

Clear's BAKING POWDER. Manufactured originally by Cleveland Baking Powder Co., New York. has been used by American housewives for twenty-five years, and those who have used it longest praise it most.

Norman & Moore FIRE INSURANCE, 120 Wyoming Ave.

BIG BARGAINS IN SHOES. RUSSET SHOES AT COST AT THE COMMONWEALTH SHOE STORE Washington Avenue.

YOUR LINEN LOOKS RIGHT FEELS RIGHT WEARS RIGHT WHEN LAUNDRIED

THE LACKAWANNA

THANKSGIVING

Should See Your House Properly Draped, but to get

ARTISTIC STYLES GOODS DESIGNS WORK

And at the Lowest Possible Prices You Must Go to

WILLIAMS & M'ANULTY 127 WYOMING AVENUE.

CITY NOTES.

The Scranton Bicycle club will tonight give a smoker for its members and friends. In the matter of the lunacy of John J. Peck court yesterday granted a rule...

Executions for \$1,641.21 in each case were carried against the Lackawanna Valley Rapid Transit company and the Carbonade and Forest City Passenger Railway company yesterday at the instance of Jackson & Sharp Co., of Detroit, Mich.

Members of the Elm Park Methodist Episcopal Sunday school, with some of their friends, entertained at the home of Professor Whitmore and Mrs. Vail, will give a cantata entitled, "Visitors from All Nations," in the lecture room of the church this evening.

A meeting of the Scranton Rowing association was called last night for the purpose of electing officers for the ensuing club year, but the election was postponed until two weeks from last night, when a specially appointed nomination committee will make its report.

A suit was yesterday begun in the office of Probationary Officer against John F. Atkinson and Bridget O'Connell, his wife. The plaintiff is the Safety Investment and Loan company, of Syracuse, N. Y.

The defendant defaulted in the payment of instalments due on a mortgage of \$50 held by the company. George D. Taylor is the plaintiff's attorney.

Street Commissioner Kinsey has a gang of men at work repairing the pave on Wyoming avenue, between Pine and Olive streets, which was broken by a settling.

Mark Connors, of Gibson street, who demolished his household furniture and carried his family to the county jail yesterday sent up to the county jail by Alderman Millar in default of \$300 bond.

"Through the Coal Regions with a Kodak" is the title of a stereopticon lecture to be given tonight at the Beacon church by Albert E. Sloan, a draughtsman in the office of the Dickson Manufacturing company. The views are breakers, interior and exterior, and of the surroundings, and shows the processes of mining and shipping.

Are You Interested. We are showing some very fine selections in Stamps, Albums, Cards, Posters, Dolls, Pillow Shams, Sofa Pillows, Table Covers and Scarfs in great variety. MEARS & HAGEN.

PERSONAL. Attorney Hunting C. Jessup, of Montrose, was in the city yesterday.

R. A. Kingsbury, who was seriously ill for a time, has so far recovered as to be at his office again.

Judge E. N. Willard has returned from Philadelphia, where he attended the sessions of the Superior court.

Attorney J. G. Rhodes was summoned to New York yesterday on important business. He will return Sunday.

Deputy Register of Wills James H. Hopkins is home from Pittsburg, where he had been in attendance at United States court.

James F. Doyle, day clerk of the St. Charles hotel, is on a week's vacation. He will visit New York city and Buffalo and is expected to return to Scranton Sunday.

James E. Gerrity and Miss Mary E. Keating were married at St. Peter's cathedral Wednesday afternoon by Rev. J. A. O'Reilly. Miss Veronica McEveden was bridesmaid and Patrick Brown groomsmen.

Mr. and Mrs. Moses Brown celebrated the twenty-fifth anniversary of their wedding at their home, 20 Madison avenue, Wednesday evening. A number of their friends attended and Mr. and Mrs. Brown were remembered with many valuable gifts.

The following Scrantonians went to Philadelphia last night to attend the international convention of the Railroad and Marine Engineers' association of the city.

NICHOLS' CASE AGAIN

Attorney Vosburg Alleges that Register Hopkins Erred.

RECORD WAS NOT COPIED RIGHT

The Record Judge Archbald Was Guided by Its Different to the True Record in the Register's Office, It is What Mr. Vosburg Says.

The end is not yet in the famous Nichols' will contest. On Monday, Nov. 11, Judge Archbald handed down an opinion which dismissed the appeal of the petitioner, James Nichols, son of Sarah Nichols, the decedent.

Attorney A. S. Vosburg, representing the appellant, came to court yesterday afternoon and filed a petition for a citation to issue to Register of Wills W. B. Hopkins directing him to copy the record in the case.

The latter made out a copy of the record, merely copied it from the books, for the court to review it and found an opinion in the case which differed from the one in the Nichols' will contest.

MR. DIMMICK CHOSEN. Had No Opposition for First Lieutenant of Company A.

