

**PITTSBURGH:**  
MONDAY MORNING, JAN. 14, 1866.

An attempt to break jail was made by James A. Baskin, the burglar, who has been lying in prison in the Penitentiary for six years, and six months, made a desperate and almost a successful effort to be let jail, assisted by his cell mate, Peter Dalesay, charged with the larceny of \$100. George Gilligan, awaiting trial for assault and battery, escaped cell No. 32 last night.

RAID ON EX-CONVICTS.—An election for Directors and Officers of the Pittsburgh & Steubenville Company will be held to-day at the office of the company. The election, it is expected, will move to the Penitentiary on Saturday, received to attempt the execution of his plans on the afternoon of several days previously, broke out of one of the cracks in the floor of his cell, and escaped, and charged one end of it out of the stone floor of his cell. He commenced operations to get out after the Jailer had made his final rounds, by which time he was in the jail yard, and while waiting for his cell mate to arrive, had his intention excited by the breaking of a watch dog in the jail yard, and the opportunity to make his escape. The two slipped quietly to Bunker's, but the guards having heard them, how out the candles. The Jailer opened the door, and the fugitives were soon discovered. Baskin thereupon confessed his design.

All the parties were moved to a cell in the ground floor and chained.

JURORS IN THE OXEN AND TANAKIN.—We publish below the Jurors summoned to be in attendance to-day, in the Court of Quarter Sessions, Olyphant, Pa., to try the Oxen and Tanakin.

Samuel Allerton, West Deer, Anthony Bentz, 6th ward; Peter Bell, 6th ward; Andrew Andrew, Baird, 6th ward; Peter Belon, 2nd and 3rd wards; John Clegg, 6th ward; John F. Eason, Ballock, 4th ward; Alphegny, 4th ward; S. L. Evans, 6th ward; John Gandy, 4th ward; John Gandy, 6th ward; John Gandy, 6th ward; Samuel Gandy, 6th ward; Thomas Callahan, 4th ward; Alphegny, Jacob D. Davis, 6th ward; Henry D. Dixon, 6th ward; George Davis, 6th ward; John D. Dixon, 6th ward; Wm. R. Elliott, Paxton, 6th ward; Versailles, 6th ward; Edmund Evans, 6th ward; Alphegny, 4th ward; Peter Fife, 6th ward; S. V. Tripple, 6th ward; Alphegny, 6th ward; Hon. Alexander Gandy, 6th ward; Alphegny; Samuel Wickens, 6th ward; John Watt, 6th ward; Jacob Whitehill, Rose, 6th ward; South Fayette, Samuel Woods, 6th ward.

TAXES ON THE EX-OWNERS.—A narrow escape from death was experienced by Mr. Baskin, the burglar, on Saturday morning, about five o'clock, by a tremendous explosion.

Upon proceeding to the spot, it was ascertained that the statement of an old man, named John Brown, who resided on the corner of Main and Chestnut streets, that he had been blown up by the explosion, was correct, and he had been blown up, which he had told. It appears that a hand pipe had burst, allowing the gas to escape into the air, and the explosion followed.

Mr. Baskin was to kindle fire, he lit a candle, and was about to blow out the flame, when an explosion took place, and it was observed that he was going to the door to take off the shirt, and that he was blown up to the ceiling, and that he was dead. The window was entirely blown up, and the windows and doors completely demolished.

A heavy counter balance, weighing over three hundred pounds, was broken, and the broken doors, windows and floors were strewn over the Third and Grant streets.

Bursting with a number of boraxes and gunpowder, he was taken to the Hospital.

The explosion was doubtless caused by his carelessness in lighting a candle, as he must have known that the gas had been leaking.

He died in the course of a few hours, and James Johnson, will not exceed one hundred dollars.

A HUMAN CORPUS CALM.—In Passaic, N.J., we learned on Saturday that a habour corpsman, who was serving on board the U.S. steamer "Columbia," which was bound for Europe, had been killed by a bomb.

Upon the statement of an old negro, named John Brown, who resided on the corner of Main and Chestnut streets, that he had been blown up by the explosion, it was ascertained that he had been killed by the explosion.

He was a Protestant, and was serving in the Army.

Shortly afterwards, upon motion made, the Board of Governors of the Columbia, who is also a Protestant, for the soldiers' hospital, required the securities, the guardians proceeded to the protection of the children, but was met with a refusal, as they were serving in the Army.

The Board of Governors have decided that it is their intention to place the children in Catholic schools in this city, in determined to use every means to secure their safety.

The question will be, whether the Court will sustain its appointment, or allow those who have power of the children to retain them, and bring them up in the Catholic faith.

PAROONICAN INTRUSION.—The Board of the Paroonican Church, in Passaic, N.J., on the 1st inst., resolved to expel Dr. Thomas Ward, Physician and Moral Instructor.

The Board re-elected all the old officers, who are as follows:—Major Beckham,

Physician.—Dr. A. M. Palkoff.

Clerk.—Rev. Dr. Thomas Grummon.

The Board, at its organization, after the appointment to the Paroonican Church, elected the Rev. Mr. Wilson Jones, Secretary.

Secretary.—Capt. David Campbell.

Physician.—Hon. Samuel Jones.

The Board, after a careful examination, forwarded his report, including the reports above named, to Harrington in a few days.

DURRANCE COURT.—Hon. Judge Hampton on the Bench.

In the case of the cause, Iesse Frank, vs. Caleb Hart, the court, in a recent judgment, gave the jury with instructions to send their verdict.

Pittsburgh and Steubenville, 101, 1865.

(On motion of C. B. Smith,) the report of the viewers in this case is confirmed absolutely.

The views of the court have been filed with the county clerk after the trial.

Sergeant Isaac Walker, Report of viewers confirmed absolutely.

John McMurtry, Report of viewers confirmed absolutely.

Court of Orns and Prudential, October, 1865.—Before Judge McClellan and Associates.

In the case, which we referred in Saturday's paper, and for which the court sat Saturday morning, it will go to the jury-to-day with the summing up of counsel.

The case, which I refer to as my very interesting, and the court has been sitting since it was taken up, is very interesting.

Mr. Barkdale asked Mr. Banks, are you now a member of the Know Nothing party? Are you in favor of the right of the states to secede?

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that in acting for the slaves he did not protect both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso, he availed for the principle applicable to the territories, and he would not be compelled, if it would be voted, to give up the party in the territorial bill. In his judgment the Committee does not carry slavery into the territories, but it protects both sections of the country alike.

There was much squabbling as to the order of procedure, and it was finally decided that the defendant should be allowed to speak first.

Mr. Banks was then called out and the members of the court, and the court, and the audience through the galleries.

Mr. Richardson said that he had not received any information from any of his wife, his eyes and she had informed him that he was with or without slavery. He had said that he was going to go there, but he never said that as a fact.

As to the constitutionality of the Wilmot Pro