

Cleveland's Baking Powder Pure Sure

Norman & Moore FIRE INSURANCE, 120 Wyoming Ave.

COMMONWEALTH SHOE STORE

LACKAWANNA THE LAUNDRY.

YOU

May need some of these goods. Carpets, Draperies, Wall Paper, Window Shades, Linoleum, Oil Cloth, China Matting, Rugs, Sweepers, Bamboo Porch Shades

WILLIAMS & McANULTY 127 WYOMING AVENUE.

\$5 REWARD!

So many complaints of irregular delivery have reached us, which we have traced to stolen papers, that we now offer \$5 reward for information that will lead to the conviction of any person caught stealing The Tribune from the doorsteps of regular subscribers.

CITY NOTES.

Pay your gas bills today and save the discount. A marriage license was granted yesterday to Frank O'Brien and Sadie Murray, of this city. The encampment of the Third brigade, National Guard of Pennsylvania, will be held at Mt. Gretna Aug. 2 to 10. An alarm of fire sounded from box 71, Providence, at 11:30 o'clock yesterday morning, proved to be a false alarm. The installation of the officers of Dieu le Veut commandery at Wilkes-Barre on Monday evening will be attended by the Scranton Knights Templar. Stella Sanford, of Raymond court, who attempted to commit suicide by taking a dose of laudanum, was discharged from the Lackawanna hospital last night. William Flynn will celebrate the third anniversary of his conversion at the Rescue mission tonight, when a general invitation is extended to all friends to be present. An entertainment and social was held by members of the Acme Literary club at St. John's hall, Fine Brook, last evening, and was largely attended by the members and friends. The members of every fire department in Lackawanna county are requested to appear in fatigue uniform with cap at the Frothingham on Wednesday, April 24, the occasion being "Firemen's Day." There will be a special meeting of Executive House company, No. 8, at their rooms Sunday afternoon at 2 o'clock. All members are requested to be present, as business of importance is to be transacted. "A Green Goods Man," a new farce comedy, was presented at the Academy of Music last night before a large audience by Paul Dresser and company. The farce is clever in spots and so is the company. The Rt. Rev. N. S. Rulison, D. D., bishop of the diocese of Central Pennsylvania, will administer the apostolic rite of confirmation on Sunday next at St. Luke's church at 10.30 a. m.; at Dunmore at 4 p. m., and at the Church of the Good Shepherd at 7.30 p. m. "The Touch of Christ," Matthew, ix, 2, is the theme to be discussed at the Young Women's Christian association tomorrow afternoon at 3.45. Miss Mary Knapp will lead the meeting and it is hoped that a large number of young women may be present. The will of Mary Parks, late of this city, was admitted to probate yesterday and letters of administration c. t. a. granted to John H. Hoffman. The will of Patrick McDonnell, late of Dunmore, was admitted to probate and letters testamentary granted to Anna McDonnell.

THE BUTTERFLIES.

Will Be Presented by John Drew and Company Tonight. John Drew and his company will be seen at the Academy of Music tonight in "The Butterflies." In this comedy Mr. Drew figures as an American gentleman of sterling qualities, who, however, lacks thrift. He is breezy, polished and heroic, and, too, head over heels in love. The audience is first introduced to him in St. Augustine, Fla. He has saved a young lady from drowning and wants to make her his wife. But the young lady's mother is not willing. In the last act, which takes the audience to fashionable Lenox, in Massachusetts, the young man has entirely reformed, and is indeed a commercial model. The mother of his love learns of a sacrifice of his own dignity and personal comfort which Frederick made to save her from annoyance, and she sees other good things about him, so graciously hands her daughter over.

TO HIM, AND SOON EVERYBODY IS HAPPY.

There are many old favorites in Mr. Drew's support.

FIRE BUG AT WORK.

Third and Successful Attempt at Incendiarism in Avoca.

A fire in Avoca yesterday morning, which destroyed four buildings, is supposed to have been caused by incendiaries. The flames were discovered in the rear of the building occupied by Archibald Love as a saloon and residence. It and its entire contents were destroyed. An adjoining building owned by Thomas McDonnell and two buildings owned by Mrs. Lulu Nolan were quickly burned. One of the latter was her dwelling, the other was a hotel occupied by John Nolan and John Burns. The hose of the Avoca fire company would not reach the flames. The bucket brigade could accomplish nothing. The total loss was only met by Mrs. Nolan's insurance of \$2,500 on her buildings and \$700 of insurance held by John Nealis, who owned the building in which Love's saloon was situated. The community is excited over the fire in view of an attempt having been made last night to burn the Nolan building and further incendiary work upon the Nealis building Wednesday night.

