

Northman & Moore FIRE INSURANCE, 120 Wyoming Ave.

BIG BARGAINS IN SHOES RUSSET SHOES AT COST AT THE COMMONWEALTH SHOE STORE, Washington Avenue.

OUR WAGONS CALL Regularly in all parts of the city. Have the wagon drop a postal.

LACKAWANNA THE LAUNDRY, 306 Penn Ave. A. B. WARMAN.

SPECIAL

Before the arrival of our new Fall Curtains and Draperies we will sell all odd lots of one and two pairs of Lace and Heavy Curtains - at less than cost.

WILLIAMS & McANULTY 127 WYOMING AVENUE.

CITY NOTES.

The Delaware and Hudson Canal company's employees at the Conyngham and Jermyn mines were paid yesterday.

Street Commissioner Kinaley yesterday continued the crusade against the blockading of sidewalks by merchants and marketmen.

Mayor Connell yesterday approved of Councilman Morris' ordinance for a pipe drain on Nay Ave avenue, leading to the Lackawanna river.

Two men who refused to pay their fare on a Delaware and Hudson train at Green Ridge were arrested by Officer J. D. Thomas and locked upon the charge of trespassing.

The funeral of Jonathan Cordaux will occur this afternoon from his late residence on Madison avenue, above Olive, at 2:30 o'clock. Interment will be made in Forest Hill cemetery.

Marriage licenses were yesterday granted by Deputy Clerk of the Courts Emil R. Bonn to the following: Archie Davidson and Catherine Mangan, of Peckville; Isaac Burros, of Carbondale, and Bertha Stein, of Scranton.

Tomorrow afternoon the room of the Lackawanna Institute of History and Science, at the court house, will be open to the public at from 2 until 5 o'clock, and on Wednesday and Saturday afternoons hereafter at the same hours.

For the accommodation of those taking advantage of the Central Railroad of New Jersey's excursion to Long Branch, Ocean Grove and Asbury Park, tomorrow morning a lunch car will be attached to the special train on which the excursionists will leave at 8 o'clock. There will also be a parlor car on the train.

BICYCLIST RUN DOWN.

The Accident Occurred at Washington Avenue and Spruce Street.

Cabby "Jack" Neala was going north on Washington avenue yesterday morning with a stylish turnout and William Schaffer, proprietor of the Bell Clothing house, was going east on Spruce street on a bicycle. Both had gained good headway when they arrived at the intersection of Washington avenue and Spruce street, and as both got there at the same time, the result was a collision.

The bicyclist was knocked down and trampled upon by the prancing steed, but the only evidence of any injury he received was a bruise about the left eye. His body was severely hurt, however, from the hoofs of the animal.

The bicycle did not figure in the accident and no damage was done to it. Mr. Schaffer went into a nearby drug store and had his face treated with lotions and a small piece of plaster, after which he rode away on his wheel apparently none the worse for his meeting with two streets cross.

HIGHEST OF THE YEAR.

One Hundred and Seventy-six Deaths in the Month of July.

July is generally a record breaking month in the mortality reports, and the last month was no exception to the rule. There were 176 deaths in all in this city; 109 were under 5 years of age, and 78 under 1 year of age.

There were 159 births, 93 males and 66 females.

Ocean Grove, Asbury Park and Long Branch Tomorrow via Central R. R. of N. J.

The excursion to the above popular summer resorts over the Jersey Central tomorrow will, no doubt, be a big affair. The tickets, which are good until Monday, are only \$2.50 for the round trip. The railroad company has spared no trouble to make the trip a pleasant one, and have decided to attach a lunch and parlor car to the excursion train, which leaves the West Lackawanna avenue station at 8 a. m. tomorrow morning.

Taylor Line Will Open Soon.

The Taylor line will be opened to Readham in three or four days, making that road a mile longer. The Elyon car will then run through. The fare from Readham to the city line will be 5 cents. As soon as practicable the connecting strip of road necessary to complete the road to Durva will be accomplished and thus form a continuation of electric road from Forest City to Nanticoke.

Via Lehigh Valley Railroad.

A special excursion to Coney Island, Saturday, Aug. 18, via New York and Ironbound Line. Only \$2.50 for the round trip from Scranton. Tickets good for return on any train to and including Monday, the 19th. Train leaves D. & H. depot at 7:45 a. m.

