

Scranton Tribune.

THE ONLY SCRANTON PAPER RECEIVING THE COMPLETE NEWS SERVICE OF THE ASSOCIATED PRESS, THE GREATEST NEWS AGENCY IN THE WORLD.

TWO CENTS.

SCRANTON, PA., THURSDAY MORNING, NOVEMBER 14, 1901.

TWO CENTS.

RATHBUN'S ADMISSION

The Insurance Swindler Tell a New Story Which Brings His Wife in the Case

NOT IN GOODMAN PLOT

He insists That His Wife Had No Knowledge of the Contemplated Scheme to Make Away with the Man Rathbun Is Accused of Having Murdered—One of the Perplexing Features.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Louisville, Ky., Nov. 13.—(Newell C. Rathbun, who was arrested here on suspicion of having caused the death of Charles Goodman, who was found dead in a hotel in this city last Thursday, death having resulted, it is supposed, from laudanum poisoning, is tonight in the Clark county, Indiana, jail on a charge of murder. Under instructions from the war department at Washington, Rathbun, who is a deserter from the United States army, was this evening turned over to the Indiana authorities and taken across the river.

Rathbun told the Louisville police today that his wife at Little Rock had known of his plan to defend the insurance company, though not of the murder feature of it.

Other features of the case today were the decision of the authorities to have the body of the supposed Goodman returned to Jeffersonville from Little Rock for an autopsy and identification, and the refusal of the local authorities to send Rathbun to Little Rock to testify at the coroner's inquest over the corpse that was shipped there.

In the jail at Jeffersonville tonight Rathbun was asked whether his wife at Little Rock was implicated in the plot to collect the insurance. After several moments silence he replied: "No, there was nobody in it at Little Rock."

A telegram has been received from the chief of police of Evansville, Ind., stating that Goodman was a resident of "Little Rock, Ark., where he was a brass worker. When asked for his opinion as to the claim made by Mrs. Rathbun that the corpse shipped to Little Rock was that of her husband, Rathbun laughed and remarked: "Maybe it is his corpse. This is all he would say on the subject."

A Jeffersonville druggist close to the undertaking establishment of E. M. "oots & Sons was in the morgue when the alleged discovery was made that Goodman's stomach contained laudanum. The stomach was unrecognizable, he insisted. Coroner E. M. Coats walked into the room and instantly said: "Laudanum. Open the windows and doors."

Dr. Goodale says he will swear laudanum was in Goodman's stomach in a large quantity.

The Louisville police today began sending all over the United States photographs and a description of Rathbun in an effort to positively identify him.

One of the most perplexing features of the case as it now presents itself to the police is in regard to the real identity of the prisoner here. That he has been passing himself over the country as Lieutenant Newell C. Rathbun, that he was married in Little Rock under that name, and that he was insured for \$4,000 against to be certain, but the detectives are convinced that it is an alias, and that he has never told his real name or his real home.

Line Identification.
Little Rock, Nov. 13.—In order to establish the identity of the man under arrest at Louisville, Ky., and said to be Newell C. Rathbun, the Louisville police today sent a telegram to Louisville, accompanied by Emil T. Cleecker, who knows Rathbun well. Today Coroner Young took testimony touching the identity of the dead body sent here as that of Rathbun. Charles McKee, who was a sister of Rathbun's wife, testified that he was well acquainted with Rathbun. He had looked at the body and thought that it was undoubtedly that of Rathbun. Witness received the remains at the depot Sunday morning and had them conveyed to his home on Third and Cross streets. When the coffin was opened Rathbun's wife viewed the remains and said: "That's my darling."

The first impression he had that anything was wrong with Mrs. J. C. Watkins came to his house Sunday and after looking at the body said it was not that of Rathbun. Chris Elkins testified that he knew the man who was here as recruiting sergeant and who gave the name of Rathbun. He voted some resemblance, but could not set aside positively whether the body was Rathbun's or not.

