

FOR ONE WEEK

We will sell our entire stock of New and Stylish TRIMMED HATS at GREATLY REDUCED PRICES. Come and see them at

NEWMAN'S 303 SPRUCE STREET.

Norrman & Moore FIRE INSURANCE 120 Wyoming Avenue

Grenadines and Haskell Black Silks Cheap.

Mears & Hagen 415 LACKA AVE.

DON'T

Have your COLLARS starched in the old way, when you can have them done with our

Lackawanna THE LAUNDRY

If you want

Carpets, Draperies,

Wall Paper or Window

Shades, come to us.

We have a full line of

goods, and our prices are

very low.

Williams & McAnulty 127 Wyoming Ave.

CITY NOTES.

Miss Millie Albright, of Pittston, will give a concert at Powell's next Monday evening.

A report of the view of the Fifth district main sewer, section A, will be found on page seven of this issue.

Daniel O'Donnell was committed to the county jail yesterday in default of bail for beating his mother-in-law.

It has been decided to hold the annual picnic of the Hook and Ladder company at Winkler's grove, on July 23.

The board of Tax Collector Louis McClellan, of Bannock, township, in the sum of \$1,000 was approved by court yesterday.

There was a liberal display of American flags about the city yesterday in honor of the anniversary of the adoption of the flag.

"Chimes of Normandy" tonight at the Frothingham. It is one of the best performances of the opera ever seen in this city.

The trial at the corner of Linden street and Dix court has been sold to Dr. E. M. Gates by D. M. Jones for \$25,000. It is 20x120 feet in size.

On account of the picnic to be held Saturday afternoon at the Dickson range the range will be closed and the Saturday match will not be held.

S. P. Mott, Owen D. John and John Hawkes, viewers in the Fifth Sewer district, filed their report in City Solicitor Barry's office yesterday.

The marriage of Miss Anna A. Reynolds to George A. Reynolds, of New York, was celebrated at 8:30 last evening at the home of the bride's parents on Monroe avenue, Green Ridge.

The Hook and Ladder company yesterday received from Dr. E. H. Throp a crayon picture of his son, the late Dr. George S. Throp, who was a member of the Hook and Ladder company.

John P. Doran, the pitcher, and T. J. Lewis, a tourist ball player, became intoxicated Wednesday night and broke a window in Miller's hotel. They were arrested but were discharged yesterday on payment of the cost of repairing the window.

A special effort has been made by Young Men's Christian association officials to make the free Saturday evening band concerts attractive. Tomorrow night's concert will be for men only. Tickets may be obtained at the association's building.

At the Penn Avenue Baptist church last night a very interesting evening of song and recitation was given by the Howard family, assisted by John T. Watkins, Miss Lela Reed and James Wilson. It was the first public appearance of the last named two who made almost favorable impressions.

On Monday evening next Miss Julia Allen and twenty of her pupils will give a recital in Music hall in vocal culture, piano and elocution. They will be assisted by Miss Loretta Costello, of Binghamton, a violinist of marked ability, who was heard with much pleasure in this city last winter.

The Young Women's Christian association hopes to add materially to its treasury, which has been seriously depleted during the past busy year, by means of the excursion to be run to Fairview on Saturday. The weather is so warm that the breezes of Fairview will be most grateful to the many who will find time to go on this trip. The fare is only 75 cents, children, 40 cents.

Yesterday afternoon Mayor Connell, Chief Engineer Ferber and Charles H. Schmitt, Robert Robinson, Morris V. Morris, Patrick A. Hickey and Edward E. Robathan, of the fire committee of the common council, and Wade M. Finn, Fred J. Darr, Charles F. Wagner, Peter Kelley and J. F. Schwenk, of the select, visited the quarters of the Cambrian and Excelsior.

VISIT OF THE FINANCIERS

Concluded from Page 1.

Mr. Alney, president of the Allentown Second National bank, moved that the old board of officers be re-elected. This motion was unanimously endorsed as follows: Theodore Strong, Pittston, president; George Shannon, first vice president; Dr. Joseph Thomas, second vice president; Frank M. Horn, Catasquig, recording secretary; C. D. Moser, Reading, treasurer; executive committee, William H. Alney and Robert E. Wright, Allentown; James M. Jacobs, Pottsville; John W. Gwyner, Easton; James A. Lisen, Scranton; P. M. Carhart, Wilkes-Barre.