Grand Picnic.

Do not fail to attend the picnic and clam bake to be given by the Electric Engine company, No. 4, D. F. D., at Wabler's grove Saturday forenoon and evening, Aug. 17. Music will be furnished by a first-class orchestra. Admission free.

For a 50c smoke the Pocono leads.

CHIEF WAS TURNED DOWN

Common Council Takes Sides with the Crystals.

WHOLE DAY OF DISCUSSION

Joint Fire Department Committee's Resolution of the Afternoon Killed by Common Council in the Evening - Time Extended for Collecting Taxes.

Last night common council virtually reversed the position it took in the Crystal Fire Engine company matter at its previous meeting, when it refused to pass an ordinance providing for the purchase of the apparatus owned by the company and in use in the engine house.

Yesterday afternoon a meeting extraordinary of the joint fire department committee was held in Mayor Connell's office to take some action on the conduct of the Crystals during the past week, when they removed their personal belongings out of the house and thereby greatly incapacitated themselves for active service. As a result of this meeting the following resolution was agreed upon:

Resolved, By the common council, the select council concurring, and it is hereby resolved by authority of the same, that the chief engineer of the fire department be, and hereby is instructed to notify the Crystal Fire Engine company to vacate its house, the city being desirous of obtaining possession of the premises. Be it further resolved, That the chief engineer of the fire department is instructed to appoint two men to do fire service there until such time as council can make provision by ordinance for the increase.

Mr. Robinson's Idea.

Robert Robinson, chairman of the common council fire department committee, was not of the same mind as his colleagues and as a minority report drew up the following:

The undersigned, a member of the fire department committee of city council of the city of Scranton, Pa., begs leave to report in the matter of removing of goods and chattels from Crystal Fire Engine company.

The said committee gave notice to the members of city council that they desired to withdraw their interest in the goods and chattels used by said company and offered to sell them at a reasonable price to the city. This they have a right beyond dispute to do. The council, after ample deliberation, introduced an ordinance providing for the purchase of said goods and chattels, which was passed at the present and that it would be attended to when the next annual appropriations were being made, the company would not have been offended, and such hasty action would not have been taken. As it stands the council are more to blame for its indiscretion than the company.

The goods and chattels now having been removed and that nothing can be said against the expediency of the company, and that the said company has always been reliable in service, I respectfully recommend that the chief of the fire department be instructed to purchase such goods and chattels as may be necessary for the use of said company and that no further notice be taken of this little difference of opinion regarding their action or rights.

Under the head of reports of committees Mr. Robinson offered both the resolution and his own minority report, but Chairman Grier decided that they would have to wait until new business was under consideration before they could be brought up.

When this order was reached Mr. Robinson presented the joint committee's resolution, but before his minority report could be put before the house the majority resolution was killed by such a decisive vote that he decided not to introduce it at all. The ordinance providing for the purchase of the Crystal's goods was called up by Mr. Sweeney after a motion had been carried to reconsider the action of the previous meeting, and a lengthy discussion followed the effort to pass it on third and final reading.

From Many Standpoints.

Mr. Morris wanted to defer final action on the ordinance until such time as a committee could examine the goods and decide whether or not they were worth the price asked for them, by the company. Mr. Sweeney believed that the proper city officials, as provided by the ordinance, were capable of determining that question and fought for immediate consideration of the measure.

Mr. Zedler, in whose ward the company was located, spoke in favor of referring action for a week or so, saying that he believed that the Crystal company intended to have a meeting to show their appreciation of the council's action, and felt assured that the city would receive a favorable proposition, and that "they are gentlemen."

Mr. Zedler, "and all they ask is to receive fair and gentlemanly treatment."

Captain Moir also asked that action be postponed and this was finally agreed upon. President Grier appointed a committee to examine the Crystal's paraphernalia, Messrs. Loftus, Moir and Robinson. At this juncture Mr. Sweeney suggested that the members of the Crystal company, with whom the gallery was filled, should be given a hearing, but owing to the lateness of the hour, and the fact of bustiness to be gone through with, this suggestion was not favorably received.