At an election held by Company A. Thirteenth regiment, last night to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of First Lieutenant H. R. Cox to regimental inspector of rifle practice Second Lieutenant James O. Dimmick was chosen first lieutenant and First Sergeant Samuel S. Derman was chosen second lieutenant.

MR. SKINNER'S NEW PLAY. Received Its First Scranton Production at the Academy Last Night.

Otis Skinner presented his new play, "Villon, the Vagabond," for the first time in this city at the Academy of Music last evening.

MOSS ROSE SOCIAL CLUB. Opened Its Season at Raub's Hall on Wednesday Evening.

The Moss Rose Social club held their opening social Wednesday evening in Raub's hall.

HEIST AND THE CHAMPION. Won the First Prize in the Pool Tournament at Keogh's.

M. M. Heistand, of Wilkes-Barre, won the championship in the pool tournament at Jerome R. Keogh's parlors.

BIBLE SOCIETY MEETING. Anniversary of Lackawanna Organization Will Be Celebrated Sunday.

The thirty-ninth anniversary of the Lackawanna Bible society will be held in the Green Ridge Presbyterian church on Sunday evening, November 20.

FOR PROPERTIES SOLD. Acknowledgement of Sheriff's Deeds in Court Yesterday.

Sheriff Clemons yesterday certified to the sale of the following properties and the deeds were acknowledged in open court.

TWO CHURCH SUPPERS. Good Things Served by the Women of Two Large Congregations.

The Ladies' Social Union of the Penn Avenue Baptist church last night served their first supper of the season.

GOOD PERFORMANCE. Given by the London Belles Company at Davis' Theater.

Many things new to the patrons of Davis' theater were introduced at the show given yesterday afternoon by the London Belles company.

CUT DOWN THE FENCE. Tenant Takes Undue Liberties With His Landlord's Property.

Jesto Rodriguez owns the new double house at 45 and 47 Kellum court, and one of his tenants, Charles G. Taylor, Landlord and tenant had several little difficulties of late and on the whole have not been living as good neighbors.

ON MR. ROCHE'S POINTS

City Solicitor Torrey Submits An Opinion in the Turpike Case.

CANNOT ASSESS PROPERTIES

The Record Judge Archbald Was Guided by Its Different to the True Record in the Register's Office, It is What Mr. Vosburg Says.

After making a thorough investigation of the advisability of putting West Market street in repair, Messrs. Roche and Chittenden, of the streets and bridges committee, submitted lengthy written reports embracing all the various phases of the case and bringing into question four legal points which were referred to City Solicitor Torrey for an opinion.

The board of health is conducted by Charles W. Haines, who came here with the bridge builders. The J. W. Peck estate owns the building. Neither the occupants nor the owners sought to have the case removed here.

At 11:30 o'clock the fire again broke out. Some sparks got between the partitions and after the firemen departed blazed up and caused another alarm to be sent in from the fire station.

In responding to the second alarm one of the Hook and Ladder company's men appeared for the first time since the death of Penn avenue, in front of Zenke's hotel.

Turning into Penn avenue Driver Cobbin noticed that the horse was laming and he immediately flagged out and attributing it to the fact that two runs had been made with scarcely any rest, thought nothing of it.

As to encroachment on the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

ON MR. ROCHE'S POINTS

City Solicitor Torrey Submits An Opinion in the Turpike Case.

CANNOT ASSESS PROPERTIES

The Record Judge Archbald Was Guided by Its Different to the True Record in the Register's Office, It is What Mr. Vosburg Says.

After making a thorough investigation of the advisability of putting West Market street in repair, Messrs. Roche and Chittenden, of the streets and bridges committee, submitted lengthy written reports embracing all the various phases of the case and bringing into question four legal points which were referred to City Solicitor Torrey for an opinion.

The board of health is conducted by Charles W. Haines, who came here with the bridge builders. The J. W. Peck estate owns the building. Neither the occupants nor the owners sought to have the case removed here.

At 11:30 o'clock the fire again broke out. Some sparks got between the partitions and after the firemen departed blazed up and caused another alarm to be sent in from the fire station.

In responding to the second alarm one of the Hook and Ladder company's men appeared for the first time since the death of Penn avenue, in front of Zenke's hotel.

Turning into Penn avenue Driver Cobbin noticed that the horse was laming and he immediately flagged out and attributing it to the fact that two runs had been made with scarcely any rest, thought nothing of it.

As to encroachment on the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of abutting property holders, I should say that the city is not liable for the prevent encroachments or in the removal of obstructions, as to ascertain, definitely, the original location of the street, that we ascertained satisfactorily I am of opinion that all encroachments could be removed and the street widened to the original location, and it therefore becomes a question of fact in each case of alleged encroachment.

As to the question of the Road. Second—As to the question of encroachment for twenty-one years or more of