REVIVING STATE BANKS OPPOSED. William Hackett, president of the Eastern National bank of Easton, discussed "State banks and an improved national banking system compared." His paper was an interesting description of the operations of the state banks and the innumerable conveniences and positive losses to which they subjected the public. He contrasted this state of things with the solidity of the national banks and said: "We may well look with dread upon the efforts now being made to destroy the national banking system and to deprive the country of the absolute safety and security afforded by its circulation." Mr. Hackett said:

"We need a currency elastic in character, and which will expand and contract as the necessities of the country may demand, and these banks are well adapted for this purpose, as they would naturally expand or contract their circulation, as the demands of the country change. They require that the issue is borne out by the fact that from March to October, 1893, the circulation increased thirty-three millions, and if more credit could have been shown, the increase would have been much larger. Banks do not issue circulation unless at a profit, and we would affirm that the question of a sufficient circulating medium would be best solved by the enactment of legislation which would furnish the banks with circulation to the par of gold deposited as security, and bonds to run thirty years and to bear interest at the rate of 3 per cent.

The applause accorded Mr. Hackett when he had finished, testified to the importance and merit attached to his address.

William H. Alney, president of the Second National bank of Allentown, read a paper, "Can Our National Bank System Be Perpetrated?" It was a thoughtful treatise of the subject and we regret that lack of space forbids printing it.

MR. PECK'S HUMOROUS REMARKS. Mr. W. H. Peck, cashier of the Third National bank, this city, spoke eloquently on the subject of "Banking in Pennsylvania." He said in part:

"When asked to address you today, the first thought was—what particular part of banking shall I talk about. Shall I refer to the desirability of a uniform practice among banks in the same city in regard to overdrafts, those big boys to us all? Or of uniformity in rates for interest deposits? Or the advisability of maintaining the rates of interest paid? Or shall I refer to the value of commercial paper compared with bonds as quick assets? But my later thought has been, that as each of the Scranton banks has its own pet theories on these subjects, I better not rashly disturb the peace that at the present time so beautifully prevails among us. And so my mind turned to the things that have of late been carried in the business of banking. Lending money is serious business. Lending money is often a serious business. But if we recognize the heavy time coming it is in our own experience, and in the experience of others, that this seriousness is occasionally relieved by humorous features. It is a matter of a banker in the west that on reading the papers he became alarmed at the many failures reported, and so concluded not to loan any more money, and to sell in what he had out, not seeming to realize that such a course would only make the hard times worse. Acting on this plan, it was not long before his deposits were expressing pity that he was so hard on, and some said that if he was in that shape they wanted their money, and soon he found that as a matter of self-preservation he better stop that course. In the time coming it is to be hoped that bankers, borrowers and depositors will learn that their interests are mutual, and when a bank and its customers learn that, the well-managed bank will have nothing to fear from the uneasiness of panicky times, and then it will never be necessary for any one to do as a Western banker did, who last summer found his cash was all paid out, and that he had no quick assets, although his loans were on good notes, and so he had to put up the shutters, and he tucked up this notice:

"This bank owes the public \$37,000. The public owes this bank \$37,000. When the public pays this bank will pay. The bank to be closed. It is the public that is busted."

The lighter vein of Mr. Peck's remarks were most happily received in the midst of the weighty proceedings.

BANK EXAMINER'S DUTIES. C. H. Denger, of Pottsville, national bank examiner of eastern Pennsylvania, discussed "The Examiner's Relations to National Banks." Among other things he said the bank examiner's duties are far from being perfunctory. He advocated the imperative rule of placing employes under bond. Such protection is furnished by companies for a small percentage which banking institutions can well afford to pay. Checks should be cancelled daily. Many cases of embezzlement are perpetrated by a re-entry of a check in the daily cash book, the day following the first entry, but without being posted on the ledger. Cancellation will prevent it.