On motion of P. J. Neala, the Swetland street approach to the Linden street bridge passed third and final reading, Messrs Oliver and Seaman being the only men to vote in the negative.

Accompanying the auditing committee's report was an adverse recommendation on the bill of \$32.23 of Food Inspector Richard Thomas for the care and keeping of his horse. The negative report was adopted. A resolution was afterwards adopted directing the city clerk not to draw a warrant for this claim.

In response to the resolution directing the street commissioner to ascertain how many, if any, aliens were employed by contractors on city work, and also how much they were paying laborers, Mr. Kinaley reported that he had received no reports of aliens being employed and that the pay for laborers ranged from \$1.25 up.

Mr. Kinaley Has His Troubles.

Mr. Neala took exception to Mr. Kinaley's communication, stating that he had personal knowledge that reports of aliens being employed had been received by the street commissioner and furthermore the pay in some cases was as low as \$1.15 per day. Mr. Neala made a motion, which prevailed, that the street commissioner's communication be returned to him with instructions to follow out the provisions of the ordinance, with all possible accuracy.

Mr. Kinaley submitted other communications complaining of the condition of the Traction company's tracks in front of the old car barn on Mulberry street, on West Lackawanna avenue

hill, and on North Main avenue. He recommended that the tracks on Mulberry street and Lackawanna avenue be torn up and the company be directed to repair its tracks on North Main avenue. His recommendations were unanimously adopted.

A petition from property holders on Amelia, Grove and Meade street praying council to abate the nuisance caused by water being diverted onto those streets, causing a stagnant pool, was also presented by Street Commissioner Kinaley. Accompanying it he had a recommendation that as, in his opinion, the city was not liable for the damage, the property holders should be instructed to build a sewer. The communication was filed.

A communication was received from City Solicitor Torrey advising that the city solicitor be instructed to proceed in law to restrain William Bright and William Love from interfering with the sewer in Bright's alley, and that the city solicitor be empowered to give an indemnifying bond, which should determine that one was necessary. These recommendations were adopted. A resolution extending the time for the payment of city taxes, without penalty, until Dec. 1, 1895, was adopted.

Small Potatoes Methods.

The ordinance for paving Webster avenue, between Olive and Pine streets, was called up and amended by Mr. Keller and as it was about to go through on second reading several members from suburban wards sought to prevent the widening of the sidewalks and when they finally succeeded Mr. Keller, realizing that the property holders would not pay under those conditions, moved to indefinitely postpone. This they would not permit, however, and the ordinance went through on second reading.

The resolutions for opening Wyoming avenue for curb on Adams avenue and Mattes street, for a hydrant on Olyphant road; for the cases for the city treasurer's office; for the tearing down of the Platt homestead; for curb and gutter on Prospect avenue, between Beech and Birch streets, and for the awarding of the contract for sewerage for the city to Hart & Gibbons were resolved upon select council and concurred in.

The new measure approved of were: A resolution directing the chief engineer to make plans for a sewer in the alley between Washington and Wyoming avenues, extending between Marion and New York streets; a resolution directing the city clerk not to draw a warrant for the most serious disability of his horse, the same being in conflict with sections 61 and 62, page 111 of digest of ordinances; an ordinance providing for the construction of a lateral sewer on North Washington avenue, from the main sewer to the rear of the lot on southernly boundary line of the lot on tenth sewer district; a resolution permitting Michael Ludwig to connect his property with the Schultz court sewer; a resolution instructing the street commissioner to cover the brick pave on Franklin avenue with sand and ashes in order to prevent horses from slipping.

FOUR BIG SUITS.

Negligence of the Employees of the Traction Company is Alleged in Each Case.

Four suits, three against the Scranton Traction company and the other against a Carbondale Street Railway company, were instituted in the office of Prothonotary E. J. Grier yesterday morning by O'Brien & Kelley, attorneys representing the different plaintiffs.

The suits against the Scranton company are for \$10,000 each, and the one against the Carbondale company is for \$25,000.

The first suit is that of Patrick Mallia and his wife, Bridget. On July 8 last she was a passenger on a Providence car outward bound, and it stopped at Jones street in the North End to let her alight to go to her home. It is alleged that before she got to the ground the motorman started the car and she was pitched heavily to the flagstone sidewalk and sustained injuries which she believes cannot be compensated for less than the amount mentioned in the suit.