William O'Connell testified that there might be a slight resemblance between Rathbun and the corpse but he was positive the corpse was not that of Rathbun.

Durand Whipple testified positively that the dead body here was not that of Rathbun.

Rathbun's wife did not appear before the coroner's jury today in response to the summons served upon her. She has been in a condition of nervous prostration for several days. The taking of further testimony was postponed until tomorrow.

An Appeal for Help.
By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Cumbersburg, Md., Nov. 13.—A cold driving rain today added to the discomfort of the thousands of people who are homeless by the fire at Thomas, W. Va., yesterday. Mayor Jones has sent out an appeal for aid and Governor White has been telegraphed for help.

Pennsylvania Pensions.
By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Washington, Nov. 13.—Fred Stodolger, of Wilkes-Barre, has been granted a pension of \$5.

PHILADELPHIA'S OFFICIAL COUNT

G. Harry Davis Elected Judge Over Henry Budd.

Philadelphia, Nov. 13.—The official count of last Tuesday's election in Philadelphia county, which was completed today reveals the fact that G. Harry Davis (Rep.), one of the candidates on the Union party ticket, for judge of common pleas court, No. 5, was elected over Henry Budd (Dem.) his colleague on the Union ticket. The unofficial figures last week apparently showed Budd by about 400 plurality, but the official count shows Davis to have received 195 votes more than Budd. At the recent election three judges were to be elected for court No. 5, each elector being entitled to vote for two candidates, thus assuring the majority party representation in the court.

The three present members of the court who were appointed by Governor Stone after the legislature had created the new tribunal were candidates for reelection. Judges J. Willis Martin and Robert B. Haddock on the regular Republican ticket and Maxwell Stevenson of the regular Democratic ticket. The Union party named Davis and Budd in the field. Martin and Haddock were elected by large majorities and Davis and Budd each received much larger vote than Stevenson.

THE TRAIN ROBBERS ARE INDICTED
Harry Longbaugh and Laura Bullion Are Each Held on 17 Counts by St. Louis Grand Jury.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

St. Louis, Nov. 13.—Charles H. Smith and William O'Neill, express messengers, who were charged with the robbery of the Great Northern flyer that was held up last July near Wagner, Mont., arrived here today and identified the suspect under arrest as Harry Longbaugh, the man who held up the train and took the lead in intimidating the train crew and passengers. The express company's safe, from which nearly \$10,000 in unassigned bills of the National bank of Helena were stolen.

O'Neill says that Longbaugh is the man who climbed over the tender and held up the train. He and himself, and then attempted the blowing up of the safe. His recollection of the episode was so vivid that he could describe the two robbers that the robber used. The police say they are the same weapons that were found on the prisoner when he was arrested.

Smith and O'Neill came to St. Louis accompanied by D. P. Elliott, general manager of the Great Northern Express company in whose care the bank notes were when stolen.

The federal grand jury today returned indictments against Harry Longbaugh, alias "John Arnold," the mysterious Montana train robber suspect and his companion, Laura Bullion. Each was indicted on seventeen separate counts.

Longbaugh did not think when the identification was made. He merely looked at the men and smiled contemptuously. Chief Desmond asked Longbaugh his real name.

"I will talk when the time comes," was the reply, and not another word could the chief get out of the stubborn prisoner. Since this last evidence has turned up it may be deemed best to take Longbaugh to Montana for trial, where the offense of train robbing is punishable by death.

TURKEY SETTLES WITH AUSTRIA-HUNGARY
The Porte Agrees to Pay \$90,000 Francs to Armenian Victims and by Adjusting Wrongs.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Vienna, Nov. 13.—The numerous grievances of Austria-Hungary against Turkey have been settled by the agreement of the Porte to pay \$90,000 francs to the Armenian victims and by adjusting, financially and otherwise, the wrongs arising from eight other matters of dispute. These include the claims of the Oriental Railway company.