Directors and presidents should see to it that cashiers are not allowed to lay out the funds of the bank, as is often done for personal account. They should insist that the cashier do not invest on his personal account in fluctuating and speculative properties, such as land companies. It is promotive of too extensive operations and consequent temptation.

Mr. Fondermitt, Lancaster; Mr. Miller, Reading, and Mr. Helfferich, Allentown, had been appointed at the Philadelphia meeting to report at yesterday's meeting on the half holiday in its relation to the time for protesting paper. Mr. Fondermitt stated that no report had been prepared, but it was the general custom to demand the half holiday and it o'clock Saturday morning, but to receive payments during Monday.

The time for protesting notes, checks, etc., received or due on Saturday under the half-holiday law created considerable discussion. It was the general opinion of the meeting that the time for protesting should be Monday, and while the maturity on Monday applies particularly to banks observing the half-holiday, there seemed to be an uncertainty in the case of banks which do not observe the half-holiday.

After the discussion, the sense of the meeting was voted in favor of observing Saturday as non-protestably because it seemed the best method of protection under the present law.

An adjournment was made at 5 o'clock to banquet at the Westminister at 7 o'clock.

It was nearly 8 o'clock when the banquet, who were received in the Westminister parlors on the second floor, descended to the dining room, which with a happy blending of roses and flags, in honor of Flag Day and the occasion, had been transformed into a veritable bower of beauty.

While over two hours were spent in discussing the excellent menu, reports and innumerable in its supply of delicacies, Bauer's orchestra, stationed in the reading room adjoining, discoursed sweet music. The entertainment service and general excellence of the report reflects much credit upon C. M. Truman, proprietor of the hotel.

W. T. Smith, the toastmaster, called the assembly to order in a brief but happily selected speech. He said in part:

By virtue of the honorable position that has been assigned me, I arise to reiterate and emphasize the hearty welcome we all extend and to thank you for your visit to this city. The obligations of this duty are not light. It seems eminently proper that after the arduous duties of the day in the interest and promotion of sound individuality and service you should lay aside your labors and devote the evening to the rest and diversion of banqueting. We fully realize that the labors and duties of the day are necessary to measure to provide means for the enjoyment of the pleasures and amenities of social life, and if we can in any degree lighten the burden of individuals and give them more time for rest and recreation, we shall feel that we have accomplished our object.

We who have lived here for many years and who have seen the growth and progress of our city, may be pardoned for feeling a reasonable pride in, and deep attachment to it. A beautiful provision placed here a generous provision for property and active and enterprising people have used these elements successfully, and I believe I am not assuming too much in predicting that among all the flourishing and growing cities of Pennsylvania we may claim to be the peer of any in our class. We sincerely hope that this visit may impress you with the fact that this city is not only a beautiful and healthy one, but that you may always be assured of a hearty welcome. And now we will proceed to the real feat of the evening and to the most important part of the banquet, the active and enterprising people have used these elements successfully, and I believe I am not assuming too much in predicting that among all the flourishing and growing cities of Pennsylvania we may claim to be the peer of any in our class.

Hon. Theodore Strong, of Pittston, president of the association, in response to the toast "Banks of the City," mentioned him as one of the men who have made Scranton what it is.

Mr. Sturges said that as most of his years were spent in banking, upon his invitation to this banquet he was not only because of "honor to whom honor is due." From the fact that any fool can make a good speech on the banks of the Nile he didn't propose to make a good speech. Old Cyprus built safe deposit vaults hundreds of years ago, which have never opened yet. This recalls the question of time, by which modern bankers can draw a good lesson from the Nile. There is plenty of time. Another point of difference in favor of the Nile is in the matter of wind, which bears you up the river, which has no wind, a man arrives in town with plenty of wind which changes as soon as he is in hard luck—then it's dead against him. However, the banks of the Nile are worthless unless they are watered. To say something true and serious—"I watched the mummified remains of R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R. M. Jones, the great and the good face which caused the world to tremble. I thought how little is greatness. There is in it merely a spectacle for the curious. The contrast of the great man who lived for himself 3,400 years ago can be likened to some lives today—suddenly at variance with R.