Patrick Kennedy, of the West Mountain, is the second plaintiff. He is obliged to carry a heavy load of lumber at the time he had to go around on crutches as the result of being thrown from a Luzerne street car on Aug. 2, 1894. He wants \$10,000. The third suit is that of Edward Thayne, who alleges he was injured about the back and legs in a collision last Saturday at 48th Street. The amount which he feels entitled to is \$10,000.

Carbondale Company Sued.

Richard J. Delevan and his wife, Mrs. Jennie Elizabeth Delevan, of Carbondale, are the plaintiffs in the suit against the Lackawanna Valley Rapid Traction company of that city. On July 5 last Mrs. Delevan took a street car to go from Providence to Carbondale. She changed at Peckville to the Carbondale line. At the White Bridge in Carbondale the car took the switch waiting for the downward car. A car passed a narrow gauge and the men in the north bound one left the switch. They hadn't gone far when another car was seen careering to the south. The motorman of the northern bound car was a green hand and he lost his head. Instead of turning off the current he turned it on full force. He knew enough, however, to jump, as also did the other motorman. The cars crashed and the cars on both were broken in. The car was open and Mrs. Delevan was thrown far out by the shock of the collision and into a car passing a narrow gauge and a projecting rod struck against a projecting rod. There was a severe injury to the chest bone as well as to the back and the spine. The result of the accident is that Mrs. Delevan is an invalid for life.

FOR THE TAX BOARD.

Providing for the Election and Compensation of Its Members.

While the common council was wrestling with the Crystal Engine company difficulty, the tax committee of select council was having a tussle with the board of pension and appeals measures, several of which were introduced in the last meeting of the upper branch and referred to this committee.

It was resolved to recommend that \$3 a day be fixed as the compensation for members of the board; that the elections be held in a joint convention of council Aug. 22, and that Mr. Roche's resolution making councilmen ineligible to membership on the board be reported favorably.

Royal Arrangement.

All members of Scranton council, No. 923, are requested to meet at their parlors this afternoon at one thirty (1.30) to attend the funeral of the late Mayor Cordaux. Members of other councils are invited to join with us.

E. P. Hod, Regent.

The Pocono cigars are the favorite.

APPEAL HAS BEEN TAKEN

Decision of Register Hopkins in Williams Will Contest Attacked.

COURT WILL SETTLE THE CASE

The Attorneys Yesterday Petitioned Court for a Citation to the Heirs to Show Cause Why the Will Should Not Be Set Aside.

Before the estate of Rachel Williams is settled the cost of litigation and lawyer fees bid fair to leave it almost valueless for the heirs who are squabbling over it.

Mrs. Williams died on April 7 of this year and whatever estate she was possessed of by Mrs. Mary J. Thompson, Mrs. Rachel A. Snow, Mrs. Elizabeth Beale, William Francis and James Edwards, her children. To Evan M. Francis, a son and heir-at-law, she did not leave anything.

Her will was made on June 14, 1884; it was probated on April 18, this year, and letters testamentary were granted on July 25, after hearing evidence before the register in reference to the striking off of the probate. Francis did not oppose the probate, but through his attorneys, Hulslander & Co., he filed a petition with Register of Wills W. S. Hopkins for a hearing to take testimony of witnesses, who, he alleged, would give evidence to prove that the testatrix when she made the will disinherited the petitioner was not of sound mind and disposing memory.

Register Hopkins favored the Heirs. It was brought out at the hearings, there having been several of them, that Mrs. Francis was unmarried when she made the will, but married afterward, and the petitioner contended that her marriage nullified the will. At length the register handed down his decision in favor of the heirs in the will, and against the petitioner.

The attorneys yesterday took an appeal to court from the decision, and a citation was directed to issue to Mary J. Thompson, Rachel A. Snow, William Francis, Elizabeth Beale, James Edwards, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the petitioner terms an alleged will, shall not be reversed and letters testamentary granted to the revocable testatrix, Edwarda, commanding them to appear in court Monday, Sept. 9, at 9 a. m., and show cause why the decision of Register Hopkins, in probating what the