

## The Scranton Tribune

Published Daily, Except Sunday, by The Tribune Publishing Company, at Fifty Cents a Month.

LIVY R. RICHARD, Editor.  
O. F. BYBIE, Business Manager.New York Office: 150 Nassau St.  
S. S. VIERLAND,  
Sole Agent for Foreign Advertising.

Entered at the Postoffice at Scranton, Pa., as Second-Class Mail Matter.

When space will permit, The Tribune is always glad to print short letters from its friends on current topics, but its rule is that these must be signed, for publication, by the writer's real name, and the condition precedent to acceptance is that all contributions shall be subject to editorial revision.

SCRANTON, OCTOBER 4, 1900.

## REPUBLICAN NOMINATIONS.

**National.**  
President—WILLIAM MCKINLEY.  
Vice-President—THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

**State.**  
Congressman-at-Large—GALUSHA A. GROW.  
ROBERT H. FOERSTER.  
Auditor General—E. D. HARDENBERGH.

**County.**  
Congress—WILLIAM CONNELL.  
Judge—GEORGE M. WATSON.  
Sheriff—JOHN H. FELLOWS.  
Treasurer—J. A. SCRANTON.  
District Attorney—WILLIAM R. LEWIS.  
Prothonotary—JOHN COPELAND.  
Clerk of Courts—THOMAS P. DANIELS.  
Recorder of Deeds—EDMUND ROSS.  
Register of Wills—W. K. BECK.  
Jury Commissioner—EDWARD B. STURGES.

**Legislature.**  
First District—THOMAS J. REYNOLDS.  
Second District—JOHN SCHUBERT.  
Third District—EDWARD JAMES, JR.  
Fourth District—P. A. PHILBIN.

"If there is any one who believes the gold standard is a good thing, or that it must be maintained, I warn him not to cast his vote for me, because I promise him it will not be maintained in this country longer than I am able to get rid of it."—William Jennings Bryan in a Speech at Knoxville, Tenn., Delivered Sept. 16, 1896.

"The party stands where it did in 1896 on the money question."—William Jennings Bryan, Zanesville, O., September 4, 1900.

## The Republican Nominee for Sheriff.

FROM THE standpoint of party harmony, it must be regarded as an auspicious circumstance that the Republicans of Lackawanna county in the present contest entered the lists of battle with columns unbroken. The ticket named at the recent primaries, by the free and fair expression of the party will, brings together all elements and former factions, and the union is a genuine one founded on party loyalty and cemented by self-interest. The interest of one becomes the concern of all, and unitedly the advance is made upon the common enemy.

Prominent on this ticket is found the name of John H. Fellows, the people's nominee for the office of sheriff. The sheriff's office is a citadel of political power. Toward its capture the Democracy is bending and will bend every possible energy. Republicans are summoned to resist with proportionate power. The outcome, with respect to this office, means more to them than the success or defeat of any individual. Its retention means party advantage held and preserved; its loss would mean the indefensible multiplication of future political handicaps.

But, aside from any abstract question of party strategy, the nominee this year is one whom all Republicans may well work for on his own account. He is a man of personality, strong and vigorous, thorough, his career in public life, Mr. Fellows has been the indomitable and tireless worker and a man battling for what he believed to be right; a friend retaining the confidence of his friends, and a foe whose hostility is to be feared. These characteristics signify an uncommon man, and they account for the fact that among those prominent in the circles of Lackawanna Republicanism he has managed to retain a following sturdy and loyal, and has compelled frank recognition.

The office of sheriff is one at all times of responsibility. Occasions frequently arise which make its responsibilities great. In view of this fact it becomes a proper subject of inquiry, whether the man who aspires to perform its functions possesses the courage, the nerve, and the practical common sense essential to a successful fulfillment of its obligations. Those acquainted with the fearless administration of public affairs given by Mr. Fellows as mayor of the city of Scranton do not need to be told that John H. Fellows possesses these qualities, and that when elected sheriff he will discharge his obligations without fear or favor.

Senator Hill's persistence in clinging to the repudiated Dred Scott decision as a political argument, is another evidence that the Sage of Wolfert's Roost is very much out of date.