It was the purpose of Austria-Hungary to adopt effective measures had the Porte not yielded.

BOERS NOT YET FOR PEACE.
Envoys Wolmarans Say Reports of Negotiations Are Absurd.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Berlin, Nov. 13.—A. D. W. Wolmarans, the Boer envoy, now at Amsterdam, declared today that the reports of negotiations between the Boers and British are unfounded.

"So long," says Wolmarans, "as the British military maintains the attitude of defiance, and Chamberlain's and Lord Salisbury's last speeches, the question of peace cannot be entertained. The idea of the cessation of the gold fields is absurd."

Snow Storms in Maine.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Bangor, Me., Nov. 13.—The snow storm which began in a mild way at Bangor today developed in strength during the night and today dealt with all the force of a midwinter down fall. Reports from Bangor state that sections of snow had fallen here and about a foot along the main line of the Bangor and Aroostook railroad.

Score of the Pittsburgh "Peds."

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Pittsburgh, Nov. 13.—The score at midnight of the ten leaders in the walking mile race at Carnegie, Pa., today, was: Winner, 25:45; runner-up, 25:45; third, 25:45; fourth, 25:45; fifth, 25:45; sixth, 25:45; seventh, 25:45; eighth, 25:45; ninth, 25:45; tenth, 25:45.

RAILROAD SITUATION

The Control of the Northern Pacific Appears to Have Been Agreed to.

PREFERRED STOCK TO BE RETIRED IN 1902

Funds Necessary for Retirement of Stock Should Be Obtained by the Sale of \$75,000,000 of Four Per Cent. Bonds—Number of Roads Will Be Controlled by the Northern Securities Company.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Trenton, N. J., Nov. 13.—The Northern Securities company, capital, \$100,000,000, was incorporated at noon today. The company is formed to acquire and deal in stocks and securities of corporations. The filing fee of \$50,000 was paid, the check being drawn on the Northern Securities company, who are interested to be identified with the company.

The directors are George F. Baker, Jr., of 228 Madison avenue, New York; Richard Trimble, No. 52 East Twenty-fifth street, New York; and Abram M. Hyatt of Allentown, N. J.

"The certificate of incorporation was filed by the New York law firm of Stetson, Jennings & Russell. It is understood that the company is formed for the purpose of carrying out a plan of consolidation of some western railroads and interests, including the Northern Pacific. The powers conferred upon the company by the articles of incorporation include these:

"To acquire by purchase, subscription or otherwise and to hold as investment any bonds or securities of any corporation or any share of capital stock created or issued by any other corporation or corporations, association or associations of the state of New Jersey, or any other state, territory or country, and with power thereof to exercise all the rights, powers and privileges of such ownership."

The capital stock of the company is divided into 1,000,000 shares of \$100 each, all of which is to be common stock.

Important Developments.
New York, Nov. 13.—There were two important developments in the railroad situation in the Northwest, and both tended to confirm the existing belief that a final basis of settlement of the contest for the control of Northern Pacific had been definitely agreed to. Final ratification of this agreement will bring into existence the greatest and most effective example of the company of interest in the railroad industry.

The first of today's developments was the incorporation at Trenton, N. J., of the Northern Securities company with a capital stock of \$100,000,000 and the second was the unanimous adoption by the Northern Securities directors here of a resolution providing for the retirement of par of the company's preferred stock on January 1, 1902.

There was a full attendance at the meeting at which this action was taken, those present including George F. Baker and Charles H. Smith, representing J. P. Morgan & Co., E. H. Harriman of the Harriman syndicate, which is in control of Union Pacific, James J. Hill, president of the Great Northern; Samuel Rea, vice-president of the Pennsylvania; James Stillman, of the National City; and Mark T. Rydman, for the Vanderbilts and William Rockefeller.