WEEK OF COMIC OPERA.

Milton oborn's Company Will Be an Academy of Music.

The Milton Oborn comic opera company, composed of forty persons, will be at the Academy of Music all of next week in a repertoire of popular comic operas. Monday evening "The Tar and the Tartan" will be presented. The company recently appeared in Reading, and the Herald of that city, in speaking of it, said: "The Milton Oborn comic opera company gave, last evening, a creditable production of the comic opera, 'The Tar and the Tartan,' which is manifesting their delight in the city. The costumes are new and beautiful and the scenic environment elaborate. Milton Oborn is a clever comedian, and was deservedly prominent as Muley Hassan. Joseph W. Smith, as the sultan, has a tenor voice of much power and purity. Arthur Seaton, playing baritone, did well as an Arab chief, and Frank Wooley is chuck full of fun, and gives evidence of a successful future."

COAL MEN MUST PAY.

They Are Not Exempt from the Income Tax.

An important income tax decision involving the profits on coal has just been communicated to Revenue Collector Herring by United States Commissioner Miller, of Washington. The commissioner has ruled that coal profits are taxable and do not come under the real estate exemption clause. There had been frequent conferences between Collector Herring and the coal operators of this region. No definite conclusion could be reached and it was in response to a letter sent by Collector Herring that the commissioner makes the announcement that coal and coal lands is not real estate. There are seventy-five individuals in this district affected by this ruling which involves about \$75,000.

A HANDSOME STORE.

One of the Largest Clothing and Merchant Tailoring Establishments in This Part of the State.

Messrs. Horan & Merrill, the enterprising and progressive clothing and merchant tailors, are now ready for business in their new, handsome and commodious store, 316 and 318 Lackawanna avenue. No store in this section is larger, or can surpass the stock of goods contained in this immense emporium. The dimensions are 20 feet wide and 20 feet deep. The fixtures and furnishings are all new and harmonize with the interior trimmings. The ceiling, which is of steel, is painted in light colors, and which makes the place unusually bright during the day and at night when the place is lighted by air electric and gas the illumination is almost equal to that of day. The line of imported and domestic goods carried in this department will be equal to any stock presented upon the counters of any place in the larger cities. Messrs. Horan & Merrill have been engaged in the clothing business in Scranton for a number of years. Four years ago they opened business on their own account; their success was instantaneous; they persevered and by honorable and fair dealing their trade increased to such an extent that they were compelled to get larger quarters. Those quarters they occupy today. It is their intention to conduct their business in the future, as in the past. Sell only the best in the market at as small a margin of profit as possible. They have shown remarkable enterprise and business ability since their advent in business and are recognized as one of the reliable and sound institutions of our city.

Leaders in Dress Goods.

We are showing the latest in Dress Goods, foreign and domestic, high class, medium and low priced goods for children a specialty. Also A French Model Corset for \$1.00 Kid Gloves guaranteed for \$1.00 Ladies' Hose (best value in city) for \$1.00. MEARS & HAGEN.

The finest line of wines, cigars, malt and other liquors in the city at Lohmann's, Spruce street. E. Robinson's, Milwaukee and Felgenbaum's beers on draught.

Dr. Gibbons, of New York city, will be in his Scranton office, 46 Wyoming avenue, every Monday from 8 in the morning until 9 in the evening.

Go to the Commonwealth Shoe Store, Washington avenue, for Ladies' and Gents' Shoes. Ed F. Nettleton, Mgr.

Something new and beautiful are the Peerless Water Color Paints and Peerless Crayons in Sepia Tint at Frey's, 421 Lackawanna avenue.

"Tribly foot" scarp pin, sterling silver, 25c, at Turnquest's, 205 Wash. ave.

The mirrors, easels, photographs, etchings, engravings, water colors, etc., are on exhibition today and tomorrow that will be held at auction Wednesday, Thursday, Friday and Saturday, at Stewart's Art Store, Y. M. C. A. building.

It costs you no more to have your work done by skilled workmen. Turnquest, the jeweler, has the experience, skill and has established a large reputation as first-class watchmaker and diamond mounter, 205 Washington avenue.

By the Weber and got the best. At Guernsey Bros.