## Spencer's Stump Candidacy.

THE ANNOUNCEMENT is confirmed that Frank M. Spencer, lately defeated at the party primaries, has filed papers for an independent candidacy for congress. There is no reason to believe that this action reflects the advice of Mr. Spencer's genuine friends, or that it typifies an expectation of election. On the contrary, Mr. Spencer has repeatedly, in private conversation, while this move was under contemplation, defined his purpose to be one of personal spite toward William Connell. "I do not want to be elected," he said recently, "but I do want to lick 'Bill' Connell."

The animus of this desire traces back to Mr. Spencer's defeat for the nomination for sheriff three years ago, and that defeat needed justification. The name is amply supplied in Mr. Spencer's subsequent exhibitions. But he is mistaken if he thinks that an independent candidacy on his part for congress will in the slightest degree tend to spite or annoy William Connell. Mr. Connell's position in this matter is that the Republican party needs his vote in congress fully as much as he desires the personal satisfaction

resulting from a re-election. It is to the party, not the individual, to whom Mr. Spencer is striking. It is the party which, if injury is done, will be the victim of it.

Whether the Republican nominee shall be elected or defeated is personally a matter of minor importance; but it is a matter of the most vital importance that this great industrial district should be represented in the national congress by a man in full sympathy with the admirable policies of the McKinley administration; on terms of fellowship with the leaders of legislation; and sufficiently familiar with the ways and means of congressional activity to accomplish for his constituents those things which fall within the congressman's sphere of duty.

Let Mr. Spencer go ahead with his stump candidacy if he so desires. It will afford him entertainment and occupation. But let no Republican with an interest in the welfare of his party, and with an appreciation of the needs of his country, be deceived into aiding this artifice of personal ill will.

College attendance reflects the business prosperity or misfortune of the people; because when the people are poor their sons and daughters forego higher education. It is therefore interesting to note that this fall's enrollments at our leading universities are almost without exception the largest in their history. College men are satisfied with McKinley times. They do not hanker after Bryanism's uncertainties.

## The Individual Operators.

"WHAT," asks an esteemed contemporary, "are the individual operators going to do? The big companies have offered an advance in wages, with arbitration of other points at issue. Will the smaller companies and firms do likewise?"

The individual operators, it is fair to assume, will pay the wages that will prevail in the anthracite region after this strike is over and they will as a class of men treat their employees as well as any employees are treated in the coal mining business. Here and there you may find a mean man among the individual operators, the same as among other groups of men; but on the whole they are men of honest purpose and good intentions, many of whom have worked up from humble beginnings, know from experience what the miner's lot is and what he has to contend with, and are quick to remedy an honest grievance or to extend favor to an honest workman. If the judgment of these men had from the first been consulted by the powers that be in the coal business there would have been no disastrous strike, with its frightful drain upon all forms of business, but instead real grievances would have been adjusted and agitation would have been deprived of the food upon which it feeds.

The individual operators, having no big railroads behind them to make up on freight charges for losses in mining, are not in position yet to compete with the big companies in the matter of enigmatic promises. Yet they live near their mines, spend their money in the communities where their workmen live, court the respect of public opinion right where public opinion has the chance to size them up as they are, and from all this it is reasonable to infer that they will not fail to deal justly with their men when the time comes for the clearing up of this unhappy muddle. The individual operators are not likely to submit in patience to being clubbed to death. They are not likely to acquiesce without a struggle in any programme which aims to precipitate them into business ruin. They have a right to live, to breathe and to make some return on capital invested. They will, we doubt not, yet find a means of securing this right.

But in the meantime those of their honest and efficient employees now on strike reluctantly who are experiencing anxiety as to the future can rest assured that so far as it is in the power of the individual operators to untangle this situation on a basis of equity it will be untangled. They did not make the strike. They did not make the conditions back of the strike. But they are going to look out for their own future, rest assured of that, and as a consequence of this they will do what they can for the men whom they expect, after the clouds roll by, again to employ.