The directors decided that the funds necessary for the retirement of the preferred stock should be obtained by the sale of not less than par of \$75,000,000 of four per cent. bonds convertible into common stock, each holder of common stock now outstanding being entitled to purchase at par an amount equal to 75 per cent of the amount of his present holdings. It was further provided that the bonds of one per cent each be paid on the preferred stock before its retirement.

Samuel Spencer was elected a member of the board in place of Robert Rison, resigned, and the board organized by re-electing all of the company's present officers.

No Official Statement.
No official statement was obtainable this afternoon as to the scope of the newly-incorporated Northern Securities company, but it was generally understood that the company was formed to take over and control the shares of the Northern Pacific and the Great Northern and Chicago and Northwestern. The Great Northern's outstanding capital of \$125,000,000 par value, selling at 220 in the market, while Northern Pacific's total outstanding stock after the retirement of the preferred, will be \$39,000,000 par value, making a total of \$265,000,000 par value for the shares of the two companies. At 220 for Chicago Northern, the amount would be increased to \$330,000,000 for the shares of the two companies.

Burlington shares, it is understood, will not be turned over to the new Northern Securities company. That stock is now held as collateral for the outstanding Burlington collateral bonds, with voting rights vested in the Northern Pacific and the Great Northern railroads.

It is understood that Union Pacific and Chicago and Northwestern representatives agreed to retirement of Northern Pacific preferred and that Union Pacific will have a heavy interest in the capital of the new company, considerably beyond the par value of their

BULGARIA'S ATTITUDE

Disclaims Any Intention of Interfering with Work of Mr. Dickinson.

MISS STONE'S FATE IN DOUBT

Rumors That She Has Already Been Murdered—Mr. Dickinson Besieged by Bulgarians Who Desire to Sell News Concerning the Captives. American Board of Missions Makes Explanation.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Sofia, Bulgaria, Nov. 13.—The Bulgarian officials disclaim any intention to interfere with Consul General Dickinson's private negotiations for the release of Miss Ellen M. Stone from the hands of the brigands, but they decline to become a party to an agreement sanctioning brigands or the emissaries of brigands coming into Bulgarian territory to treat for the ransom of persons captured in a neighboring state. In defense of the interests of Bulgaria, the officials cannot show weakness towards the brigands or repudiate responsibility for the consequences. The government would, however, respect subject to certain conditions, safe conducts granted by Mr. Dickinson personally to private emissaries.

It is suggested that Mr. Dickinson give the brigands a fixed time in which to accept the amount offered and the conditions of ransom as the only means of bringing the matter to a speedy conclusion, inasmuch as the prestige of the United States is injured by the present inconsequent negotiations.

Yesterday a day passed without persons calling at the hotel where Mr. Dickinson and the newspaper correspondents are staying with information to sell regarding the captives. This information is either stale or fabrications. A Greek priest was introduced yesterday.

KENTUCKY AGITATORS BECOME TURBULENT

They Object to the Advice of Ex-Congressman Breckinridge on the Strike Question.

By Exclusive Wire from The Associated Press.

Lexington, Ky., Nov. 13.—After a turbulent meeting, the Kentucky Federation of Labor adopted resolutions today, denouncing the speech of Ex-Congressman Breckinridge for sentiments expressed last night, expelling from the Federation and convention and denouncing Vice President P. C. Leaning, of the Typographical union, who arranged the meeting and called and calling another meeting for Thursday night at which the views of the dissatisfied delegates may be expressed publicly. During the day and preceding the adoption of the resolutions, the question of adjourning to another city to conclude the labor was considered, but defeated. Colonel Breckinridge's speech was considered courteous and in the vein of a supplemental welcome to that of the mayor. Colonel Breckinridge said he was the friend of labor organizations; that he heartily favored the organization of labor, but that he thought that labor should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation of personal liberty, forced and should be fought with reason and not with force; that the day of strikes was over, citing and criticizing the big steel strike. He said further that he did not believe it conducive to the best interest of labor, but unjust and was an abrogation