The latest novelty is the "Tribly pin," sold by Turnquest only, 205 Wash. ave.

DIED. MILMAN—Mrs. Anna Milman, wife of Jonathan Milman, of 54 East Market street, died at 10.30 Thursday, Tuesday, Sunday afternoon at 2 o'clock from residence.

THEORY OF THE DEFENSE

It Places Mrs. Nicotera in a Very Bad Light.

HER LOVER IS THE MURDERER

That Is What the Attorneys for Leonardo Rosa Are Endeavoring to Make the Jury Believe—Closing Testimony in the Case.

The closing testimony in the case wherein Leonardo Rosa is charged with the murder of Vito Lausiso was heard before Judge Edwards yesterday morning, and the afternoon was devoted to listening to arguments for the defense. Attorney J. J. H. Hamilton spoke first and was followed by ex-Judge Ward, who was still speaking when court adjourned at 5 o'clock. He will resume this morning and be followed by District Attorney John R. Jones, who will deliver the closing argument on the part of the commonwealth. In their closing addresses the attorneys for the defense set up the theory that Lausiso was murdered not by Rosa but by a man with whom Mrs. Nicotera had been intimate. They allege that on the night of the murder when Mrs. Nicotera sat up after the departure of the visitors who called upon her and her husband, she did not refrain from returning to bed with her lover, and on account of pain she suffered, as she says, but that she might entertain her lover after her husband had gone to sleep.

Following Up the Theory.

Then, the eloquent gentlemen assert in carrying out their theory, after Mr. Nicotera was developed the plot of the just his wife admitted her visitor and while entertaining him was discovered by Lausiso, (who boarded with the Nicoteris) on his return from the carousal with Rosa and the other Italians. Lausiso, not desiring to enter them, walked away and was followed by Mrs. Nicotera, who killed Lausiso that his lips might be forever sealed to what he had learned and the injured husband thus kept in ignorance of that night's events. Mr. Hamilton and ex-Judge Ward argued in an ingenious way to support this theory and said that it was the only ground on which Mrs. Nicotera's apparent feverish desire to have Rosa convicted could be accounted for.

After court opened at 9 o'clock yesterday morning Leonardo Rosa, the accused man, was recalled to the stand, and denied that the book spoken about by Mrs. Corbo in her testimony contained an account against the dead man of money owed to him. In his cross-examination Rosa denied that he told County Detective Leyshon and Charles E. Daniels, after his arrest, that he threw away his revolver before his arrest. Rosa said that when Lausiso left Corbo's house early on the morning of February 27, he only went as far as the steps outside the house with him.

Identified the Book.

Mrs. Cornelia Corbo identified the book she gave Rosa as the one produced in court, and then Mrs. Nicotera was recalled for further cross-examination and swore that the book produced in court was not the one in which Rosa kept his account against Lausiso. She saw the book when Rosa put it in his pocket and remembered it distinctly.

Major Montrose Barnard was called and identified a draft of the Nicotera premises that he made Thursday, and then Tony Freda was called, who also gave some testimony concerning the location of the buildings shown on the map made by Mr. Barnard. Pasquale Deblavqua was at this point recalled for further cross-examination and told what he knew about the appearance of that celebrated account-book, Frank Agli, the detective, was recalled and asked to produce his commission from the sheriff of Queen's county, N. Y., or his commission from the mayor as a special officer, but was unable to do so. Maria Rosa was recalled to prove that when Rosa shot her in the arm in Italy it was purely accidental, but the evidence was ruled out. Attorney F. W. Fleitz, who conducted the examination of the witnesses for Rosa at the coroner's inquest, was called and testified to several points in which Mrs. Nicotera's testimony at that time does not agree with her testimony now. Joseph J. Cassano, the interpreter at the coroner's inquest, also testified to discrepancies in the two stories of the witness.

Her Different Stories.

On the present trial she said she saw Rosa having Lausiso by the neck and dragging him along, and a few minutes later she heard pistol shots. It was shown that there was no moon that night and that it was not possible for her to have seen what she said. Mr. Cassano swore that at the coroner's inquest Mrs. Nicotera said she was inside the house, in the kitchen, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph Rosa and Vito Lausiso. She heard their voices but did not see them, for she did not look outside. The defendant rested with the right to call Miss Abbie Watrous and Thomas J. Price as called by the commonwealth in rebuttal. Her testimony was that she saw the moon that night when she was in the house, and she heard the voices of three persons, Leonardo Rosa, Joseph