"For the last four years we have been confronted by some of the greatest problems that can ever be presented to a people, and Mr. McKinley has done nothing whatever that has not clearly been first demanded by the people. Mr. McKinley is precisely the last man in the world who has the imperial quality. Mr. Bryan is far and away more the sort of stuff out of which dictators are made. Mr. McKinley is a listener; Mr. Bryan is a talker. Mr. McKinley is interested in the opinions of others; Mr. Bryan in his alone. For Mr. Bryan the dictates of history and the decrees of science are as nothing compared with his own judgment and the dictates of his own will. He has all the qualities of self-reliant fanaticism; and he is absolutely without experience in the treatment of international affairs."—William M. Ivins, in the Sun.

Candidate Woolley insists that his theories upon the army canteen are of more consequence than the experience of officers and physicians in the service who have thoroughly investigated the subject. It is to be regretted that the representative of the Prohibition party should have also adopted the Democratic policy of conducting a campaign of wild assertion against sober fact.

Hawaii seems to experience no difficulty in becoming rapidly Americanized. With three political parties already in the field there is no reason why the coming election should not be an up-to-date affair in the land where people as a rule are supposed to take life too easy to worry about politics.

The factory inspector's report indicates that 448,000 more persons have been employed during the year ending July 31, 1900, than in 1899. Bryan

orators will deserve the sympathy of the public in their efforts to make this report appear in the light of a calamity.

It is not probable that President Kruger will visit America at this stage of the game. The present lack of interest in South African affairs would make it difficult for Oom Paul to secure a hearing even if preceded by an active campaign and a distribution of lithographs.

Augustus Van Wyck, brother of Bob, the mayor of New York, still holds \$75,000 of the stock of the New York Ice trust, and yet it is said that his own applause was the most vociferous when the anti-trust resolution was read in the recent Saratoga convention.

Mr. Corbett announces that he is "not going to say a thing." But for the suspicion caused by Mr. Bryan's dismal failure to keep a like good resolution made some time ago, this statement would be received with pleasure.

Mr. Bryan's desire to give the Philippines an independent government and then protect them from outside interference without expense and without an army looks a good deal like faith cure applied to diplomacy.

Do not forget the pertinent question of Senator Carter, of Montana, who asks "if the tariff is the mother of trusts, what is the duty on ice?"

The United States needs armor plate and it should proceed to supply its needs. Economy is desirable, but price is secondary.

## An Analysis of Bryan's Oratory

William M. Reddy, in the St. Louis Mirror.

THE PEOPLE have a curiosity to hear Mr. Bryan. They have a curiosity to hear him, because he has the reputation of an orator. They do not care for what he says, so much as for how he says it. They like the man. There is no denying that they have an affection for his personality, for the physical charm of him, for some pleasant memory or instinct or subtle possession he arouses in them. And they care for him, unless I am wrong, as they care for a popular actor or a preacher who has a fine delivery. They care for his personality, for his sensuous, emotional effect upon them more than they are concerned with his character. They do not regard him as great, as they did in 1896. They like him. In a general way they agree with him; they love to hear him assail the things which are. They believe that things could be different, but they seem to feel and know, somehow, that what he promises is a pretty dream—like the new Jerusalem of the prophet on the Pinnacle of the poets of old time.

The interest in Mr. Bryan is a manifestation of a popular phase of the romantic school, of troubadour singing men songs that make them sorry for themselves, picturing them the possibilities of transforming the world into a place where their ideal is realized. But they know that the ideal is not realizable, at least not here, in this world. They delight to hear him assail those who are mighty and in high places, to hear him fighting dragons or scorpions, but, somehow, in a dim sort of way they realize that the mighty and the strong in high places are only made ogres and ogres are giants by the force of the imagination. The ogres are in reality harmless against a spell of the popular will that will protect the people. The people say he fights a gallant battle, but it is like the battle of the little David against the Goliath. The fight is a fight for the hero to win. The villains are not villains after all. They are painted as such to throw forward the hero.

I should rank Mr. Bryan with John Drew, Hackett, Faversham, Henry Miller. Mr. Bryan is a manifestation of the romantic school in politics. In another way Colonel Roosevelt is a manifestation of the same thing, but Colonel Roosevelt has a strong flavor of the practical. He poses, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is not so much a theorist, though not cynicism, familiarity with history, the world is all new to Mr. Bryan. To still fair and good, but he is not so much a theorist. He lacks Mr. Bryan's blandness of idealism, because he has rubbed up harder against the realities of life. He is