June. A motion was then made to postpone the consideration of the bill, a vote upon which was regarded as a test, and it prevailed—Yeas, 25; Nays 28. *Mr. Douglas dodged this vote*, and his friend and follower, Mr. Pugh, of Ohio, voted in favor of the postponement. Had both voted in the negative, the measure would have become a law. Now let us compare this record with Mr. Douglas' professions on the "stump" in Pennsylvania, and we can see how vile demogogue he is.

Mr. Douglas has also asserted that the Democratic party is pledged to raise a revenue by a tariff, sufficient to defray expenses, &c. Where can this "pledge" be found? Not in the platforms of either wing of the party, as he must be is aware. At Charleston a resolution on the subject was laughed down in the Convention, and it was not revived at Richmond or Baltimore.

PROOF OF THE CHARGE.—The Douglas papers are just now using all their powers of persuasion upon the old line Whigs. They profess to think Henry Clay a demi-god, and the old Clay men as the only true patriots. It may be imagined, therefore, that the publication of the following extract from a speech of Douglas, at Carrollton, Ill., in 1844, does not please them. Referring to Henry Clay, he said:

"I denounced him in the Congress of the United States, and I now denounce him as a traitor—AN OLD BLACK HEARTED TRAITOR—the first American statesman who ever attempted to *sell his country for British gold.*"

The Douglas papers, of course, pronounce this a forgery, and denounce it as a wilful fabrication, and all that; but the proof of its genuineness is clear.

The language was used in a discussion between Douglas and D. M. Woodson, who were both running for Congress, the one as the Democratic and the other as the Whig candidate; and Mr. Woodson says that he recollects the use of the language in that discussion.

A number of Douglas Democrats, neighbors of Mr. Woodson, recently addressed him a note, enclosing the above extract, and asking if Mr. Douglas really *did* make use of such language in that debate. He answers thus:

"I have no such distinct recollection of the language used by Judge Douglas on the occasion referred to, in the speech made by him at Carrollton, at the close of the canvass between him and myself, as would justify me in giving it as yet. As you do not, however, confine your inquiry to that particular time, but direct it to any other during the canvass, *I must in candor say that* I DO RECOLLECT THAT JUDGE DOUGLAS USED *on that or some other occasion*, or occasions, during the canvass, SUBSTANTIALLY THE LANGUAGE ATTRIBUTED TO HIM."

The charge of treason against Mr. Clay thus freely indulged in by Mr. Douglas, was based upon the Oregon line. Mr. Woodson further says:

"During that canvass, one of the leading topics of discussion was the Oregon question. The whole of Oregon, up to the line of 54 degrees 40 minutes, was insisted upon by the leaders of the Democratic party, of whom was Judge Douglas; and in discussing question IT WAS HIS HABIT TO DEFAME MR. CLAY for the part he took as a Southern State, under Mr. Adams, in the effort during that Administration, to settle the boundary between the United States and Britain. Mr. Clay, whilst Secretary of State, in the attempted adjustment of the question had proposed to settle the dispute under Oregon, upon the parallel of 49 degrees reference latitude, as had been previously done to the (which was subsequently adopted under Mr. Monroe's Administration of Mr. Adams) being as an ultimatum, to settle the question in the line of Mr. Clay's course, and his instead of insisting upon 54 deg. 40 min. Mr. Polk's Administration, and CLAY AS A TRAITOR, which was up to 54 deg. 40 TRAITOR TO HIS COUNTRY, *and as the only man, who was willing to yield up any of our territory, he insisted we had not.*"

A GREAT FACT.—In the year 1844, the State of Pennsylvania was flourishing under the influence of her basis of protection. Her industry was prosperous and happy. Her people were satisfied with her prosperity as she had been for years, and with the Tariff of 1842, which decided the State, life long Protectionists, Henry Clay, irritably supporters of the Tariff of 1842, which decided that stood James K. Polk and Dallas for President and Vice President. The Whig candidates were, therefore, Clay and Frelinghuysen, both declared friends, life long Protectionists, and